

# On Special Complex Structures and Hermitian Metrics

A. Tomassini

Università di Parma

“Workshop on CR and Sasakian Geometry”  
24-26 March 2009, Université de Luxembourg



$M^{2n}$   $2n$ -dimensional (compact) manifold

- $F$  non-degenerate 2-form on  $M^{2n}$
- $F$  is *symplectic* if  $dF = 0$
- $J$  *almost complex structure* on  $M^{2n}$ , i.e.  
 $J \in \text{End}(TM^{2n})$  s.t.  $J^2 = -\text{id}_{TM^{2n}}$
- $J$  is *integrable* if it is induced by a complex structure.

*Newlander-Nirenberg*

$$J \text{ is integrable} \iff N_J = 0$$

where

$$N_J = [JX, JY] - [X, Y] - J[JX, Y] - J[X, JY]$$

$\forall X, Y$  vector fields on  $M$ .



# Introduction

$M^{2n}$   $2n$ -dimensional (compact) manifold

- $F$  non-degenerate 2-form on  $M^{2n}$
- $F$  is *symplectic* if  $dF = 0$
- $J$  *almost complex structure* on  $M^{2n}$ , i.e.  
 $J \in \text{End}(TM^{2n})$  s.t.  $J^2 = -\text{id}_{TM^{2n}}$
- $J$  is *integrable* if it is induced by a complex structure.

*Newlander-Nirenberg*

$$J \text{ is integrable} \iff N_J = 0$$

where

$$N_J = [JX, JY] - [X, Y] - J[JX, Y] - J[X, JY]$$

$\forall X, Y$  vector fields on  $M$ .



# Introduction

$M^{2n}$   $2n$ -dimensional (compact) manifold

- $F$  non-degenerate 2-form on  $M^{2n}$
- $F$  is *symplectic* if  $dF = 0$
- $J$  *almost complex structure* on  $M^{2n}$ , i.e.  
 $J \in \text{End}(TM^{2n})$  s.t.  $J^2 = -\text{id}_{TM^{2n}}$
- $J$  is *integrable* if it is induced by a complex structure.

*Newlander-Nirenberg*

$$J \text{ is integrable} \iff N_J = 0$$

where

$$N_J = [JX, JY] - [X, Y] - J[JX, Y] - J[X, JY]$$

$\forall X, Y$  vector fields on  $M$ .



# Introduction

$M^{2n}$   $2n$ -dimensional (compact) manifold

- $F$  non-degenerate 2-form on  $M^{2n}$
- $F$  is *symplectic* if  $dF = 0$
- $J$  *almost complex structure* on  $M^{2n}$ , i.e.  
 $J \in \text{End}(TM^{2n})$  s.t.  $J^2 = -\text{id}_{TM^{2n}}$
- $J$  is *integrable* if it is induced by a complex structure.

*Newlander-Nirenberg*

$$J \text{ is integrable} \iff N_J = 0$$

where

$$N_J = [JX, JY] - [X, Y] - J[JX, Y] - J[X, JY]$$

$\forall X, Y$  vector fields on  $M$ .



# Introduction

$M^{2n}$   $2n$ -dimensional (compact) manifold

- $F$  non-degenerate 2-form on  $M^{2n}$
- $F$  is *symplectic* if  $dF = 0$
- $J$  *almost complex structure* on  $M^{2n}$ , i.e.  
 $J \in \text{End}(TM^{2n})$  s.t.  $J^2 = -\text{id}_{TM^{2n}}$
- $J$  is *integrable* if it is induced by a complex structure.

*Newlander-Nirenberg*

$$J \text{ is integrable} \iff N_J = 0$$

where

$$N_J = [JX, JY] - [X, Y] - J[JX, Y] - J[X, JY]$$

$\forall X, Y$  vector fields on  $M$ .



# Introduction

$M^{2n}$   $2n$ -dimensional (compact) manifold

- $F$  non-degenerate 2-form on  $M^{2n}$
- $F$  is *symplectic* if  $dF = 0$
- $J$  *almost complex structure* on  $M^{2n}$ , i.e.  
 $J \in \text{End}(TM^{2n})$  s.t.  $J^2 = -\text{id}_{TM^{2n}}$
- $J$  is *integrable* if it is induced by a complex structure.

*Newlander-Nirenberg*

$$J \text{ is integrable} \iff N_J = 0$$

where

$$N_J = [JX, JY] - [X, Y] - J[JX, Y] - J[X, JY]$$

$\forall X, Y$  vector fields on  $M$ .



# Introduction

$M^{2n}$   $2n$ -dimensional (compact) manifold

- $F$  non-degenerate 2-form on  $M^{2n}$
- $F$  is *symplectic* if  $dF = 0$
- $J$  *almost complex structure* on  $M^{2n}$ , i.e.  
 $J \in \text{End}(TM^{2n})$  s.t.  $J^2 = -\text{id}_{TM^{2n}}$
- $J$  is *integrable* if it is induced by a complex structure.

*Newlander-Nirenberg*

$$J \text{ is integrable} \iff N_J = 0$$

where

$$N_J = [JX, JY] - [X, Y] - J[JX, Y] - J[X, JY]$$

$\forall X, Y$  vector fields on  $M$ .



$M^{2n}$   $2n$ -dimensional (compact) manifold

- $F$  non-degenerate 2-form on  $M^{2n}$
- $F$  is *symplectic* if  $dF = 0$
- $J$  *almost complex structure* on  $M^{2n}$ , i.e.  
 $J \in \text{End}(TM^{2n})$  s.t.  $J^2 = -\text{id}_{TM^{2n}}$
- $J$  is *integrable* if it is induced by a complex structure.

*Newlander-Nirenberg*

$$J \text{ is integrable} \iff N_J = 0$$

where

$$N_J = [JX, JY] - [X, Y] - J[JX, Y] - J[X, JY]$$

$\forall X, Y$  vector fields on  $M$ .



- A Riemannian metric  $g$  on  $(M^{2n}, J)$  is said to be  $J$ -*Hermitian* if

$$g_J(JX, JY) = g(X, Y), \quad \forall X, Y.$$

- $F$  symplectic form;  $J$  almost complex structure  $M$  is said to be  $F$ -*calibrated* if

$$g_J[x](X, Y) := F[x](X, JY)$$

is a  $J$ -Hermitian metric on  $M$ .

- $(M, J, F, g_J)$  *Kähler*, if  $F$  is symplectic,  $J$  is complex and  $F$ -calibrated.



- A Riemannian metric  $g$  on  $(M^{2n}, J)$  is said to be  $J$ -*Hermitian* if

$$g_J(JX, JY) = g(X, Y), \quad \forall X, Y.$$

- $F$  symplectic form;  $J$  almost complex structure  $M$  is said to be  $F$ -*calibrated* if

$$g_J[x](X, Y) := F[x](X, JY)$$

is a  $J$ -Hermitian metric on  $M$ .

- $(M, J, F, g_J)$  *Kähler*, if  $F$  is symplectic,  $J$  is complex and  $F$ -calibrated.



- A Riemannian metric  $g$  on  $(M^{2n}, J)$  is said to be  $J$ -*Hermitian* if

$$g_J(JX, JY) = g(X, Y), \quad \forall X, Y.$$

- $F$  symplectic form;  $J$  almost complex structure  $M$  is said to be  $F$ -*calibrated* if

$$g_J[x](X, Y) := F[x](X, JY)$$

is a  $J$ -Hermitian metric on  $M$ .

- $(M, J, F, g_J)$  *Kähler*, if  $F$  is symplectic,  $J$  is complex and  $F$ -calibrated.



## Weaker conditions

1)  $dF = 0$ ,  $J$  non-integrable.

2)  $dF \neq 0$ ,  $J$  integrable.

1)

- Special symplectic manifolds,
- Geometry of Lagrangian submanifolds.

2)

- *Geometry with Torsion*,
- *Generalized Kähler Geometry*,
- *Bi-Hermitian Structures*,
- *Special metrics on Complex manifolds e.g. balanced, strong KT, astheno-Kähler*



## Weaker conditions

1)  $dF = 0$ ,  $J$  non-integrable.

2)  $dF \neq 0$ ,  $J$  integrable.

1)

- Special symplectic manifolds,
- Geometry of Lagrangian submanifolds.

2)

- *Geometry with Torsion,*
- *Generalized Kähler Geometry,*
- *Bi-Hermitian Structures,*
- *Special metrics on Complex manifolds e.g. balanced, strong KT, astheno-Kähler*



## Weaker conditions

1)  $dF = 0$ ,  $J$  non-integrable.

2)  $dF \neq 0$ ,  $J$  integrable.

1)

- Special symplectic manifolds,
- Geometry of Lagrangian submanifolds.

2)

- *Geometry with Torsion,*
- *Generalized Kähler Geometry,*
- *Bi-Hermitian Structures,*
- *Special metrics on Complex manifolds e.g. balanced, strong KT, astheno-Kähler*



## Weaker conditions

1)  $dF = 0$ ,  $J$  non-integrable.

2)  $dF \neq 0$ ,  $J$  integrable.

1)

- Special symplectic manifolds,
- Geometry of Lagrangian submanifolds.

2)

- *Geometry with Torsion,*
- *Generalized Kähler Geometry,*
- *Bi-Hermitian Structures,*
- *Special metrics on Complex manifolds e.g. balanced, strong KT, astheno-Kähler*



## Weaker conditions

1)  $dF = 0$ ,  $J$  non-integrable.

2)  $dF \neq 0$ ,  $J$  integrable.

1)

- Special symplectic manifolds,
- Geometry of Lagrangian submanifolds.

2)

- *Geometry with Torsion*,
- *Generalized Kähler Geometry*,
- *Bi-Hermitian Structures*,
- *Special metrics on Complex manifolds e.g. balanced, strong KT, astheno-Kähler*



## Weaker conditions

1)  $dF = 0$ ,  $J$  non-integrable.

2)  $dF \neq 0$ ,  $J$  integrable.

1)

- Special symplectic manifolds,
- Geometry of Lagrangian submanifolds.

2)

- *Geometry with Torsion*,
- *Generalized Kähler Geometry*,
- *Bi-Hermitian Structures*,
- *Special metrics on Complex manifolds e.g. balanced, strong KT, astheno-Kähler*



## Weaker conditions

1)  $dF = 0$ ,  $J$  non-integrable.

2)  $dF \neq 0$ ,  $J$  integrable.

1)

- Special symplectic manifolds,
- Geometry of Lagrangian submanifolds.

2)

- *Geometry with Torsion*,
- *Generalized Kähler Geometry*,
- *Bi-Hermitian Structures*,
- *Special metrics on Complex manifolds e.g. balanced, strong KT, astheno-Kähler*



## Weaker conditions

1)  $dF = 0$ ,  $J$  non-integrable.

2)  $dF \neq 0$ ,  $J$  integrable.

1)

- Special symplectic manifolds,
- Geometry of Lagrangian submanifolds.

2)

- *Geometry with Torsion*,
- *Generalized Kähler Geometry*,
- *Bi-Hermitian Structures*,
- *Special metrics on Complex manifolds* e.g. balanced, strong KT, astheno-Kähler



## Weaker conditions

1)  $dF = 0$ ,  $J$  non-integrable.

2)  $dF \neq 0$ ,  $J$  integrable.

1)

- Special symplectic manifolds,
- Geometry of Lagrangian submanifolds.

2)

- *Geometry with Torsion*,
- *Generalized Kähler Geometry*,
- *Bi-Hermitian Structures*,
- *Special metrics on Complex manifolds* e.g. balanced, strong KT, astheno-Kähler



# Special Structures on Symplectic Manifolds

**Def.** A *special symplectic Calabi-Yau manifold* (SSCY) is the datum of  $(M^6, F, J, \psi)$  where

- $F$  is a symplectic structure
- $J$  is a  $F$ -calibrated almost complex structure
- $g_J(\cdot, \cdot) := F(\cdot, J\cdot)$
- $\psi \in \Lambda^{3,0}(M)$ ,  $\psi \neq 0$ ,

s.t.

$$\begin{aligned}d\Re\psi &= 0 \\ \psi \wedge \bar{\psi} &= \frac{4}{3}i F^3\end{aligned}$$

**Rem.**

- $(M^6, F, J, \psi)$  is in particular *Half-flat*, in the sense of Hitchin, Chiossi and Salamon.

- If  $d\Re\psi = 0 = d\Im\psi$ , then  $J$  is a complex structure.

- $\Re\psi$  is a *calibration* (see Harvey and Lawson *Acta. Math.* '82)



# Special Structures on Symplectic Manifolds

**Def.** A *special symplectic Calabi-Yau manifold* (SSCY) is the datum of  $(M^6, F, J, \psi)$  where

- $F$  is a symplectic structure
- $J$  is a  $F$ -calibrated almost complex structure
- $g_J(\cdot, \cdot) := F(\cdot, J\cdot)$
- $\psi \in \Lambda^{3,0}(M)$ ,  $\psi \neq 0$ ,

s.t.

$$\begin{aligned}d\Re\psi &= 0 \\ \psi \wedge \bar{\psi} &= \frac{4}{3}i F^3\end{aligned}$$

**Rem.**

•  $(M^6, F, J, \psi)$  is in particular *Half-flat*, in the sense of Hitchin, Chiossi and Salamon.

• If  $d\Re\psi = 0 = d\Im\psi$ , then  $J$  is a complex structure.

•  $\Re\psi$  is a *calibration* (see Harvey and Lawson *Acta. Math.* '82)



# Special Structures on Symplectic Manifolds

**Def.** A *special symplectic Calabi-Yau manifold* (SSCY) is the datum of  $(M^6, F, J, \psi)$  where

- $F$  is a symplectic structure
- $J$  is a  $F$ -calibrated almost complex structure
- $g_J(\cdot, \cdot) := F(\cdot, J\cdot)$
- $\psi \in \wedge^{3,0}(M)$ ,  $\psi \neq 0$ ,

s.t.

$$\begin{aligned}d\Re\psi &= 0 \\ \psi \wedge \bar{\psi} &= \frac{4}{3}i F^3\end{aligned}$$

**Rem.**

•  $(M^6, F, J, \psi)$  is in particular *Half-flat*, in the sense of Hitchin, Chiossi and Salamon.

• If  $d\Re\psi = 0 = d\Im\psi$ , then  $J$  is a complex structure.

•  $\Re\psi$  is a *calibration* (see Harvey and Lawson *Acta. Math.* '82)



# Special Structures on Symplectic Manifolds

**Def.** A *special symplectic Calabi-Yau manifold* (SSCY) is the datum of  $(M^6, F, J, \psi)$  where

- $F$  is a symplectic structure
- $J$  is a  $F$ -calibrated almost complex structure
- $g_J(\cdot, \cdot) := F(\cdot, J\cdot)$
- $\psi \in \wedge^{3,0}(M)$ ,  $\psi \neq 0$ ,

s.t.

$$\begin{aligned}d\Re\psi &= 0 \\ \psi \wedge \bar{\psi} &= \frac{4}{3}i F^3\end{aligned}$$

**Rem.**

•  $(M^6, F, J, \psi)$  is in particular *Half-flat*, in the sense of Hitchin, Chiossi and Salamon.

• If  $d\Re\psi = 0 = d\Im\psi$ , then  $J$  is a complex structure.

•  $\Re\psi$  is a *calibration* (see Harvey and Lawson *Acta. Math.* '82)



# Special Structures on Symplectic Manifolds

**Def.** A *special symplectic Calabi-Yau manifold* (SSCY) is the datum of  $(M^6, F, J, \psi)$  where

- $F$  is a symplectic structure
- $J$  is a  $F$ -calibrated almost complex structure
- $g_J(\cdot, \cdot) := F(\cdot, J\cdot)$
- $\psi \in \wedge^{3,0}(M)$ ,  $\psi \neq 0$ ,

s.t.

$$\begin{aligned}d\Re\psi &= 0 \\ \psi \wedge \bar{\psi} &= \frac{4}{3}i F^3\end{aligned}$$

**Rem.**

- $(M^6, F, J, \psi)$  is in particular *Half-flat*, in the sense of Hitchin, Chiossi and Salamon.
- If  $d\Re\psi = 0 = d\Im\psi$ , then  $J$  is a complex structure.
- $\Re\psi$  is a *calibration* (see Harvey and Lawson *Acta. Math.* '82)



# Special Structures on Symplectic Manifolds

**Def.** A *special symplectic Calabi-Yau manifold* (SSCY) is the datum of  $(M^6, F, J, \psi)$  where

- $F$  is a symplectic structure
- $J$  is a  $F$ -calibrated almost complex structure
- $g_J(\cdot, \cdot) := F(\cdot, J\cdot)$
- $\psi \in \wedge^{3,0}(M)$ ,  $\psi \neq 0$ ,

s.t.

$$\begin{aligned}d\Re\psi &= 0 \\ \psi \wedge \bar{\psi} &= \frac{4}{3}i F^3\end{aligned}$$

**Rem.**

•  $(M^6, F, J, \psi)$  is in particular *Half-flat*, in the sense of Hitchin, Chiossi and Salamon.

• If  $d\Re\psi = 0 = d\Im\psi$ , then  $J$  is a complex structure.

•  $\Re\psi$  is a *calibration* (see Harvey and Lawson *Acta. Math.* '82)



# Special Structures on Symplectic Manifolds

**Def.** A *special symplectic Calabi-Yau manifold* (SSCY) is the datum of  $(M^6, F, J, \psi)$  where

- $F$  is a symplectic structure
- $J$  is a  $F$ -calibrated almost complex structure
- $g_J(\cdot, \cdot) := F(\cdot, J\cdot)$
- $\psi \in \wedge^{3,0}(M)$ ,  $\psi \neq 0$ ,

s.t.

$$\begin{aligned}d\Re\psi &= 0 \\ \psi \wedge \bar{\psi} &= \frac{4}{3}iF^3\end{aligned}$$

**Rem.**

•  $(M^6, F, J, \psi)$  is in particular *Half-flat*, in the sense of Hitchin, Chiossi and Salamon.

• If  $d\Re\psi = 0 = d\Im\psi$ , then  $J$  is a complex structure.

•  $\Re\psi$  is a *calibration* (see Harvey and Lawson *Acta. Math.* '82)



# Special Structures on Symplectic Manifolds

**Def.** A *special symplectic Calabi-Yau manifold* (SSCY) is the datum of  $(M^6, F, J, \psi)$  where

- $F$  is a symplectic structure
- $J$  is a  $F$ -calibrated almost complex structure
- $g_J(\cdot, \cdot) := F(\cdot, J\cdot)$
- $\psi \in \wedge^{3,0}(M)$ ,  $\psi \neq 0$ ,

s.t.

$$\begin{aligned}d\Re\psi &= 0 \\ \psi \wedge \bar{\psi} &= \frac{4}{3}iF^3\end{aligned}$$

**Rem.**

•  $(M^6, F, J, \psi)$  is in particular *Half-flat*, in the sense of Hitchin, Chiossi and Salamon.

• If  $d\Re\psi = 0 = d\Im\psi$ , then  $J$  is a complex structure.

•  $\Re\psi$  is a *calibration* (see Harvey and Lawson *Acta. Math.* '82)



# Special Structures on Symplectic Manifolds

**Def.** A *special symplectic Calabi-Yau manifold* (SSCY) is the datum of  $(M^6, F, J, \psi)$  where

- $F$  is a symplectic structure
- $J$  is a  $F$ -calibrated almost complex structure
- $g_J(\cdot, \cdot) := F(\cdot, J\cdot)$
- $\psi \in \wedge^{3,0}(M)$ ,  $\psi \neq 0$ ,

s.t.

$$\begin{aligned}d\Re\psi &= 0 \\ \psi \wedge \bar{\psi} &= \frac{4}{3}iF^3\end{aligned}$$

**Rem.**

•  $(M^6, F, J, \psi)$  is in particular *Half-flat*, in the sense of Hitchin, Chiossi and Salamon.

- If  $d\Re\psi = 0 = d\Im\psi$ , then  $J$  is a complex structure.
- $\Re\psi$  is a *calibration* (see Harvey and Lawson *Acta. Math.* '82)



# Special Structures on Symplectic Manifolds

**Def.** A *special symplectic Calabi-Yau manifold* (SSCY) is the datum of  $(M^6, F, J, \psi)$  where

- $F$  is a symplectic structure
- $J$  is a  $F$ -calibrated almost complex structure
- $g_J(\cdot, \cdot) := F(\cdot, J\cdot)$
- $\psi \in \wedge^{3,0}(M)$ ,  $\psi \neq 0$ ,

s.t.

$$\begin{aligned}d\Re\psi &= 0 \\ \psi \wedge \bar{\psi} &= \frac{4}{3}iF^3\end{aligned}$$

**Rem.**

•  $(M^6, F, J, \psi)$  is in particular *Half-flat*, in the sense of Hitchin, Chiossi and Salamon.

• If  $d\Re\psi = 0 = d\Im\psi$ , then  $J$  is a complex structure.

•  $\Re\psi$  is a *calibration* (see Harvey and Lawson *Acta. Math.* '82)



# Special Structures on Symplectic Manifolds

**Def.** A *special symplectic Calabi-Yau manifold* (SSCY) is the datum of  $(M^6, F, J, \psi)$  where

- $F$  is a symplectic structure
- $J$  is a  $F$ -calibrated almost complex structure
- $g_J(\cdot, \cdot) := F(\cdot, J\cdot)$
- $\psi \in \wedge^{3,0}(M)$ ,  $\psi \neq 0$ ,

s.t.

$$\begin{aligned}d\Re\psi &= 0 \\ \psi \wedge \bar{\psi} &= \frac{4}{3}iF^3\end{aligned}$$

**Rem.**

•  $(M^6, F, J, \psi)$  is in particular *Half-flat*, in the sense of Hitchin, Chiossi and Salamon.

- If  $d\Re\psi = 0 = d\Im\psi$ , then  $J$  is a complex structure.
- $\Re\psi$  is a *calibration* (see Harvey and Lawson *Acta. Math.* '82).



# Special Structures on Symplectic Manifolds

**Theorem** (P. de Bartolomeis,—, *Ann. Inst. Fourier* '06)

*There exists a compact complex manifold  $M$  such that*

- *$M$  has a symplectic structure satisfying the Hard Lefschetz Condition;*
- *$M$  admits a SSCY structure;*
- *$M$  has no Kähler structures.*

$$M = (\mathbb{C}^3, *) / \Gamma$$

*where  $*$  is defined by*

$$\begin{aligned} {}^t(z_1, z_2, z_3) * {}^t(w_1, w_2, w_3) = \\ {}^t(z_1 + w_1, e^{-w_1}z_2 + w_2, e^{w_1}z_3 + w_3) \end{aligned}$$

*and  $\Gamma$  is a certain closed subgroup of  $(\mathbb{C}^3, *)$  finitely generated.*



# Special Structures on Symplectic Manifolds

**Theorem** (P. de Bartolomeis,—, *Ann. Inst. Fourier* '06)

*There exists a compact complex manifold  $M$  such that*

- *$M$  has a symplectic structure satisfying the Hard Lefschetz Condition;*
- *$M$  admits a SSCY structure;*
- *$M$  has no Kähler structures.*

$$M = (\mathbb{C}^3, *) / \Gamma$$

*where  $*$  is defined by*

$$\begin{aligned} {}^t(z_1, z_2, z_3) * {}^t(w_1, w_2, w_3) = \\ {}^t(z_1 + w_1, e^{-w_1}z_2 + w_2, e^{w_1}z_3 + w_3) \end{aligned}$$

*and  $\Gamma$  is a certain closed subgroup of  $(\mathbb{C}^3, *)$  finitely generated.*



# Special Structures on Symplectic Manifolds

**Theorem** (P. de Bartolomeis,—, *Ann. Inst. Fourier* '06)

*There exists a compact complex manifold  $M$  such that*

- *$M$  has a symplectic structure satisfying the Hard Lefschetz Condition;*
- *$M$  admits a SSCY structure;*
- *$M$  has no Kähler structures.*

$$M = (\mathbb{C}^3, *) / \Gamma$$

*where  $*$  is defined by*

$$\begin{aligned} {}^t(z_1, z_2, z_3) * {}^t(w_1, w_2, w_3) = \\ {}^t(z_1 + w_1, e^{-w_1}z_2 + w_2, e^{w_1}z_3 + w_3) \end{aligned}$$

*and  $\Gamma$  is a certain closed subgroup of  $(\mathbb{C}^3, *)$  finitely generated.*



# Special Structures on Symplectic Manifolds

**Theorem** (P. de Bartolomeis,—, *Ann. Inst. Fourier* '06)

*There exists a compact complex manifold  $M$  such that*

- *$M$  has a symplectic structure satisfying the Hard Lefschetz Condition;*
- *$M$  admits a SSCY structure;*
- *$M$  has no Kähler structures.*

$$M = (\mathbb{C}^3, *) / \Gamma$$

*where  $*$  is defined by*

$$\begin{aligned} {}^t(z_1, z_2, z_3) * {}^t(w_1, w_2, w_3) = \\ {}^t(z_1 + w_1, e^{-w_1}z_2 + w_2, e^{w_1}z_3 + w_3) \end{aligned}$$

*and  $\Gamma$  is a certain closed subgroup of  $(\mathbb{C}^3, *)$  finitely generated.*



**Theorem** (P. de Bartolomeis,—, *Ann. Inst. Fourier* '06)

*There exists a compact complex manifold  $M$  such that*

- *$M$  has a symplectic structure satisfying the Hard Lefschetz Condition;*
- *$M$  admits a SSCY structure;*
- *$M$  has no Kähler structures.*

$$M = (\mathbb{C}^3, *) / \Gamma$$

*where  $*$  is defined by*

$$\begin{aligned} {}^t(z_1, z_2, z_3) * {}^t(w_1, w_2, w_3) = \\ {}^t(z_1 + w_1, e^{-w_1}z_2 + w_2, e^{w_1}z_3 + w_3) \end{aligned}$$

*and  $\Gamma$  is a certain closed subgroup of  $(\mathbb{C}^3, *)$  finitely generated.*



**Theorem** (P. de Bartolomeis,—, *Ann. Inst. Fourier* '06)

*There exists a compact complex manifold  $M$  such that*

- *$M$  has a symplectic structure satisfying the Hard Lefschetz Condition;*
- *$M$  admits a SSCY structure;*
- *$M$  has no Kähler structures.*

$$M = (\mathbb{C}^3, *) / \Gamma$$

*where  $*$  is defined by*

$${}^t(z_1, z_2, z_3) * {}^t(w_1, w_2, w_3) =$$

$${}^t(z_1 + w_1, e^{-w_1}z_2 + w_2, e^{w_1}z_3 + w_3)$$

*and  $\Gamma$  is a certain closed subgroup of  $(\mathbb{C}^3, *)$  finitely generated.*



**Theorem** (P. de Bartolomeis,—, *Ann. Inst. Fourier* '06)

*There exists a compact complex manifold  $M$  such that*

- *$M$  has a symplectic structure satisfying the Hard Lefschetz Condition;*
- *$M$  admits a SSCY structure;*
- *$M$  has no Kähler structures.*

$$M = (\mathbb{C}^3, *) / \Gamma$$

*where  $*$  is defined by*

$$\begin{aligned} {}^t(z_1, z_2, z_3) * {}^t(w_1, w_2, w_3) = \\ {}^t(z_1 + w_1, e^{-w_1}z_2 + w_2, e^{w_1}z_3 + w_3) \end{aligned}$$

*and  $\Gamma$  is a certain closed subgroup of  $(\mathbb{C}^3, *)$  finitely generated.*



**Theorem** (P. de Bartolomeis,—, *Ann. Inst. Fourier* '06)

*There exists a compact complex manifold  $M$  such that*

- *$M$  has a symplectic structure satisfying the Hard Lefschetz Condition;*
- *$M$  admits a SSCY structure;*
- *$M$  has no Kähler structures.*

$$M = (\mathbb{C}^3, *) / \Gamma$$

*where  $*$  is defined by*

$${}^t(z_1, z_2, z_3) * {}^t(w_1, w_2, w_3) =$$

$${}^t(z_1 + w_1, e^{-w_1}z_2 + w_2, e^{w_1}z_3 + w_3)$$

*and  $\Gamma$  is a certain closed subgroup of  $(\mathbb{C}^3, *)$  finitely generated.*



- In [D. Conti,—](*Quarterly J.* '07) nilmanifolds carrying SSCY-structures are classified.
  - For other results in higher dimensions [P. de Bartolomeis,—](*Inter. J. Math.* '06).



- In [D. Conti,—](*Quarterly J.* '07) nilmanifolds carrying SSCY-structures are classified.
  - For other results in higher dimensions [P. de Bartolomeis,—](*Inter. J. Math.* '06).



Let  $(M^{2n}, J)$  be a complex manifold.

**Def.** A Hermitian metric  $g$  on  $(M^{2n}, J)$  is said to be *strong Kähler with torsion*, (SKT), if

$$\partial_J \bar{\partial}_J F = 0,$$

where  $F$  is the fundamental  $(1,1)$ -form of  $g$ .

**Def.** (J. Jost, Y.-T. Yau, *Acta Math.* '93). A Hermitian metric  $g$  on  $(M^{2n}, J)$  is said to be *astheno Kähler*, if

$$\partial_J \bar{\partial}_J F^{n-2} = 0.$$

**Rem.**

- If  $(M, J)$  is a complex surface, then every Hermitian metric is astheno-Kähler.
- In complex dimension 3, strong KT means astheno-Kähler.



Let  $(M^{2n}, J)$  be a complex manifold.

**Def.** A Hermitian metric  $g$  on  $(M^{2n}, J)$  is said to be *strong Kähler with torsion*, (SKT), if

$$\partial_J \bar{\partial}_J F = 0,$$

where  $F$  is the fundamental  $(1,1)$ -form of  $g$ .

**Def.** (J. Jost, Y.-T. Yau, *Acta Math.* '93). A Hermitian metric  $g$  on  $(M^{2n}, J)$  is said to be *astheno Kähler*, if

$$\partial_J \bar{\partial}_J F^{n-2} = 0.$$

**Rem.**

- If  $(M, J)$  is a complex surface, then every Hermitian metric is astheno-Kähler.
- In complex dimension 3, strong KT means astheno-Kähler.



Let  $(M^{2n}, J)$  be a complex manifold.

**Def.** A Hermitian metric  $g$  on  $(M^{2n}, J)$  is said to be *strong Kähler with torsion*, (SKT), if

$$\partial_J \bar{\partial}_J F = 0,$$

where  $F$  is the fundamental  $(1,1)$ -form of  $g$ .

**Def.** (J. Jost, Y.-T. Yau, *Acta Math.* '93). A Hermitian metric  $g$  on  $(M^{2n}, J)$  is said to be *astheno Kähler*, if

$$\partial_J \bar{\partial}_J F^{n-2} = 0.$$

**Rem.**

- If  $(M, J)$  is a complex surface, then every Hermitian metric is astheno-Kähler.
- In complex dimension 3, strong KT means astheno-Kähler.



Let  $(M^{2n}, J)$  be a complex manifold.

**Def.** A Hermitian metric  $g$  on  $(M^{2n}, J)$  is said to be *strong Kähler with torsion*, (SKT), if

$$\partial_J \bar{\partial}_J F = 0,$$

where  $F$  is the fundamental  $(1, 1)$ -form of  $g$ .

**Def.** (J. Jost, Y.-T. Yau, *Acta Math.* '93). A Hermitian metric  $g$  on  $(M^{2n}, J)$  is said to be *astheno Kähler*, if

$$\partial_J \bar{\partial}_J F^{n-2} = 0.$$

**Rem.**

- If  $(M, J)$  is a complex surface, then every Hermitian metric is astheno-Kähler.
- In complex dimension 3, strong KT means astheno-Kähler.



Let  $(M^{2n}, J)$  be a complex manifold.

**Def.** A Hermitian metric  $g$  on  $(M^{2n}, J)$  is said to be *strong Kähler with torsion*, (SKT), if

$$\partial_J \bar{\partial}_J F = 0,$$

where  $F$  is the fundamental  $(1, 1)$ -form of  $g$ .

**Def.** (J. Jost, Y.-T. Yau, *Acta Math.* '93). A Hermitian metric  $g$  on  $(M^{2n}, J)$  is said to be *astheno Kähler*, if

$$\partial_J \bar{\partial}_J F^{n-2} = 0.$$

**Rem.**

- If  $(M, J)$  is a complex surface, then every Hermitian metric is astheno-Kähler.
- In complex dimension 3, strong KT means astheno-Kähler.



Let  $(M^{2n}, J)$  be a complex manifold.

**Def.** A Hermitian metric  $g$  on  $(M^{2n}, J)$  is said to be *strong Kähler with torsion*, (SKT), if

$$\partial_J \bar{\partial}_J F = 0,$$

where  $F$  is the fundamental  $(1, 1)$ -form of  $g$ .

**Def.** (J. Jost, Y.-T. Yau, *Acta Math.* '93). A Hermitian metric  $g$  on  $(M^{2n}, J)$  is said to be *astheno Kähler*, if

$$\partial_J \bar{\partial}_J F^{n-2} = 0.$$

**Rem.**

- If  $(M, J)$  is a complex surface, then every Hermitian metric is astheno-Kähler.
- In complex dimension 3, strong KT means astheno-Kähler.



Let  $(M^{2n}, J)$  be a complex manifold.

**Def.** A Hermitian metric  $g$  on  $(M^{2n}, J)$  is said to be *strong Kähler with torsion*, (SKT), if

$$\partial_J \bar{\partial}_J F = 0,$$

where  $F$  is the fundamental  $(1, 1)$ -form of  $g$ .

**Def.** (J. Jost, Y.-T. Yau, *Acta Math.* '93). A Hermitian metric  $g$  on  $(M^{2n}, J)$  is said to be *astheno Kähler*, if

$$\partial_J \bar{\partial}_J F^{n-2} = 0.$$

**Rem.**

- If  $(M, J)$  is a complex surface, then every Hermitian metric is astheno-Kähler.
- In complex dimension 3, strong KT means astheno-Kähler.



Let  $(M^{2n}, J)$  be a complex manifold.

**Def.** A Hermitian metric  $g$  on  $(M^{2n}, J)$  is said to be *strong Kähler with torsion*, (SKT), if

$$\partial_J \bar{\partial}_J F = 0,$$

where  $F$  is the fundamental  $(1, 1)$ -form of  $g$ .

**Def.** (J. Jost, Y.-T. Yau, *Acta Math.* '93). A Hermitian metric  $g$  on  $(M^{2n}, J)$  is said to be *astheno Kähler*, if

$$\partial_J \bar{\partial}_J F^{n-2} = 0.$$

**Rem.**

- If  $(M, J)$  is a complex surface, then every Hermitian metric is astheno-Kähler.

- In complex dimension 3, strong KT means astheno-Kähler.



Let  $(M^{2n}, J)$  be a complex manifold.

**Def.** A Hermitian metric  $g$  on  $(M^{2n}, J)$  is said to be *strong Kähler with torsion*, (SKT), if

$$\partial_J \bar{\partial}_J F = 0,$$

where  $F$  is the fundamental  $(1, 1)$ -form of  $g$ .

**Def.** (J. Jost, Y.-T. Yau, *Acta Math.* '93). A Hermitian metric  $g$  on  $(M^{2n}, J)$  is said to be *astheno Kähler*, if

$$\partial_J \bar{\partial}_J F^{n-2} = 0.$$

**Rem.**

- If  $(M, J)$  is a complex surface, then every Hermitian metric is astheno-Kähler.
- In complex dimension 3, strong KT means astheno-Kähler.



$(M, J, g)$  Hermitian manifold.

The *Bismut connection*  $\nabla^B$  is characterized by the following

$$\nabla^B g = 0, \quad \nabla^B J = 0,$$

$$g(X, T^{\nabla^B}(Y, Z)) \text{ totally skew-symmetric}$$

The *torsion form* of the Bismut connection

$$T(X, Y, Z) := g(X, T^{\nabla^B}(Y, Z))$$

is  $JdF = -d^c F$ .

$$g(\nabla_X^B Y, Z) = g(\nabla_X^{LC} Y, Z) + \frac{1}{2} T(X, Y, Z),$$

$\forall X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(M, TM)$ .

- A Hermitian metric  $g$  is strong KT if and only if the torsion form of the Bismut connection is  $d$ -closed.



# Bismut connection

$(M, J, g)$  Hermitian manifold.

The *Bismut connection*  $\nabla^B$  is characterized by the following

$$\nabla^B g = 0, \quad \nabla^B J = 0,$$

$$g(X, T^{\nabla^B}(Y, Z)) \text{ totally skew-symmetric}$$

The *torsion form* of the Bismut connection

$$T(X, Y, Z) := g(X, T^{\nabla^B}(Y, Z))$$

is  $JdF = -d^c F$ .

$$g(\nabla_X^B Y, Z) = g(\nabla_X^{LC} Y, Z) + \frac{1}{2} T(X, Y, Z),$$

$\forall X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(M, TM)$ .

- A Hermitian metric  $g$  is strong KT if and only if the torsion form of the Bismut connection is  $d$ -closed.



# Bismut connection

$(M, J, g)$  Hermitian manifold.

The *Bismut connection*  $\nabla^B$  is characterized by the following

$$\nabla^B g = 0, \quad \nabla^B J = 0,$$

$$g(X, T^{\nabla^B}(Y, Z)) \text{ totally skew-symmetric}$$

The *torsion form* of the Bismut connection

$$T(X, Y, Z) := g(X, T^{\nabla^B}(Y, Z))$$

is  $JdF = -d^c F$ .

$$g(\nabla_X^B Y, Z) = g(\nabla_X^{LC} Y, Z) + \frac{1}{2} T(X, Y, Z),$$

$\forall X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(M, TM)$ .

- A Hermitian metric  $g$  is strong KT if and only if the torsion form of the Bismut connection is  $d$ -closed.



# Bismut connection

$(M, J, g)$  Hermitian manifold.

The *Bismut connection*  $\nabla^B$  is characterized by the following

$$\nabla^B g = 0, \quad \nabla^B J = 0,$$

$$g(X, T^{\nabla^B}(Y, Z)) \text{ totally skew-symmetric}$$

The *torsion form* of the Bismut connection

$$T(X, Y, Z) := g(X, T^{\nabla^B}(Y, Z))$$

is  $JdF = -d^c F$ .

$$g(\nabla_X^B Y, Z) = g(\nabla_X^{LC} Y, Z) + \frac{1}{2} T(X, Y, Z),$$

$\forall X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(M, TM)$ .

- A Hermitian metric  $g$  is strong KT if and only if the torsion form of the Bismut connection is  $d$ -closed.



# Bismut connection

$(M, J, g)$  Hermitian manifold.

The *Bismut connection*  $\nabla^B$  is characterized by the following

$$\nabla^B g = 0, \quad \nabla^B J = 0,$$

$$g(X, T^{\nabla^B}(Y, Z)) \text{ totally skew-symmetric}$$

The *torsion form* of the Bismut connection

$$T(X, Y, Z) := g(X, T^{\nabla^B}(Y, Z))$$

is  $JdF = -d^c F$ .

$$g(\nabla_X^B Y, Z) = g(\nabla_X^{LC} Y, Z) + \frac{1}{2} T(X, Y, Z),$$

$\forall X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(M, TM)$ .

- A Hermitian metric  $g$  is strong KT if and only if the torsion form of the Bismut connection is  $d$ -closed.



$(M, J, g)$  Hermitian manifold.

The *Bismut connection*  $\nabla^B$  is characterized by the following

$$\nabla^B g = 0, \quad \nabla^B J = 0,$$

$$g(X, T^{\nabla^B}(Y, Z)) \text{ totally skew-symmetric}$$

The *torsion form* of the Bismut connection

$$T(X, Y, Z) := g(X, T^{\nabla^B}(Y, Z))$$

is  $JdF = -d^c F$ .

$$g(\nabla_X^B Y, Z) = g(\nabla_X^{LC} Y, Z) + \frac{1}{2} T(X, Y, Z),$$

$\forall X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(M, TM)$ .

- A Hermitian metric  $g$  is strong KT if and only if the torsion form of the Bismut connection is  $d$ -closed.



# Bismut connection

$(M, J, g)$  Hermitian manifold.

The *Bismut connection*  $\nabla^B$  is characterized by the following

$$\nabla^B g = 0, \quad \nabla^B J = 0,$$

$$g(X, T^{\nabla^B}(Y, Z)) \text{ totally skew-symmetric}$$

The *torsion form* of the Bismut connection

$$T(X, Y, Z) := g(X, T^{\nabla^B}(Y, Z))$$

is  $JdF = -d^c F$ .

$$g(\nabla_X^B Y, Z) = g(\nabla_X^{LC} Y, Z) + \frac{1}{2} T(X, Y, Z),$$

$\forall X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(M, TM)$ .

• A Hermitian metric  $g$  is strong KT if and only if the torsion form of the Bismut connection is  $d$ -closed.



# Bismut connection

$(M, J, g)$  Hermitian manifold.

The *Bismut connection*  $\nabla^B$  is characterized by the following

$$\nabla^B g = 0, \quad \nabla^B J = 0,$$

$$g(X, T^{\nabla^B}(Y, Z)) \text{ totally skew-symmetric}$$

The *torsion form* of the Bismut connection

$$T(X, Y, Z) := g(X, T^{\nabla^B}(Y, Z))$$

is  $JdF = -d^c F$ .

$$g(\nabla_X^B Y, Z) = g(\nabla_X^{LC} Y, Z) + \frac{1}{2} T(X, Y, Z),$$

$\forall X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(M, TM)$ .

- A Hermitian metric  $g$  is strong KT if and only if the torsion form of the Bismut connection is  $d$ -closed.



- $(M, J)$  compact complex surface  $\Rightarrow$  every conformal class of a Hermitian metric has a strong KT representative (Gauduchon, *Math. Ann.* '84).
- $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} M > 4$  compact examples of strong KT metrics on nilmanifolds (Fino, Parton, Salamon, *Comm. Math. Helv.* '04).



- $(M, J)$  compact complex surface  $\Rightarrow$  every conformal class of a Hermitian metric has a strong KT representative (Gauduchon, *Math. Ann.* '84).
- $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} M > 4$  compact examples of strong KT metrics on nilmanifolds (Fino, Parton, Salamon, *Comm. Math. Helv.* '04).



A *generalized Kähler structure* on  $M$  (*GK structure*) (see Gualtieri-Apostolov *Comm. Math. Phys.* '07 and Gualtieri *DPhil thesis* '03), is equivalent to assign a triple  $(g, J_+, J_-)$  where:

- $g$  is a Riemannian metric on  $M$
- $J_+$  and  $J_-$  are two complex structures on  $M$ , compatible with  $g$  and such that

$$d_+^c F_+ + d_-^c F_- = 0, \quad dd_+^c F_+ = 0, \quad dd_-^c F_- = 0,$$

$F_+, F_-$  fundamental forms of  $(g, J_+), (g, J_-)$ ,

$$d_+^c = i(\bar{\partial}_+ - \partial_+), \quad d_-^c = i(\bar{\partial}_- - \partial_-).$$

$d_+^c F_+$  *torsion form* of the GK structure.



A *generalized Kähler structure* on  $M$  (*GK structure*) (see Gualtieri-Apostolov *Comm. Math. Phys.* '07 and Gualtieri *DPhil thesis* '03), is equivalent to assign a triple  $(g, J_+, J_-)$  where:

- $g$  is a Riemannian metric on  $M$
- $J_+$  and  $J_-$  are two complex structures on  $M$ , compatible with  $g$  and such that

$$d_+^c F_+ + d_-^c F_- = 0, \quad dd_+^c F_+ = 0, \quad dd_-^c F_- = 0,$$

$F_+, F_-$  fundamental forms of  $(g, J_+), (g, J_-)$ ,

$$d_+^c = i(\bar{\partial}_+ - \partial_+), \quad d_-^c = i(\bar{\partial}_- - \partial_-).$$

$d_+^c F_+$  *torsion form* of the GK structure.



A *generalized Kähler structure* on  $M$  (*GK structure*) (see Gualtieri-Apostolov *Comm. Math. Phys.* '07 and Gualtieri *DPhil thesis* '03), is equivalent to assign a triple  $(g, J_+, J_-)$  where:

- $g$  is a Riemannian metric on  $M$
- $J_+$  and  $J_-$  are two complex structures on  $M$ , compatible with  $g$  and such that

$$d_+^c F_+ + d_-^c F_- = 0, \quad dd_+^c F_+ = 0, \quad dd_-^c F_- = 0,$$

$F_+, F_-$  fundamental forms of  $(g, J_+), (g, J_-)$ ,

$$d_+^c = i(\bar{\partial}_+ - \partial_+), \quad d_-^c = i(\bar{\partial}_- - \partial_-).$$

$d_+^c F_+$  *torsion form* of the GK structure.



A *generalized Kähler structure* on  $M$  (*GK structure*) (see Gualtieri-Apostolov *Comm. Math. Phys.* '07 and Gualtieri *DPhil thesis* '03), is equivalent to assign a triple  $(g, J_+, J_-)$  where:

- $g$  is a Riemannian metric on  $M$
- $J_+$  and  $J_-$  are two complex structures on  $M$ , compatible with  $g$  and such that

$$d_+^c F_+ + d_-^c F_- = 0, \quad dd_+^c F_+ = 0, \quad dd_-^c F_- = 0,$$

$F_+, F_-$  fundamental forms of  $(g, J_+), (g, J_-)$ ,

$$d_+^c = i(\bar{\partial}_+ - \partial_+), \quad d_-^c = i(\bar{\partial}_- - \partial_-).$$

$d_+^c F_+$  *torsion form* of the GK structure.



A *generalized Kähler structure* on  $M$  (*GK structure*) (see Gualtieri-Apostolov *Comm. Math. Phys.* '07 and Gualtieri *DPhil thesis* '03), is equivalent to assign a triple  $(g, J_+, J_-)$  where:

- $g$  is a Riemannian metric on  $M$
- $J_+$  and  $J_-$  are two complex structures on  $M$ , compatible with  $g$  and such that

$$d_+^c F_+ + d_-^c F_- = 0, \quad dd_+^c F_+ = 0, \quad dd_-^c F_- = 0,$$

$F_+, F_-$  fundamental forms of  $(g, J_+), (g, J_-)$ ,

$$d_+^c = i(\bar{\partial}_+ - \partial_+), \quad d_-^c = i(\bar{\partial}_- - \partial_-).$$

$d_+^c F_+$  *torsion form* of the GK structure.



A *generalized Kähler structure* on  $M$  (*GK structure*) (see Gualtieri-Apostolov *Comm. Math. Phys.* '07 and Gualtieri *DPhil thesis* '03), is equivalent to assign a triple  $(g, J_+, J_-)$  where:

- $g$  is a Riemannian metric on  $M$
- $J_+$  and  $J_-$  are two complex structures on  $M$ , compatible with  $g$  and such that

$$d_+^c F_+ + d_-^c F_- = 0, \quad dd_+^c F_+ = 0, \quad dd_-^c F_- = 0,$$

$F_+, F_-$  fundamental forms of  $(g, J_+), (g, J_-)$ ,

$$d_+^c = i(\bar{\partial}_+ - \partial_+), \quad d_-^c = i(\bar{\partial}_- - \partial_-).$$

$d_+^c F_+$  *torsion form* of the GK structure.



A *generalized Kähler structure* on  $M$  (*GK structure*) (see Gualtieri-Apostolov *Comm. Math. Phys.* '07 and Gualtieri *DPhil thesis* '03), is equivalent to assign a triple  $(g, J_+, J_-)$  where:

- $g$  is a Riemannian metric on  $M$
- $J_+$  and  $J_-$  are two complex structures on  $M$ , compatible with  $g$  and such that

$$d_+^c F_+ + d_-^c F_- = 0, \quad dd_+^c F_+ = 0, \quad dd_-^c F_- = 0,$$

$F_+, F_-$  fundamental forms of  $(g, J_+), (g, J_-)$ ,

$$d_+^c = i(\bar{\partial}_+ - \partial_+), \quad d_-^c = i(\bar{\partial}_- - \partial_-).$$

$d_+^c F_+$  *torsion form* of the GK structure.



**Example**  $(M, g, J)$  Kähler

$$J_+ = J, \quad J_- = \pm J$$

$\Rightarrow (g, J_+, J_-)$  GK structure on  $M$ .



**Rem.** If  $M$  has a GK structure, then  $M$  has a strong KT metric.

**Pb.** When does a compact complex manifold  $(M, J)$  admit a GK structure  $(g, J_+, J_-)$  with  $J = J_+$ ?

*Interesting case:*  $J_+ \neq \pm J_-$ , i.e. the GK structure is not induced by a Kähler metric on  $(M, J)$ .



**Example**  $(M, g, J)$  Kähler

$$J_+ = J, \quad J_- = \pm J$$

$\Rightarrow (g, J_+, J_-)$  GK structure on  $M$ .



**Rem.** If  $M$  has a GK structure, then  $M$  has a strong KT metric.

**Pb.** When does a compact complex manifold  $(M, J)$  admit a GK structure  $(g, J_+, J_-)$  with  $J = J_+$ ?

*Interesting case:*  $J_+ \neq \pm J_-$ , i.e. the GK structure is not induced by a Kähler metric on  $(M, J)$ .



**Example**  $(M, g, J)$  Kähler

$$J_+ = J, \quad J_- = \pm J$$

$\Rightarrow (g, J_+, J_-)$  GK structure on  $M$ .



**Rem.** If  $M$  has a GK structure, then  $M$  has a strong KT metric.

**Pb.** When does a compact complex manifold  $(M, J)$  admit a GK structure  $(g, J_+, J_-)$  with  $J = J_+$ ?

*Interesting case:*  $J_+ \neq \pm J_-$ , i.e. the GK structure is not induced by a Kähler metric on  $(M, J)$ .



**Example**  $(M, g, J)$  Kähler

$$J_+ = J, \quad J_- = \pm J$$

$\Rightarrow (g, J_+, J_-)$  GK structure on  $M$ .



**Rem.** If  $M$  has a GK structure, then  $M$  has a strong KT metric.

**Pb.** When does a compact complex manifold  $(M, J)$  admit a GK structure  $(g, J_+, J_-)$  with  $J = J_+$ ?

*Interesting case:*  $J_+ \neq \pm J_-$ , i.e. the GK structure is not induced by a Kähler metric on  $(M, J)$ .



**Example**  $(M, g, J)$  Kähler

$$J_+ = J, \quad J_- = \pm J$$

$\Rightarrow (g, J_+, J_-)$  GK structure on  $M$ .



**Rem.** If  $M$  has a GK structure, then  $M$  has a strong KT metric.

**Pb.** When does a compact complex manifold  $(M, J)$  admit a GK structure  $(g, J_+, J_-)$  with  $J = J_+$ ?

*Interesting case:*  $J_+ \neq \pm J_-$ , i.e. the GK structure is not induced by a Kähler metric on  $(M, J)$ .



## Existence results

- $(M, J)$  compact complex surface.

*Classification theorem of generalized Kähler structures*

(Apostolov and Gualtieri, *Comm. Math. Phys.* '07)

- $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} M = 6$ .

By [Cavalcanti and Gualtieri, *J. of Symp. Geom.* '05]

*every nilmanifold carries a GC structure*

- $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} M = 2n$

*there are no nilmanifolds (different from Tori) admitting an invariant GK structure.*

(Cavalcanti, *Topol. and its Applic.* '06)



## Existence results

- $(M, J)$  compact complex surface.

*Classification theorem of generalized Kähler structures*

(Apostolov and Gualtieri, *Comm. Math. Phys.* '07)

- $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} M = 6$ .

By [Cavalcanti and Gualtieri, *J. of Sympl. Geom.* '05]

*every nilmanifold carries a GC structure*

- $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} M = 2n$

*there are no nilmanifolds (different from Tori) admitting an invariant GK structure.*

(Cavalcanti, *Topol. and its Applic.* '06)



## Existence results

- $(M, J)$  compact complex surface.

*Classification theorem of generalized Kähler structures*

(Apostolov and Gualtieri, *Comm. Math. Phys.* '07)

- $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} M = 6$ .

By [Cavalcanti and Gualtieri, *J. of Sympl. Geom.* '05]

*every nilmanifold carries a GC structure*

- $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} M = 2n$

*there are no nilmanifolds (different from Tori) admitting an invariant GK structure.*

(Cavalcanti, *Topol. and its Applic.* '06)



## Existence results

- $(M, J)$  compact complex surface.

*Classification theorem of generalized Kähler structures*

(Apostolov and Gualtieri, *Comm. Math. Phys.* '07)

- $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} M = 6$ .

By [Cavalcanti and Gualtieri, *J. of Symp. Geom.* '05]

*every nilmanifold carries a GC structure*

- $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} M = 2n$

*there are no nilmanifolds (different from Tori) admitting an invariant GK structure.*

(Cavalcanti, *Topol. and its Applic.* '06)



## Existence results

- $(M, J)$  compact complex surface.

*Classification theorem of generalized Kähler structures*

(Apostolov and Gualtieri, *Comm. Math. Phys.* '07)

- $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} M = 6$ .

By [Cavalcanti and Gualtieri, *J. of Symp. Geom.* '05]

*every nilmanifold carries a GC structure*

- $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} M = 2n$

*there are no nilmanifolds (different from Tori) admitting an invariant GK structure.*

(Cavalcanti, *Topol. and its Applic.* '06)



## Existence results

- $(M, J)$  compact complex surface.

*Classification theorem of generalized Kähler structures*

(Apostolov and Gualtieri, *Comm. Math. Phys.* '07)

- $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} M = 6$ .

By [Cavalcanti and Gualtieri, *J. of Symp. Geom.* '05]

*every nilmanifold carries a GC structure*

- $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} M = 2n$

*there are no nilmanifolds (different from Tori) admitting an invariant GK structure.*

(Cavalcanti, *Topol. and its Applic.* '06)



## Existence results

- $(M, J)$  compact complex surface.

*Classification theorem of generalized Kähler structures*

(Apostolov and Gualtieri, *Comm. Math. Phys.* '07)

- $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} M = 6$ .

By [Cavalcanti and Gualtieri, *J. of Symp. Geom.* '05]

*every nilmanifold carries a GC structure*

- $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} M = 2n$

*there are no nilmanifolds (different from Tori) admitting an invariant GK structure.*

(Cavalcanti, *Topol. and its Applic.* '06)



## Existence results

- $(M, J)$  compact complex surface.

*Classification theorem of generalized Kähler structures*

(Apostolov and Gualtieri, *Comm. Math. Phys.* '07)

- $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} M = 6$ .

By [Cavalcanti and Gualtieri, *J. of Symp. Geom.* '05]

*every nilmanifold carries a GC structure*

- $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} M = 2n$

*there are no nilmanifolds (different from Tori) admitting an invariant GK structure.*

(Cavalcanti, *Topol. and its Applic.* '06)



## Compact example

- $\mathfrak{s}_{a,b}$  solvable Lie algebra defined by:

$$\begin{cases} de^1 = a e^1 \wedge e^2, \\ de^2 = 0, \\ de^3 = \frac{1}{2} a e^2 \wedge e^3, \\ de^4 = \frac{1}{2} a e^2 \wedge e^4, \\ de^5 = b e^2 \wedge e^6, \\ de^6 = -b e^2 \wedge e^5, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

$a, b$  real parameters different from zero.

- $S_{a,b}$  simply-connected Lie group whose Lie algebra is  $\mathfrak{s}_{a,b}$   
 $(t, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5)$  global coordinates on  $\mathbb{R}^6$ .



## Compact example

- $\mathfrak{s}_{a,b}$  solvable Lie algebra defined by:

$$\begin{cases} de^1 = a e^1 \wedge e^2, \\ de^2 = 0, \\ de^3 = \frac{1}{2} a e^2 \wedge e^3, \\ de^4 = \frac{1}{2} a e^2 \wedge e^4, \\ de^5 = b e^2 \wedge e^6, \\ de^6 = -b e^2 \wedge e^5, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

$a, b$  real parameters different from zero.

- $S_{a,b}$  simply-connected Lie group whose Lie algebra is  $\mathfrak{s}_{a,b}$

$(t, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5)$  global coordinates on  $\mathbb{R}^6$ .



## Compact example

- $\mathfrak{s}_{a,b}$  solvable Lie algebra defined by:

$$\begin{cases} de^1 = a e^1 \wedge e^2, \\ de^2 = 0, \\ de^3 = \frac{1}{2} a e^2 \wedge e^3, \\ de^4 = \frac{1}{2} a e^2 \wedge e^4, \\ de^5 = b e^2 \wedge e^6, \\ de^6 = -b e^2 \wedge e^5, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

$a, b$  real parameters different from zero.

- $S_{a,b}$  simply-connected Lie group whose Lie algebra is  $\mathfrak{s}_{a,b}$   
 $(t, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5)$  global coordinates on  $\mathbb{R}^6$ .



## Compact example

- $\mathfrak{s}_{a,b}$  solvable Lie algebra defined by:

$$\begin{cases} de^1 = a e^1 \wedge e^2, \\ de^2 = 0, \\ de^3 = \frac{1}{2} a e^2 \wedge e^3, \\ de^4 = \frac{1}{2} a e^2 \wedge e^4, \\ de^5 = b e^2 \wedge e^6, \\ de^6 = -b e^2 \wedge e^5, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

$a, b$  real parameters different from zero.

- $S_{a,b}$  simply-connected Lie group whose Lie algebra is  $\mathfrak{s}_{a,b}$

$(t, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5)$  global coordinates on  $\mathbb{R}^6$ .



## Compact example

- $\mathfrak{s}_{a,b}$  solvable Lie algebra defined by:

$$\begin{cases} de^1 = a e^1 \wedge e^2, \\ de^2 = 0, \\ de^3 = \frac{1}{2} a e^2 \wedge e^3, \\ de^4 = \frac{1}{2} a e^2 \wedge e^4, \\ de^5 = b e^2 \wedge e^6, \\ de^6 = -b e^2 \wedge e^5, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

$a, b$  real parameters different from zero.

- $S_{a,b}$  simply-connected Lie group whose Lie algebra is  $\mathfrak{s}_{a,b}$   
( $t, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5$ ) global coordinates on  $\mathbb{R}^6$ .



## Compact example

- $\mathfrak{s}_{a,b}$  solvable Lie algebra defined by:

$$\begin{cases} de^1 = a e^1 \wedge e^2, \\ de^2 = 0, \\ de^3 = \frac{1}{2} a e^2 \wedge e^3, \\ de^4 = \frac{1}{2} a e^2 \wedge e^4, \\ de^5 = b e^2 \wedge e^6, \\ de^6 = -b e^2 \wedge e^5, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

$a, b$  real parameters different from zero.

- $S_{a,b}$  simply-connected Lie group whose Lie algebra is  $\mathfrak{s}_{a,b}$   
 $(t, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5)$  global coordinates on  $\mathbb{R}^6$ .



- Product on  $S_{a,b}$

$$\begin{aligned} & (t, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) \cdot (t', x'_1, x'_2, x'_3, x'_4, x'_5) = \\ & (t + t', e^{-a t} x'_1 + x_1, e^{\frac{a}{2} t} x'_2 + x_2, e^{\frac{a}{2} t} x'_3 + x_3, \\ & x'_4 \cos(b t) - x'_5 \sin(b t) + x_4, \\ & x'_4 \sin(b t) + x'_5 \cos(b t) + x_5). \end{aligned}$$

- $S_{a,b}$  unimodular semidirect product

$$\mathbb{R} \ltimes_{\varphi} (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2),$$

$\varphi = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2)$  diagonal action of  $\mathbb{R}$  on  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2$ .



- Product on  $S_{a,b}$

$$\begin{aligned} & (t, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) \cdot (t', x'_1, x'_2, x'_3, x'_4, x'_5) = \\ & (t + t', e^{-a t} x'_1 + x_1, e^{\frac{a}{2} t} x'_2 + x_2, e^{\frac{a}{2} t} x'_3 + x_3, \\ & x'_4 \cos(b t) - x'_5 \sin(b t) + x_4, \\ & x'_4 \sin(b t) + x'_5 \cos(b t) + x_5). \end{aligned}$$

- $S_{a,b}$  unimodular semidirect product

$$\mathbb{R} \ltimes_{\varphi} (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2),$$

$\varphi = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2)$  diagonal action of  $\mathbb{R}$  on  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2$ .



- Product on  $S_{a,b}$

$$\begin{aligned} (t, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) \cdot (t', x'_1, x'_2, x'_3, x'_4, x'_5) = \\ (t + t', e^{-a t} x'_1 + x_1, e^{\frac{a}{2} t} x'_2 + x_2, e^{\frac{a}{2} t} x'_3 + x_3, \\ x'_4 \cos(b t) - x'_5 \sin(b t) + x_4, \\ x'_4 \sin(b t) + x'_5 \cos(b t) + x_5). \end{aligned}$$

- $S_{a,b}$  unimodular semidirect product

$$\mathbb{R} \ltimes_{\varphi} (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2),$$

$\varphi = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2)$  diagonal action of  $\mathbb{R}$  on  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2$ .



- Product on  $S_{a,b}$

$$\begin{aligned} & (t, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) \cdot (t', x'_1, x'_2, x'_3, x'_4, x'_5) = \\ & (t + t', e^{-a t} x'_1 + x_1, e^{\frac{a}{2} t} x'_2 + x_2, e^{\frac{a}{2} t} x'_3 + x_3, \\ & x'_4 \cos(b t) - x'_5 \sin(b t) + x_4, \\ & x'_4 \sin(b t) + x'_5 \cos(b t) + x_5). \end{aligned}$$

- $S_{a,b}$  unimodular semidirect product

$$\mathbb{R} \ltimes_{\varphi} (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2),$$

$\varphi = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2)$  diagonal action of  $\mathbb{R}$  on  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2$ .



**Theorem** (A. Fino, —, to appear in *J. of Symp. Geom.*)

- $S_{1, \frac{\pi}{2}}$  has a compact quotient

$$M^6 = S_{1, \frac{\pi}{2}} / \Gamma.$$

- $M^6$  is the total space of a  $\mathbb{T}^2$ -bundle over the Inoue surface.
- $M^6 = S_{1, \frac{\pi}{2}} / \Gamma$  has a non-trivial left invariant GK structure.
- $b_1(M^6) = 1 \Rightarrow M^6$  has no Kähler metrics.

□



**Theorem** (A. Fino, —, to appear in *J. of Symp. Geom.*)

- $S_{1, \frac{\pi}{2}}$  has a compact quotient

$$M^6 = S_{1, \frac{\pi}{2}} / \Gamma .$$

- $M^6$  is the total space of a  $\mathbb{T}^2$ -bundle over the Inoue surface.
- $M^6 = S_{1, \frac{\pi}{2}} / \Gamma$  has a non-trivial left invariant GK structure.
- $b_1(M^6) = 1 \Rightarrow M^6$  has no Kähler metrics.

□



**Theorem** (A. Fino, —, to appear in *J. of Symp. Geom.*)

- $S_{1, \frac{\pi}{2}}$  has a compact quotient

$$M^6 = S_{1, \frac{\pi}{2}} / \Gamma .$$

- $M^6$  is the total space of a  $\mathbb{T}^2$ -bundle over the Inoue surface.
- $M^6 = S_{1, \frac{\pi}{2}} / \Gamma$  has a non-trivial left invariant GK structure.
- $b_1(M^6) = 1 \Rightarrow M^6$  has no Kähler metrics.

□



**Theorem** (A. Fino, —, to appear in *J. of Symp. Geom.*)

- $S_{1, \frac{\pi}{2}}$  has a compact quotient

$$M^6 = S_{1, \frac{\pi}{2}} / \Gamma .$$

- $M^6$  is the total space of a  $\mathbb{T}^2$ -bundle over the Inoue surface.
- $M^6 = S_{1, \frac{\pi}{2}} / \Gamma$  has a non-trivial left invariant GK structure.
- $b_1(M^6) = 1 \Rightarrow M^6$  has no Kähler metrics.



**Theorem** (A. Fino, —, to appear in *J. of Symp. Geom.*)

- $S_{1, \frac{\pi}{2}}$  has a compact quotient

$$M^6 = S_{1, \frac{\pi}{2}} / \Gamma .$$

- $M^6$  is the total space of a  $\mathbb{T}^2$ -bundle over the Inoue surface.
- $M^6 = S_{1, \frac{\pi}{2}} / \Gamma$  has a non-trivial left invariant GK structure.
- $b_1(M^6) = 1 \Rightarrow M^6$  has no Kähler metrics.



**Theorem** (A. Fino, —, to appear in *J. of Symp. Geom.*)

- $S_{1, \frac{\pi}{2}}$  has a compact quotient

$$M^6 = S_{1, \frac{\pi}{2}} / \Gamma .$$

- $M^6$  is the total space of a  $\mathbb{T}^2$ -bundle over the Inoue surface.
- $M^6 = S_{1, \frac{\pi}{2}} / \Gamma$  has a non-trivial left invariant GK structure.
- $b_1(M^6) = 1 \Rightarrow M^6$  has no Kähler metrics.



**Theorem** (A. Fino, —, to appear in *J. of Symp. Geom.*)

- $S_{1, \frac{\pi}{2}}$  has a compact quotient

$$M^6 = S_{1, \frac{\pi}{2}} / \Gamma .$$

- $M^6$  is the total space of a  $\mathbb{T}^2$ -bundle over the Inoue surface.
- $M^6 = S_{1, \frac{\pi}{2}} / \Gamma$  has a non-trivial left invariant GK structure.
- $b_1(M^6) = 1 \Rightarrow M^6$  has no Kähler metrics.

□



# Link with the Generalized Kähler structures

- GK structure on  $M^6 = S_{1, \frac{\pi}{2}}/\Gamma$

$$\varphi_+^1 = e^1 + ie^2, \quad \varphi_+^2 = e^3 + ie^4, \quad \varphi_+^3 = e^5 + ie^6,$$

$$\varphi_-^1 = e^1 - ie^2, \quad \varphi_-^2 = e^3 + ie^4, \quad \varphi_-^3 = e^5 + ie^6.$$

$(\varphi_{\pm}^1, \varphi_{\pm}^2, \varphi_{\pm}^3)$   $(1, 0)$ -forms associated with  $J_{\pm}$ .

- $J_{\pm}$  integrable.

- $g = \sum_{\alpha=1}^6 e^{\alpha} \otimes e^{\alpha}$   $J_{\pm}$ -Hermitian.

Then

$$d_+^c F_+ + d_-^c F_- = 0, \quad dd_+^c F_+ = 0, \quad dd_-^c F_- = 0,$$

$(g, J_+, J_-)$  defines a left-invariant GK structure on  $M^6$ .

$d_+^c F_+ = e^1 \wedge e^3 \wedge e^4$  closed non-exact



# Link with the Generalized Kähler structures

- GK structure on  $M^6 = S_{1, \frac{\pi}{2}}/\Gamma$

$$\varphi_+^1 = e^1 + ie^2, \quad \varphi_+^2 = e^3 + ie^4, \quad \varphi_+^3 = e^5 + ie^6,$$

$$\varphi_-^1 = e^1 - ie^2, \quad \varphi_-^2 = e^3 + ie^4, \quad \varphi_-^3 = e^5 + ie^6.$$

$(\varphi_\pm^1, \varphi_\pm^2, \varphi_\pm^3)$   $(1, 0)$ -forms associated with  $J_\pm$ .

- $J_\pm$  integrable.

- $g = \sum_{\alpha=1}^6 e^\alpha \otimes e^\alpha$   $J_\pm$ -Hermitian.

Then

$$d_+^c F_+ + d_-^c F_- = 0, \quad dd_+^c F_+ = 0, \quad dd_-^c F_- = 0,$$

$(g, J_+, J_-)$  defines a left-invariant GK structure on  $M^6$ .

$d_+^c F_+ = e^1 \wedge e^3 \wedge e^4$  closed non-exact



# Link with the Generalized Kähler structures

- GK structure on  $M^6 = S_{1, \frac{\pi}{2}}/\Gamma$

$$\varphi_+^1 = e^1 + ie^2, \quad \varphi_+^2 = e^3 + ie^4, \quad \varphi_+^3 = e^5 + ie^6,$$

$$\varphi_-^1 = e^1 - ie^2, \quad \varphi_-^2 = e^3 + ie^4, \quad \varphi_-^3 = e^5 + ie^6.$$

$(\varphi_{\pm}^1, \varphi_{\pm}^2, \varphi_{\pm}^3)$   $(1, 0)$ -forms associated with  $J_{\pm}$ .

- $J_{\pm}$  integrable.

- $g = \sum_{\alpha=1}^6 e^{\alpha} \otimes e^{\alpha}$   $J_{\pm}$ -Hermitian.

Then

$$d_+^c F_+ + d_-^c F_- = 0, \quad dd_+^c F_+ = 0, \quad dd_-^c F_- = 0,$$

$(g, J_+, J_-)$  defines a left-invariant GK structure on  $M^6$ .

$d_+^c F_+ = e^1 \wedge e^3 \wedge e^4$  closed non-exact



# Link with the Generalized Kähler structures

- GK structure on  $M^6 = S_{1, \frac{\pi}{2}}/\Gamma$

$$\varphi_+^1 = e^1 + ie^2, \quad \varphi_+^2 = e^3 + ie^4, \quad \varphi_+^3 = e^5 + ie^6,$$

$$\varphi_-^1 = e^1 - ie^2, \quad \varphi_-^2 = e^3 + ie^4, \quad \varphi_-^3 = e^5 + ie^6.$$

$(\varphi_{\pm}^1, \varphi_{\pm}^2, \varphi_{\pm}^3)$   $(1, 0)$ -forms associated with  $J_{\pm}$ .

- $J_{\pm}$  integrable.

- $g = \sum_{\alpha=1}^6 e^{\alpha} \otimes e^{\alpha}$   $J_{\pm}$ -Hermitian.

Then

$$d_+^c F_+ + d_-^c F_- = 0, \quad dd_+^c F_+ = 0, \quad dd_-^c F_- = 0,$$

$(g, J_+, J_-)$  defines a left-invariant GK structure on  $M^6$ .

$d_+^c F_+ = e^1 \wedge e^3 \wedge e^4$  closed non-exact



# Link with the Generalized Kähler structures

- GK structure on  $M^6 = S_{1, \frac{\pi}{2}}/\Gamma$

$$\varphi_+^1 = e^1 + ie^2, \quad \varphi_+^2 = e^3 + ie^4, \quad \varphi_+^3 = e^5 + ie^6,$$

$$\varphi_-^1 = e^1 - ie^2, \quad \varphi_-^2 = e^3 + ie^4, \quad \varphi_-^3 = e^5 + ie^6.$$

$(\varphi_{\pm}^1, \varphi_{\pm}^2, \varphi_{\pm}^3)$   $(1, 0)$ -forms associated with  $J_{\pm}$ .

- $J_{\pm}$  integrable.

- $g = \sum_{\alpha=1}^6 e^{\alpha} \otimes e^{\alpha}$   $J_{\pm}$ -Hermitian.

Then

$$d_+^c F_+ + d_-^c F_- = 0, \quad dd_+^c F_+ = 0, \quad dd_-^c F_- = 0,$$

$(g, J_+, J_-)$  defines a left-invariant GK structure on  $M^6$ .

$d_+^c F_+ = e^1 \wedge e^3 \wedge e^4$  closed non-exact



# Link with the Generalized Kähler structures

- GK structure on  $M^6 = S_{1, \frac{\pi}{2}}/\Gamma$

$$\varphi_+^1 = e^1 + ie^2, \quad \varphi_+^2 = e^3 + ie^4, \quad \varphi_+^3 = e^5 + ie^6,$$

$$\varphi_-^1 = e^1 - ie^2, \quad \varphi_-^2 = e^3 + ie^4, \quad \varphi_-^3 = e^5 + ie^6.$$

$(\varphi_{\pm}^1, \varphi_{\pm}^2, \varphi_{\pm}^3)$   $(1, 0)$ -forms associated with  $J_{\pm}$ .

- $J_{\pm}$  integrable.

- $g = \sum_{\alpha=1}^6 e^{\alpha} \otimes e^{\alpha}$   $J_{\pm}$ -Hermitian.

Then

$$d_+^c F_+ + d_-^c F_- = 0, \quad dd_+^c F_+ = 0, \quad dd_-^c F_- = 0,$$

$(g, J_+, J_-)$  defines a left-invariant GK structure on  $M^6$ .

$d_+^c F_+ = e^1 \wedge e^3 \wedge e^4$  closed non-exact



# Link with the Generalized Kähler structures

- GK structure on  $M^6 = S_{1, \frac{\pi}{2}}/\Gamma$

$$\varphi_+^1 = e^1 + ie^2, \quad \varphi_+^2 = e^3 + ie^4, \quad \varphi_+^3 = e^5 + ie^6,$$

$$\varphi_-^1 = e^1 - ie^2, \quad \varphi_-^2 = e^3 + ie^4, \quad \varphi_-^3 = e^5 + ie^6.$$

$(\varphi_\pm^1, \varphi_\pm^2, \varphi_\pm^3)$   $(1, 0)$ -forms associated with  $J_\pm$ .

- $J_\pm$  integrable.

- $g = \sum_{\alpha=1}^6 e^\alpha \otimes e^\alpha$   $J_\pm$ -Hermitian.

Then

$$d_+^c F_+ + d_-^c F_- = 0, \quad dd_+^c F_+ = 0, \quad dd_-^c F_- = 0,$$

$(g, J_+, J_-)$  defines a left-invariant GK structure on  $M^6$ .

$d_+^c F_+ = e^1 \wedge e^3 \wedge e^4$  closed non-exact



# Link with the Generalized Kähler structures

- GK structure on  $M^6 = S_{1, \frac{\pi}{2}}/\Gamma$

$$\varphi_+^1 = e^1 + ie^2, \quad \varphi_+^2 = e^3 + ie^4, \quad \varphi_+^3 = e^5 + ie^6,$$

$$\varphi_-^1 = e^1 - ie^2, \quad \varphi_-^2 = e^3 + ie^4, \quad \varphi_-^3 = e^5 + ie^6.$$

$(\varphi_{\pm}^1, \varphi_{\pm}^2, \varphi_{\pm}^3)$   $(1, 0)$ -forms associated with  $J_{\pm}$ .

- $J_{\pm}$  integrable.

- $g = \sum_{\alpha=1}^6 e^{\alpha} \otimes e^{\alpha}$   $J_{\pm}$ -Hermitian.

Then

$$d_+^c F_+ + d_-^c F_- = 0, \quad dd_+^c F_+ = 0, \quad dd_-^c F_- = 0,$$

$(g, J_+, J_-)$  defines a left-invariant GK structure on  $M^6$ .

$d_+^c F_+ = e^1 \wedge e^3 \wedge e^4$  closed non-exact



# Link with the Generalized Kähler structures

- GK structure on  $M^6 = S_{1, \frac{\pi}{2}}/\Gamma$

$$\varphi_+^1 = e^1 + ie^2, \quad \varphi_+^2 = e^3 + ie^4, \quad \varphi_+^3 = e^5 + ie^6,$$

$$\varphi_-^1 = e^1 - ie^2, \quad \varphi_-^2 = e^3 + ie^4, \quad \varphi_-^3 = e^5 + ie^6.$$

$(\varphi_{\pm}^1, \varphi_{\pm}^2, \varphi_{\pm}^3)$   $(1, 0)$ -forms associated with  $J_{\pm}$ .

- $J_{\pm}$  integrable.

- $g = \sum_{\alpha=1}^6 e^{\alpha} \otimes e^{\alpha}$   $J_{\pm}$ -Hermitian.

Then

$$d_+^c F_+ + d_-^c F_- = 0, \quad dd_+^c F_+ = 0, \quad dd_-^c F_- = 0,$$

$(g, J_+, J_-)$  defines a left-invariant GK structure on  $M^6$ .

$d_+^c F_+ = e^1 \wedge e^3 \wedge e^4$  closed non-exact



## Uniform subgroup

- $S_{1, \frac{\pi}{2}}$  is isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{R}^6 = \mathbb{R} \times (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}), *)$  where

$$(t, u, z, w) * (t', u', z', w') = (t + t', c^t u' + u, \alpha^t z' + z, e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}t} w' + w),$$

$\forall t, t', u, u' \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $z, z', w, w' \in \mathbb{C}$ .

- $\Gamma$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{Z}^3 \times \mathbb{Z}^2)$

$$g_0 : (t, u, z, w) \mapsto (t + 1, cu, \alpha z, iw),$$

$$g_j : (t, u, z, w) \mapsto (t, u + c_j, z + \alpha_j, w), j = 1, 2, 3,$$

$$g_4 : (t, u, z, w) \mapsto (t, u, z, w + 1),$$

$$g_5 : (t, u, z, w) \mapsto (t, u, z, w + i).$$

It can be checked that

- $\Gamma$  acts freely and in a properly discontinuous way on  $S_{1, \frac{\pi}{2}}$
- $S_{1, \frac{\pi}{2}}/\Gamma$  is compact. Furthermore



## Uniform subgroup

- $S_{1, \frac{\pi}{2}}$  is isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{R}^6 = \mathbb{R} \times (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}), *)$  where

$$(t, u, z, w) * (t', u', z', w') = (t + t', c^t u' + u, \alpha^t z' + z, e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}t} w' + w),$$

$\forall t, t', u, u' \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $z, z', w, w' \in \mathbb{C}$ .

- $\Gamma$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{Z}^3 \times \mathbb{Z}^2)$

$$g_0 : (t, u, z, w) \mapsto (t + 1, cu, \alpha z, iw),$$

$$g_j : (t, u, z, w) \mapsto (t, u + c_j, z + \alpha_j, w), \quad j = 1, 2, 3,$$

$$g_4 : (t, u, z, w) \mapsto (t, u, z, w + 1),$$

$$g_5 : (t, u, z, w) \mapsto (t, u, z, w + i).$$

It can be checked that

- $\Gamma$  acts freely and in a properly discontinuous way on  $S_{1, \frac{\pi}{2}}$
- $S_{1, \frac{\pi}{2}}/\Gamma$  is compact. Furthermore



## Uniform subgroup

- $S_{1, \frac{\pi}{2}}$  is isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{R}^6 = \mathbb{R} \times (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}), *)$  where

$$(t, u, z, w) * (t', u', z', w') = (t + t', c^t u' + u, \alpha^t z' + z, e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}t} w' + w),$$

$\forall t, t', u, u' \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $z, z', w, w' \in \mathbb{C}$ .

- $\Gamma$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{Z}^3 \times \mathbb{Z}^2)$

$$g_0 : (t, u, z, w) \mapsto (t + 1, cu, \alpha z, iw),$$

$$g_j : (t, u, z, w) \mapsto (t, u + c_j, z + \alpha_j, w), j = 1, 2, 3,$$

$$g_4 : (t, u, z, w) \mapsto (t, u, z, w + 1),$$

$$g_5 : (t, u, z, w) \mapsto (t, u, z, w + i).$$

It can be checked that

- $\Gamma$  acts freely and in a properly discontinuous way on  $S_{1, \frac{\pi}{2}}$
- $S_{1, \frac{\pi}{2}}/\Gamma$  is compact. Furthermore



## Uniform subgroup

- $S_{1, \frac{\pi}{2}}$  is isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{R}^6 = \mathbb{R} \times (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}), *)$  where

$$(t, u, z, w) * (t', u', z', w') = (t + t', c^t u' + u, \alpha^t z' + z, e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}t} w' + w),$$

$\forall t, t', u, u' \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $z, z', w, w' \in \mathbb{C}$ .

- $\Gamma$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{Z}^3 \times \mathbb{Z}^2)$

$$g_0 : (t, u, z, w) \mapsto (t + 1, cu, \alpha z, iw),$$

$$g_j : (t, u, z, w) \mapsto (t, u + c_j, z + \alpha_j, w), \quad j = 1, 2, 3,$$

$$g_4 : (t, u, z, w) \mapsto (t, u, z, w + 1),$$

$$g_5 : (t, u, z, w) \mapsto (t, u, z, w + i).$$

It can be checked that

- $\Gamma$  acts freely and in a properly discontinuous way on  $S_{1, \frac{\pi}{2}}$
- $S_{1, \frac{\pi}{2}}/\Gamma$  is compact. Furthermore



## Uniform subgroup

- $S_{1, \frac{\pi}{2}}$  is isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{R}^6 = \mathbb{R} \times (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}), *)$  where

$$(t, u, z, w) * (t', u', z', w') = (t + t', c^t u' + u, \alpha^t z' + z, e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}t} w' + w),$$

$\forall t, t', u, u' \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $z, z', w, w' \in \mathbb{C}$ .

- $\Gamma$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{Z}^3 \times \mathbb{Z}^2)$

$$g_0 : (t, u, z, w) \mapsto (t + 1, cu, \alpha z, iw),$$

$$g_j : (t, u, z, w) \mapsto (t, u + c_j, z + \alpha_j, w), j = 1, 2, 3,$$

$$g_4 : (t, u, z, w) \mapsto (t, u, z, w + 1),$$

$$g_5 : (t, u, z, w) \mapsto (t, u, z, w + i).$$

It can be checked that

- $\Gamma$  acts freely and in a properly discontinuous way on  $S_{1, \frac{\pi}{2}}$
- $S_{1, \frac{\pi}{2}}/\Gamma$  is compact. Furthermore



## Uniform subgroup

- $S_{1, \frac{\pi}{2}}$  is isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{R}^6 = \mathbb{R} \times (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}), *)$  where

$$(t, u, z, w) * (t', u', z', w') = (t + t', c^t u' + u, \alpha^t z' + z, e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}t} w' + w),$$

$\forall t, t', u, u' \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $z, z', w, w' \in \mathbb{C}$ .

- $\Gamma$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{Z}^3 \times \mathbb{Z}^2)$

$$g_0 : (t, u, z, w) \mapsto (t + 1, cu, \alpha z, iw),$$

$$g_j : (t, u, z, w) \mapsto (t, u + c_j, z + \alpha_j, w), j = 1, 2, 3,$$

$$g_4 : (t, u, z, w) \mapsto (t, u, z, w + 1),$$

$$g_5 : (t, u, z, w) \mapsto (t, u, z, w + i).$$

It can be checked that

- $\Gamma$  acts freely and in a properly discontinuous way on  $S_{1, \frac{\pi}{2}}$
- $S_{1, \frac{\pi}{2}}/\Gamma$  is compact. Furthermore



## Uniform subgroup

- $S_{1, \frac{\pi}{2}}$  is isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{R}^6 = \mathbb{R} \times (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}), *)$  where

$$(t, u, z, w) * (t', u', z', w') = (t + t', c^t u' + u, \alpha^t z' + z, e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}t} w' + w),$$

$\forall t, t', u, u' \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $z, z', w, w' \in \mathbb{C}$ .

- $\Gamma$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{Z}^3 \times \mathbb{Z}^2)$

$$g_0 : (t, u, z, w) \mapsto (t + 1, cu, \alpha z, iw),$$

$$g_j : (t, u, z, w) \mapsto (t, u + c_j, z + \alpha_j, w), j = 1, 2, 3,$$

$$g_4 : (t, u, z, w) \mapsto (t, u, z, w + 1),$$

$$g_5 : (t, u, z, w) \mapsto (t, u, z, w + i).$$

It can be checked that

- $\Gamma$  acts freely and in a properly discontinuous way on  $S_{1, \frac{\pi}{2}}$
- $S_{1, \frac{\pi}{2}}/\Gamma$  is compact. Furthermore



## Uniform subgroup

- $S_{1, \frac{\pi}{2}}$  is isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{R}^6 = \mathbb{R} \times (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}), *)$  where

$$(t, u, z, w) * (t', u', z', w') = (t + t', c^t u' + u, \alpha^t z' + z, e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}t} w' + w),$$

$\forall t, t', u, u' \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $z, z', w, w' \in \mathbb{C}$ .

- $\Gamma$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{Z}^3 \times \mathbb{Z}^2)$

$$g_0 : (t, u, z, w) \mapsto (t + 1, cu, \alpha z, iw),$$

$$g_j : (t, u, z, w) \mapsto (t, u + c_j, z + \alpha_j, w), j = 1, 2, 3,$$

$$g_4 : (t, u, z, w) \mapsto (t, u, z, w + 1),$$

$$g_5 : (t, u, z, w) \mapsto (t, u, z, w + i).$$

It can be checked that

- $\Gamma$  acts freely and in a properly discontinuous way on  $S_{1, \frac{\pi}{2}}$
- $S_{1, \frac{\pi}{2}}/\Gamma$  is compact. Furthermore



$$\begin{aligned}\pi : \mathbb{R} \times (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}) &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}), \\ (t, u, z, w) &\mapsto (t, u, z)\end{aligned}$$

$M^6$  is a  $\mathbb{T}^2$ -bundle over the Inoue surface.



$$\begin{aligned}\pi : \mathbb{R} \times (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}) &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}), \\ (t, u, z, w) &\mapsto (t, u, z)\end{aligned}$$

$M^6$  is a  $\mathbb{T}^2$ -bundle over the Inoue surface.



# Characterization in terms of currents

We shortly recall some known facts on positive currents on an  $n$ -dimensional complex manifold  $M$ .

- $\Omega^{p,q}(M)$  (respectively by  $\mathcal{D}^{p,q}(M)$ ) space of  $(p, q)$ -forms (respectively  $(p, q)$ -forms with compact support) on  $M$ .

On  $\mathcal{D}^{p,q}(M)$  consider the  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ -topology.

- The *space of currents* of *bi-dimension*  $(p, q)$  or of *bi-degree*  $(n - p, n - q)$  is the topological dual  $\mathcal{D}'_{p,q}(M)$  of  $\mathcal{D}^{p,q}(M)$ .

- A current of bi-dimension  $(p, q)$  on  $M$  can be locally identified with a  $(n - p, n - q)$ -form on  $M$  with coefficients distributions.



# Characterization in terms of currents

We shortly recall some known facts on positive currents on an  $n$ -dimensional complex manifold  $M$ .

- $\Omega^{p,q}(M)$  (respectively by  $\mathcal{D}^{p,q}(M)$ ) space of  $(p, q)$ -forms (respectively  $(p, q)$ -forms with compact support) on  $M$ .

On  $\mathcal{D}^{p,q}(M)$  consider the  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ -topology.

- The *space of currents* of *bi-dimension*  $(p, q)$  or of *bi-degree*  $(n - p, n - q)$  is the topological dual  $\mathcal{D}'_{p,q}(M)$  of  $\mathcal{D}^{p,q}(M)$ .
- A current of bi-dimension  $(p, q)$  on  $M$  can be locally identified with a  $(n - p, n - q)$ -form on  $M$  with coefficients distributions.



# Characterization in terms of currents

We shortly recall some known facts on positive currents on an  $n$ -dimensional complex manifold  $M$ .

- $\Omega^{p,q}(M)$  (respectively by  $\mathcal{D}^{p,q}(M)$ ) space of  $(p, q)$ -forms (respectively  $(p, q)$ -forms with compact support) on  $M$ .

On  $\mathcal{D}^{p,q}(M)$  consider the  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ -topology.

- The *space of currents* of *bi-dimension*  $(p, q)$  or of *bi-degree*  $(n - p, n - q)$  is the topological dual  $\mathcal{D}'_{p,q}(M)$  of  $\mathcal{D}^{p,q}(M)$ .
- A current of bi-dimension  $(p, q)$  on  $M$  can be locally identified with a  $(n - p, n - q)$ -form on  $M$  with coefficients distributions.



# Characterization in terms of currents

We shortly recall some known facts on positive currents on an  $n$ -dimensional complex manifold  $M$ .

- $\Omega^{p,q}(M)$  (respectively by  $\mathcal{D}^{p,q}(M)$ ) space of  $(p, q)$ -forms (respectively  $(p, q)$ -forms with compact support) on  $M$ .

On  $\mathcal{D}^{p,q}(M)$  consider the  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ -topology.

- The *space of currents* of *bi-dimension*  $(p, q)$  or of *bi-degree*  $(n - p, n - q)$  is the topological dual  $\mathcal{D}'_{p,q}(M)$  of  $\mathcal{D}^{p,q}(M)$ .
- A current of bi-dimension  $(p, q)$  on  $M$  can be locally identified with a  $(n - p, n - q)$ -form on  $M$  with coefficients distributions.



# Characterization in terms of currents

We shortly recall some known facts on positive currents on an  $n$ -dimensional complex manifold  $M$ .

- $\Omega^{p,q}(M)$  (respectively by  $\mathcal{D}^{p,q}(M)$ ) space of  $(p, q)$ -forms (respectively  $(p, q)$ -forms with compact support) on  $M$ .

On  $\mathcal{D}^{p,q}(M)$  consider the  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ -topology.

- The *space of currents* of *bi-dimension*  $(p, q)$  or of *bi-degree*  $(n - p, n - q)$  is the topological dual  $\mathcal{D}'_{p,q}(M)$  of  $\mathcal{D}^{p,q}(M)$ .
- A current of bi-dimension  $(p, q)$  on  $M$  can be locally identified with a  $(n - p, n - q)$ -form on  $M$  with coefficients distributions.



# Characterization in terms of currents

We shortly recall some known facts on positive currents on an  $n$ -dimensional complex manifold  $M$ .

- $\Omega^{p,q}(M)$  (respectively by  $\mathcal{D}^{p,q}(M)$ ) space of  $(p, q)$ -forms (respectively  $(p, q)$ -forms with compact support) on  $M$ .

On  $\mathcal{D}^{p,q}(M)$  consider the  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ -topology.

- The *space of currents* of *bi-dimension*  $(p, q)$  or of *bi-degree*  $(n - p, n - q)$  is the topological dual  $\mathcal{D}'_{p,q}(M)$  of  $\mathcal{D}^{p,q}(M)$ .
- A current of bi-dimension  $(p, q)$  on  $M$  can be locally identified with a  $(n - p, n - q)$ -form on  $M$  with coefficients distributions.



# Characterization in terms of currents

- A current  $T$  of bi-dimension  $(p, p)$  is *real* if  $T(\varphi) = T(\bar{\varphi})$ , for any  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}^{p,p}(M)$ . If  $T \in \mathcal{D}'_{p,p}(M)$  is real,  $\Rightarrow$

$$T = \sigma_{n-p} \sum_{I, \bar{J}} T_{I\bar{J}} dz_I \wedge d\bar{z}_{\bar{J}},$$

where  $\sigma_{n-p} = \frac{i^{(n-p)^2}}{2^{(n-p)}}$ ,  $T_{I\bar{J}}$  are distributions on  $\Omega$  such that

$$T_{\bar{J}I} = \bar{T}_{I\bar{J}}$$

and  $I, \bar{J}$  are multi-indices of length  $n-p$ ,  $I = (i_1, \dots, i_{n-p})$ ,

$$dz_I = dz_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dz_{i_{n-p}}$$



# Characterization in terms of currents

- A current  $T$  of bi-dimension  $(p, p)$  is *real* if  $T(\varphi) = T(\bar{\varphi})$ , for any  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}^{p,p}(M)$ . If  $T \in \mathcal{D}'_{p,p}(M)$  is real,  $\Rightarrow$

$$T = \sigma_{n-p} \sum_{I, \bar{J}} T_{I\bar{J}} dz_I \wedge d\bar{z}_{\bar{J}},$$

where  $\sigma_{n-p} = \frac{i^{(n-p)^2}}{2^{(n-p)}}$ ,  $T_{I\bar{J}}$  are distributions on  $\Omega$  such that

$$T_{\bar{J}I} = \overline{T_{I\bar{J}}}$$

and  $I, J$  are multi-indices of length  $n-p$ ,  $I = (i_1, \dots, i_{n-p})$ ,

$$dz_I = dz_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dz_{i_{n-p}}$$



# Characterization in terms of currents

- A current  $T$  of bi-dimension  $(p, p)$  is *real* if  $T(\varphi) = T(\bar{\varphi})$ , for any  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}^{p,p}(M)$ . If  $T \in \mathcal{D}'_{p,p}(M)$  is real,  $\Rightarrow$

$$T = \sigma_{n-p} \sum_{I, \bar{J}} T_{I\bar{J}} dz_I \wedge d\bar{z}_{\bar{J}},$$

where  $\sigma_{n-p} = \frac{i^{(n-p)^2}}{2^{(n-p)}}$ ,  $T_{I\bar{J}}$  are distributions on  $\Omega$  such that

$$T_{\bar{J}I} = \overline{T_{I\bar{J}}}$$

and  $I, J$  are multi-indices of length  $n - p$ ,  $I = (i_1, \dots, i_{n-p})$ ,

$$dz_I = dz_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dz_{i_{n-p}}$$



# Characterization in terms of currents

- A current  $T$  of bi-dimension  $(p, p)$  is *real* if  $T(\varphi) = T(\bar{\varphi})$ , for any  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}^{p,p}(M)$ . If  $T \in \mathcal{D}'_{p,p}(M)$  is real,  $\Rightarrow$

$$T = \sigma_{n-p} \sum_{I, \bar{J}} T_{I\bar{J}} dz_I \wedge d\bar{z}_{\bar{J}},$$

where  $\sigma_{n-p} = \frac{i^{(n-p)^2}}{2^{(n-p)}}$ ,  $T_{I\bar{J}}$  are distributions on  $\Omega$  such that

$$T_{J\bar{I}} = \overline{T_{I\bar{J}}}$$

and  $I, J$  are multi-indices of length  $n - p$ ,  $I = (i_1, \dots, i_{n-p})$ ,

$$dz_I = dz_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dz_{i_{n-p}}$$



# Characterization in terms of currents

- A real current  $T \in \mathcal{D}'_{p,p}(M)$  is *positive* if,

$$T(\sigma_p \varphi^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \varphi^p \wedge \bar{\varphi}^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \bar{\varphi}^p) \geq 0$$

for any choice of  $\varphi^1, \dots, \varphi^p \in \mathcal{D}^{1,0}(\Omega)$ , where  $\sigma_p = \frac{i^p}{2^p}$ .

- A current  $T$  is *strictly positive* if

$$\varphi^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \varphi^p \neq 0$$

$\Rightarrow$

$$T(\sigma_p \varphi^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \varphi^p \wedge \bar{\varphi}^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \bar{\varphi}^p) > 0.$$



# Characterization in terms of currents

- A real current  $T \in \mathcal{D}'_{p,p}(M)$  is *positive* if,

$$T(\sigma_p \varphi^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \varphi^p \wedge \bar{\varphi}^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \bar{\varphi}^p) \geq 0$$

for any choice of  $\varphi^1, \dots, \varphi^p \in \mathcal{D}^{1,0}(\Omega)$ , where  $\sigma_p = \frac{i^{p^2}}{2^p}$ .

- A current  $T$  is *strictly positive* if

$$\varphi^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \varphi^p \neq 0$$

$\Rightarrow$

$$T(\sigma_p \varphi^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \varphi^p \wedge \bar{\varphi}^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \bar{\varphi}^p) > 0.$$



# Characterization in terms of currents

- A real current  $T \in \mathcal{D}'_{p,p}(M)$  is *positive* if,

$$T(\sigma_p \varphi^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \varphi^p \wedge \bar{\varphi}^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \bar{\varphi}^p) \geq 0$$

for any choice of  $\varphi^1, \dots, \varphi^p \in \mathcal{D}^{1,0}(\Omega)$ , where  $\sigma_p = \frac{i^{p^2}}{2^p}$ .

- A current  $T$  is *strictly positive* if

$$\varphi^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \varphi^p \neq 0$$

$\Rightarrow$

$$T(\sigma_p \varphi^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \varphi^p \wedge \bar{\varphi}^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \bar{\varphi}^p) > 0.$$



# Characterization in terms of currents

- If  $F$  is the fundamental 2-form of a Hermitian structure on a complex manifold  $M$ , then  $F$  corresponds to a real strictly positive current of bi-degree  $(1, 1)$ .
- N. Egidi (*Diff. Geom. and its Appl.* '98) showed that *a compact complex manifold has a strong KT metric if and only if there is no non-zero positive current of bi-dimension  $(1, 1)$  which is  $i\partial\bar{\partial}$ -exact.*



# Characterization in terms of currents

- If  $F$  is the fundamental 2-form of a Hermitian structure on a complex manifold  $M$ , then  $F$  corresponds to a real strictly positive current of bi-degree  $(1, 1)$ .
- N. Egidi (*Diff. Geom. and its Appl.* '98) showed that *a compact complex manifold has a strong KT metric if and only if there is no non-zero positive current of bi-dimension  $(1, 1)$  which is  $i\partial\bar{\partial}$ -exact.*



# Characterization in terms of currents

- If  $F$  is the fundamental 2-form of a Hermitian structure on a complex manifold  $M$ , then  $F$  corresponds to a real strictly positive current of bi-degree  $(1, 1)$ .
- N. Egidi (*Diff. Geom. and its Appl.* '98) showed that *a compact complex manifold has a strong KT metric if and only if there is no non-zero positive current of bi-dimension  $(1, 1)$  which is  $i\partial\bar{\partial}$ -exact.*



# Characterization in terms of currents

- If  $F$  is the fundamental 2-form of a Hermitian structure on a complex manifold  $M$ , then  $F$  corresponds to a real strictly positive current of bi-degree  $(1, 1)$ .
- N. Egidi (*Diff. Geom. and its Appl.* '98) showed that *a compact complex manifold has a strong KT metric if and only if there is no non-zero positive current of bi-dimension  $(1, 1)$  which is  $i\partial\bar{\partial}$ -exact.*



We have the following

**Theorem**(A. Fino, —, to appear in *Adv. in Math.*)

*Let  $M$  be a complex manifold of complex dimension  $n \geq 2$ .*

*If  $M \setminus \{p\}$  admits a strong KT metric, then there exists a strong KT metric on  $M$ .*

## Idea of the proof

It is sufficient to show that

*If  $F$  is the fundamental 2-form of a strong KT metric on*

*$\mathbb{B}^n(r) \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , then there exist  $0 < R \leq r$  and*

*$\hat{F} \in \Lambda^{1,1}(\mathbb{B}^n(R))$  such that*

- i)  $\hat{F}$  is the fundamental 2-form of a strong KT metric on metric on  $\mathbb{B}^n(R)$ ,*
- ii)  $\hat{F} = F$  on  $\mathbb{B}^n(R) \setminus \mathbb{B}^n(\frac{2}{3}R)$ .*



We have the following

**Theorem**(A. Fino, —, to appear in *Adv. in Math.*)

*Let  $M$  be a complex manifold of complex dimension  $n \geq 2$ .*

*If  $M \setminus \{p\}$  admits a strong KT metric, then there exists a strong KT metric on  $M$ .*

## Idea of the proof

It is sufficient to show that

*If  $F$  is the fundamental 2-form of a strong KT metric on*

*$\mathbb{B}^n(r) \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , then there exist  $0 < R \leq r$  and*

*$\hat{F} \in \Lambda^{1,1}(\mathbb{B}^n(R))$  such that*

- i)  $\hat{F}$  is the fundamental 2-form of a strong KT metric on metric on  $\mathbb{B}^n(R)$ ,*
- ii)  $\hat{F} = F$  on  $\mathbb{B}^n(R) \setminus \mathbb{B}^n(\frac{2}{3}R)$ .*



We have the following

**Theorem**(A. Fino, —, to appear in *Adv. in Math.*)

*Let  $M$  be a complex manifold of complex dimension  $n \geq 2$ .*

*If  $M \setminus \{p\}$  admits a strong KT metric, then there exists a strong KT metric on  $M$ .*

## Idea of the proof

It is sufficient to show that

*If  $F$  is the fundamental 2-form of a strong KT metric on*

*$\mathbb{B}^n(r) \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , then there exist  $0 < R \leq r$  and*

*$\hat{F} \in \Lambda^{1,1}(\mathbb{B}^n(R))$  such that*

- i)  $\hat{F}$  is the fundamental 2-form of a strong KT metric on metric on  $\mathbb{B}^n(R)$ ,*
- ii)  $\hat{F} = F$  on  $\mathbb{B}^n(R) \setminus \mathbb{B}^n(\frac{2}{3}R)$ .*



# Extension of strong KT metrics

We have the following

**Theorem**(A. Fino, —, to appear in *Adv. in Math.*)

*Let  $M$  be a complex manifold of complex dimension  $n \geq 2$ .*

*If  $M \setminus \{p\}$  admits a strong KT metric, then there exists a strong KT metric on  $M$ .*

## Idea of the proof

It is sufficient to show that

*If  $F$  is the fundamental 2-form of a strong KT metric on*

*$\mathbb{B}^n(r) \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , then there exist  $0 < R \leq r$  and*

*$\hat{F} \in \Lambda^{1,1}(\mathbb{B}^n(R))$  such that*

- i)  $\hat{F}$  is the fundamental 2-form of a strong KT metric on metric on  $\mathbb{B}^n(R)$ ,*
- ii)  $\hat{F} = F$  on  $\mathbb{B}^n(R) \setminus \mathbb{B}^n(\frac{2}{3}R)$ .*



We have the following

**Theorem**(A. Fino, —, to appear in *Adv. in Math.*)

*Let  $M$  be a complex manifold of complex dimension  $n \geq 2$ .*

*If  $M \setminus \{p\}$  admits a strong KT metric, then there exists a strong KT metric on  $M$ .*

## Idea of the proof

It is sufficient to show that

*If  $F$  is the fundamental 2-form of a strong KT metric on*

*$\mathbb{B}^n(r) \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , then there exist  $0 < R \leq r$  and*

*$\hat{F} \in \Lambda^{1,1}(\mathbb{B}^n(R))$  such that*

- i)  $\hat{F}$  is the fundamental 2-form of a strong KT metric on metric on  $\mathbb{B}^n(R)$ ,*
- ii)  $\hat{F} = F$  on  $\mathbb{B}^n(R) \setminus \mathbb{B}^n(\frac{2}{3}R)$ .*



We have the following

**Theorem**(A. Fino, —, to appear in *Adv. in Math.*)

*Let  $M$  be a complex manifold of complex dimension  $n \geq 2$ .*

*If  $M \setminus \{p\}$  admits a strong KT metric, then there exists a strong KT metric on  $M$ .*

## Idea of the proof

It is sufficient to show that

*If  $F$  is the fundamental 2-form of a strong KT metric on*

*$\mathbb{B}^n(r) \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , then there exist  $0 < R \leq r$  and*

*$\hat{F} \in \Lambda^{1,1}(\mathbb{B}^n(R))$  such that*

- i)  $\hat{F}$  is the fundamental 2-form of a strong KT metric on metric on  $\mathbb{B}^n(R)$ ,*
- ii)  $\hat{F} = F$  on  $\mathbb{B}^n(R) \setminus \mathbb{B}^n(\frac{2}{3}R)$ .*



We have the following

**Theorem**(A. Fino, —, to appear in *Adv. in Math.*)

*Let  $M$  be a complex manifold of complex dimension  $n \geq 2$ .*

*If  $M \setminus \{p\}$  admits a strong KT metric, then there exists a strong KT metric on  $M$ .*

## Idea of the proof

It is sufficient to show that

*If  $F$  is the fundamental 2-form of a strong KT metric on*

*$\mathbb{B}^n(r) \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , then there exist  $0 < R \leq r$  and*

*$\hat{F} \in \Lambda^{1,1}(\mathbb{B}^n(R))$  such that*

- i)  $\hat{F}$  is the fundamental 2-form of a strong KT metric on metric on  $\mathbb{B}^n(R)$ ,*
- ii)  $\hat{F} = F$  on  $\mathbb{B}^n(R) \setminus \mathbb{B}^n(\frac{2}{3}R)$ .*



We have the following

**Theorem**(A. Fino, —, to appear in *Adv. in Math.*)

*Let  $M$  be a complex manifold of complex dimension  $n \geq 2$ .*

*If  $M \setminus \{p\}$  admits a strong KT metric, then there exists a strong KT metric on  $M$ .*

## Idea of the proof

It is sufficient to show that

*If  $F$  is the fundamental 2-form of a strong KT metric on*

*$\mathbb{B}^n(r) \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , then there exist  $0 < R \leq r$  and*

*$\hat{F} \in \Lambda^{1,1}(\mathbb{B}^n(R))$  such that*

- i)  $\hat{F}$  is the fundamental 2-form of a strong KT metric on metric on  $\mathbb{B}^n(R)$ ,*
- ii)  $\hat{F} = F$  on  $\mathbb{B}^n(R) \setminus \mathbb{B}^n(\frac{2}{3}R)$ .*



We have the following

**Theorem**(A. Fino, —, to appear in *Adv. in Math.*)

*Let  $M$  be a complex manifold of complex dimension  $n \geq 2$ .*

*If  $M \setminus \{p\}$  admits a strong KT metric, then there exists a strong KT metric on  $M$ .*

## Idea of the proof

It is sufficient to show that

*If  $F$  is the fundamental 2-form of a strong KT metric on*

*$\mathbb{B}^n(r) \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , then there exist  $0 < R \leq r$  and*

*$\hat{F} \in \Lambda^{1,1}(\mathbb{B}^n(R))$  such that*

- i)  $\hat{F}$  is the fundamental 2-form of a strong KT metric on metric on  $\mathbb{B}^n(R)$ ,*
- ii)  $\hat{F} = F$  on  $\mathbb{B}^n(R) \setminus \mathbb{B}^n(\frac{2}{3}R)$ .*



We have the following

**Theorem**(A. Fino, —, to appear in *Adv. in Math.*)

*Let  $M$  be a complex manifold of complex dimension  $n \geq 2$ .*

*If  $M \setminus \{p\}$  admits a strong KT metric, then there exists a strong KT metric on  $M$ .*

## Idea of the proof

It is sufficient to show that

*If  $F$  is the fundamental 2-form of a strong KT metric on*

*$\mathbb{B}^n(r) \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , then there exist  $0 < R \leq r$  and*

*$\hat{F} \in \Lambda^{1,1}(\mathbb{B}^n(R))$  such that*

- i)  $\hat{F}$  is the fundamental 2-form of a strong KT metric on metric on  $\mathbb{B}^n(R)$ ,
- ii)  $\hat{F} = F$  on  $\mathbb{B}^n(R) \setminus \mathbb{B}^n(\frac{2}{3}R)$ .



We have the following

**Theorem**(A. Fino, —, to appear in *Adv. in Math.*)

*Let  $M$  be a complex manifold of complex dimension  $n \geq 2$ .*

*If  $M \setminus \{p\}$  admits a strong KT metric, then there exists a strong KT metric on  $M$ .*

## Idea of the proof

It is sufficient to show that

*If  $F$  is the fundamental 2-form of a strong KT metric on*

*$\mathbb{B}^n(r) \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , then there exist  $0 < R \leq r$  and*

*$\hat{F} \in \Lambda^{1,1}(\mathbb{B}^n(R))$  such that*

- i)  *$\hat{F}$  is the fundamental 2-form of a strong KT metric on metric on  $\mathbb{B}^n(R)$ ,*
- ii)  *$\hat{F} = F$  on  $\mathbb{B}^n(R) \setminus \mathbb{B}^n(\frac{2}{3}R)$ .*



# Extension of strong KT metrics

Let  $F$  be the fundamental form of a strong KT metric on  $\mathbb{B}^n(r) \setminus \{0\}$ . Set  $T = -F$ . Then by

- By Alessandrini and Bassanelli (*Forum Math.* '93) the  $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -closed current  $T$  can be extended as a current to  $\mathbb{B}^n(r)$  by

$$T^0(\varphi) = \int_{\mathbb{B}^n(r) \setminus \{0\}} F \wedge \varphi, \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}^{n-1, n-1}(\mathbb{B}^n(r))$$

Set  $F^0 = -T^0$ . Then

- By Siu (*Inv. Math.* '74), Bassanelli (*Forum Math.* '93)

$$F^0 = \partial G + \bar{\partial} \bar{G}, \quad \text{on } \mathbb{B}^n(R)$$

for some  $0 < R \leq r$ , where  $G$  is a current of bi-degree  $(0, 1)$ .

- In fact,  $G$  is smooth on  $\mathbb{B}^n(R) \setminus \{0\}$



# Extension of strong KT metrics

Let  $F$  be the fundamental form of a strong KT metric on  $\mathbb{B}^n(r) \setminus \{0\}$ . Set  $T = -F$ . Then by

- By Alessandrini and Bassanelli (*Forum Math.* '93) the  $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -closed current  $T$  can be extended as a current to  $\mathbb{B}^n(r)$  by

$$T^0(\varphi) = \int_{\mathbb{B}^n(r) \setminus \{0\}} F \wedge \varphi, \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}^{n-1, n-1}(\mathbb{B}^n(r))$$

Set  $F^0 = -T^0$ . Then

- By Siu (*Inv. Math.* '74), Bassanelli (*Forum Math.* '93)

$$F^0 = \partial G + \bar{\partial} \bar{G}, \quad \text{on } \mathbb{B}^n(R)$$

for some  $0 < R \leq r$ , where  $G$  is a current of bi-degree  $(0, 1)$ .

- In fact,  $G$  is smooth on  $\mathbb{B}^n(R) \setminus \{0\}$



# Extension of strong KT metrics

Let  $F$  be the fundamental form of a strong KT metric on  $\mathbb{B}^n(r) \setminus \{0\}$ . Set  $T = -F$ . Then by

- By Alessandrini and Bassanelli (*Forum Math.* '93) the  $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -closed current  $T$  can be extended as a current to  $\mathbb{B}^n(r)$  by

$$T^0(\varphi) = \int_{\mathbb{B}^n(r) \setminus \{0\}} F \wedge \varphi, \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}^{n-1, n-1}(\mathbb{B}^n(r))$$

Set  $F^0 = -T^0$ . Then

- By Siu (*Inv. Math.* '74), Bassanelli (*Forum Math.* '93)

$$F^0 = \partial G + \bar{\partial} \bar{G}, \quad \text{on } \mathbb{B}^n(R)$$

for some  $0 < R \leq r$ , where  $G$  is a current of bi-degree  $(0, 1)$ .

- In fact,  $G$  is smooth on  $\mathbb{B}^n(R) \setminus \{0\}$



# Extension of strong KT metrics

Let  $F$  be the fundamental form of a strong KT metric on  $\mathbb{B}^n(r) \setminus \{0\}$ . Set  $T = -F$ . Then by

- By Alessandrini and Bassanelli (*Forum Math.* '93) the  $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -closed current  $T$  can be extended as a current to  $\mathbb{B}^n(r)$  by

$$T^0(\varphi) = \int_{\mathbb{B}^n(r) \setminus \{0\}} F \wedge \varphi, \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}^{n-1, n-1}(\mathbb{B}^n(r))$$

Set  $F^0 = -T^0$ . Then

- By Siu (*Inv. Math.* '74), Bassanelli (*Forum Math.* '93)

$$F^0 = \partial G + \bar{\partial} \bar{G}, \quad \text{on } \mathbb{B}^n(R)$$

for some  $0 < R \leq r$ , where  $G$  is a current of bi-degree  $(0, 1)$ .

- In fact,  $G$  is smooth on  $\mathbb{B}^n(R) \setminus \{0\}$



# Extension of strong KT metrics

Let  $F$  be the fundamental form of a strong KT metric on  $\mathbb{B}^n(r) \setminus \{0\}$ . Set  $T = -F$ . Then by

- By Alessandrini and Bassanelli (*Forum Math.* '93) the  $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -closed current  $T$  can be extended as a current to  $\mathbb{B}^n(r)$  by

$$T^0(\varphi) = \int_{\mathbb{B}^n(r) \setminus \{0\}} F \wedge \varphi, \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}^{n-1, n-1}(\mathbb{B}^n(r))$$

Set  $F^0 = -T^0$ . Then

- By Siu (*Inv. Math.* '74), Bassanelli (*Forum Math.* '93)

$$F^0 = \partial G + \bar{\partial} \bar{G}, \quad \text{on } \mathbb{B}^n(R)$$

for some  $0 < R \leq r$ , where  $G$  is a current of bi-degree  $(0, 1)$ .

- In fact,  $G$  is smooth on  $\mathbb{B}^n(R) \setminus \{0\}$



# Extension of strong KT metrics

Let  $F$  be the fundamental form of a strong KT metric on  $\mathbb{B}^n(r) \setminus \{0\}$ . Set  $T = -F$ . Then by

- By Alessandrini and Bassanelli (*Forum Math.* '93) the  $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -closed current  $T$  can be extended as a current to  $\mathbb{B}^n(r)$  by

$$T^0(\varphi) = \int_{\mathbb{B}^n(r) \setminus \{0\}} F \wedge \varphi, \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}^{n-1, n-1}(\mathbb{B}^n(r))$$

Set  $F^0 = -T^0$ . Then

- By Siu (*Inv. Math.* '74), Bassanelli (*Forum Math.* '93)

$$F^0 = \partial G + \bar{\partial} \bar{G}, \quad \text{on } \mathbb{B}^n(R)$$

for some  $0 < R \leq r$ , where  $G$  is a current of bi-degree  $(0, 1)$ .

- In fact,  $G$  is smooth on  $\mathbb{B}^n(R) \setminus \{0\}$



- Finally, we can regularize  $G$ , in order that we obtain a  $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -closed and positive  $(1, 1)$ -form on  $\mathbb{B}^n(R)$ .
- The last theorem is the generalization of the *Miyaoka Extension Theorem* (*Proc. Japan Acad.* '74) for Kähler manifolds, to the strong KT case.

As a corollary, we have the following

## Theorem

*Let  $M$  be a complex manifold of complex dimension  $n \geq 2$  and  $\tilde{M}$  be the blow-up of  $M$  at a point  $p \in M$ . Then  $\tilde{M}$  has a strong KT metric if and only if  $M$  admits a strong KT metric.*



- Finally, we can regularize  $G$ , in order that we obtain a  $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -closed and positive  $(1, 1)$ -form on  $\mathbb{B}^n(R)$ .
- The last theorem is the generalization of the *Miyaoka Extension Theorem* (*Proc. Japan Acad.* '74) for Kähler manifolds, to the strong KT case.

As a corollary, we have the following

## Theorem

Let  $M$  be a complex manifold of complex dimension  $n \geq 2$  and  $\tilde{M}$  be the blow-up of  $M$  at a point  $p \in M$ .

Then  $\tilde{M}$  has a strong KT metric if and only if  $M$  admits a strong KT metric.



- Finally, we can regularize  $G$ , in order that we obtain a  $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -closed and positive  $(1, 1)$ -form on  $\mathbb{B}^n(R)$ .
- The last theorem is the generalization of the *Miyaoka Extension Theorem* (*Proc. Japan Acad.* '74) for Kähler manifolds, to the strong KT case.

As a corollary, we have the following

## Theorem

Let  $M$  be a complex manifold of complex dimension  $n \geq 2$  and  $\tilde{M}$  be the blow-up of  $M$  at a point  $p \in M$ .

Then  $\tilde{M}$  has a strong KT metric if and only if  $M$  admits a strong KT metric.



- Finally, we can regularize  $G$ , in order that we obtain a  $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -closed and positive  $(1, 1)$ -form on  $\mathbb{B}^n(R)$ .
- The last theorem is the generalization of the *Miyaoka Extension Theorem* (*Proc. Japan Acad.* '74) for Kähler manifolds, to the strong KT case.

As a corollary, we have the following

## Theorem

Let  $M$  be a complex manifold of complex dimension  $n \geq 2$  and  $\tilde{M}$  be the blow-up of  $M$  at a point  $p \in M$ .

Then  $\tilde{M}$  has a strong KT metric if and only if  $M$  admits a strong KT metric.



- Finally, we can regularize  $G$ , in order that we obtain a  $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -closed and positive  $(1, 1)$ -form on  $\mathbb{B}^n(R)$ .
- The last theorem is the generalization of the *Miyaoka Extension Theorem* (*Proc. Japan Acad.* '74) for Kähler manifolds, to the strong KT case.

As a corollary, we have the following

## Theorem

*Let  $M$  be a complex manifold of complex dimension  $n \geq 2$  and  $\tilde{M}$  be the blow-up of  $M$  at a point  $p \in M$ .*

*Then  $\tilde{M}$  has a strong KT metric if and only if  $M$  admits a strong KT metric.*



**Theorem** (A. Fino, —, to appear in *Adv. in Math.*)

*On the Iwasawa manifold  $\mathbb{I}(3) = \Gamma \backslash H_3^{\mathbb{C}}$  the condition for a Hermitian metric to be strong KT is not stable under small deformations of the complex structure underlying the strong KT structure.*

- $\mathfrak{n}_{t,s}$  family of 2-step nilpotent Lie algebras with structure equations

$$\begin{cases} de^i = 0, & i = 1, \dots, 4, \\ de^5 = t(e^1 \wedge e^2 + 2e^3 \wedge e^4) + s(e^1 \wedge e^3 - e^2 \wedge e^4), \\ de^6 = s(e^1 \wedge e^4 + e^2 \wedge e^3), \end{cases}$$

$$t, s \in \mathbb{R}, s \neq 0.$$



**Theorem** (A. Fino, —, to appear in *Adv. in Math.*)

*On the Iwasawa manifold  $\mathbb{I}(3) = \Gamma \backslash H_3^{\mathbb{C}}$  the condition for a Hermitian metric to be strong KT is not stable under small deformations of the complex structure underlying the strong KT structure.*

- $\mathfrak{n}_{t,s}$  family of 2-step nilpotent Lie algebras with structure equations

$$\begin{cases} de^i = 0, & i = 1, \dots, 4, \\ de^5 = t(e^1 \wedge e^2 + 2e^3 \wedge e^4) + s(e^1 \wedge e^3 - e^2 \wedge e^4), \\ de^6 = s(e^1 \wedge e^4 + e^2 \wedge e^3), \end{cases}$$

$$t, s \in \mathbb{R}, s \neq 0.$$



**Theorem** (A. Fino, —, to appear in *Adv. in Math.*)

*On the Iwasawa manifold  $\mathbb{I}(3) = \Gamma \backslash H_3^{\mathbb{C}}$  the condition for a Hermitian metric to be strong KT is not stable under small deformations of the complex structure underlying the strong KT structure.*

- $\mathfrak{n}_{t,s}$  family of 2-step nilpotent Lie algebras with structure equations

$$\begin{cases} de^i = 0, & i = 1, \dots, 4, \\ de^5 = t(e^1 \wedge e^2 + 2e^3 \wedge e^4) + s(e^1 \wedge e^3 - e^2 \wedge e^4), \\ de^6 = s(e^1 \wedge e^4 + e^2 \wedge e^3), \end{cases}$$

$$t, s \in \mathbb{R}, s \neq 0.$$



**Theorem** (A. Fino, —, to appear in *Adv. in Math.*)

*On the Iwasawa manifold  $\mathbb{I}(3) = \Gamma \backslash H_3^{\mathbb{C}}$  the condition for a Hermitian metric to be strong KT is not stable under small deformations of the complex structure underlying the strong KT structure.*

- $\mathfrak{n}_{t,s}$  family of 2-step nilpotent Lie algebras with structure equations

$$\begin{cases} de^i = 0, & i = 1, \dots, 4, \\ de^5 = t(e^1 \wedge e^2 + 2e^3 \wedge e^4) + s(e^1 \wedge e^3 - e^2 \wedge e^4), \\ de^6 = s(e^1 \wedge e^4 + e^2 \wedge e^3), \end{cases}$$

$$t, s \in \mathbb{R}, s \neq 0.$$



# Non-stability of strong KT metrics

This family was already considered by Fino and Grantcharov (*Adv. in Math.* '04) for Hermitian structures whose Bismut connection has holonomy in  $SU(3)$  and it was proved that for any  $t$  and  $s \neq 0$  the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{n}_{t,s}$  is isomorphic to the Lie algebra of the complex Heisenberg group  $H_3^{\mathbb{C}}$  with structure equations

$$\begin{cases} de^i = 0, & i = 1, \dots, 4, \\ de^5 = e^1 \wedge e^3 - e^2 \wedge e^4, \\ de^6 = e^1 \wedge e^4 + e^2 \wedge e^3, \end{cases}$$

(see also Lauret *Ann. Glob. Anal. and Geom.* '06).



# Non-stability of strong KT metrics

This family was already considered by Fino and Grantcharov (*Adv. in Math.* '04) for Hermitian structures whose Bismut connection has holonomy in  $SU(3)$  and it was proved that for any  $t$  and  $s \neq 0$  the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{n}_{t,s}$  is isomorphic to the Lie algebra of the complex Heisenberg group  $H_3^{\mathbb{C}}$  with structure equations

$$\begin{cases} de^i = 0, & i = 1, \dots, 4, \\ de^5 = e^1 \wedge e^3 - e^2 \wedge e^4, \\ de^6 = e^1 \wedge e^4 + e^2 \wedge e^3, \end{cases}$$

(see also Lauret *Ann. Glob. Anal. and Geom.* '06).



# Non-stability of strong KT metrics

This family was already considered by Fino and Grantcharov (*Adv. in Math.* '04) for Hermitian structures whose Bismut connection has holonomy in  $SU(3)$  and it was proved that for any  $t$  and  $s \neq 0$  the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{n}_{t,s}$  is isomorphic to the Lie algebra of the complex Heisenberg group  $H_3^{\mathbb{C}}$  with structure equations

$$\begin{cases} de^i = 0, & i = 1, \dots, 4, \\ de^5 = e^1 \wedge e^3 - e^2 \wedge e^4, \\ de^6 = e^1 \wedge e^4 + e^2 \wedge e^3, \end{cases}$$

(see also Lauret *Ann. Glob. Anal. and Geom.* '06).



# Non-stability of strong KT metrics

This family was already considered by Fino and Grantcharov (*Adv. in Math.* '04) for Hermitian structures whose Bismut connection has holonomy in  $SU(3)$  and it was proved that for any  $t$  and  $s \neq 0$  the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{n}_{t,s}$  is isomorphic to the Lie algebra of the complex Heisenberg group  $H_3^{\mathbb{C}}$  with structure equations

$$\begin{cases} de^i = 0, & i = 1, \dots, 4, \\ de^5 = e^1 \wedge e^3 - e^2 \wedge e^4, \\ de^6 = e^1 \wedge e^4 + e^2 \wedge e^3, \end{cases}$$

(see also Lauret *Ann. Glob. Anal. and Geom.* '06).



# Non-stability of strong KT metrics

Take the almost complex structure  $J$  on  $\mathfrak{n}_{t,s}$  given by

$$Je^1 = e^2, Je^3 = e^4, Je^5 = e^6. \quad (2)$$

The associated  $(1,0)$ -forms

$$\varphi^1 = e^1 + ie^2, \varphi^2 = e^3 + ie^4, \varphi^3 = e^5 + ie^6,$$

satisfy

$$d\varphi^i = 0, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

$$d\varphi^3 = -\frac{1}{2}it(\varphi^1 \wedge \bar{\varphi}^1 + 2\varphi^2 \wedge \bar{\varphi}^2) + s\varphi^1 \wedge \varphi^2,$$

and therefore  $J$  is integrable.

- In this way the Iwasawa manifold  $I(3) = \Gamma \backslash H_3^{\mathbb{C}}$  is endowed with a family of complex structures  $J_{t,s}$ , with  $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $s \neq 0$ .



# Non-stability of strong KT metrics

Take the almost complex structure  $J$  on  $\mathfrak{n}_{t,s}$  given by

$$Je^1 = e^2, Je^3 = e^4, Je^5 = e^6. \quad (2)$$

The associated  $(1,0)$ -forms

$$\varphi^1 = e^1 + ie^2, \varphi^2 = e^3 + ie^4, \varphi^3 = e^5 + ie^6,$$

satisfy

$$d\varphi^i = 0, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

$$d\varphi^3 = -\frac{1}{2}it(\varphi^1 \wedge \bar{\varphi}^1 + 2\varphi^2 \wedge \bar{\varphi}^2) + s\varphi^1 \wedge \varphi^2,$$

and therefore  $J$  is integrable.

- In this way the Iwasawa manifold  $I(3) = \Gamma \backslash H_3^{\mathbb{C}}$  is endowed with a family of complex structures  $J_{t,s}$ , with  $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $s \neq 0$ .



# Non-stability of strong KT metrics

Take the almost complex structure  $J$  on  $\mathfrak{n}_{t,s}$  given by

$$Je^1 = e^2, Je^3 = e^4, Je^5 = e^6. \quad (2)$$

The associated  $(1,0)$ -forms

$$\varphi^1 = e^1 + ie^2, \varphi^2 = e^3 + ie^4, \varphi^3 = e^5 + ie^6,$$

satisfy

$$d\varphi^i = 0, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

$$d\varphi^3 = -\frac{1}{2}it(\varphi^1 \wedge \bar{\varphi}^1 + 2\varphi^2 \wedge \bar{\varphi}^2) + s\varphi^1 \wedge \varphi^2,$$

and therefore  $J$  is integrable.

- In this way the Iwasawa manifold  $I(3) = \Gamma \backslash H_3^{\mathbb{C}}$  is endowed with a family of complex structures  $J_{t,s}$ , with  $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $s \neq 0$ .



# Non-stability of strong KT metrics

Take the almost complex structure  $J$  on  $\mathfrak{n}_{t,s}$  given by

$$Je^1 = e^2, Je^3 = e^4, Je^5 = e^6. \quad (2)$$

The associated  $(1,0)$ -forms

$$\varphi^1 = e^1 + ie^2, \varphi^2 = e^3 + ie^4, \varphi^3 = e^5 + ie^6,$$

satisfy

$$d\varphi^i = 0, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

$$d\varphi^3 = -\frac{1}{2}it(\varphi^1 \wedge \bar{\varphi}^1 + 2\varphi^2 \wedge \bar{\varphi}^2) + s\varphi^1 \wedge \varphi^2,$$

and therefore  $J$  is integrable.

• In this way the Iwasawa manifold  $\mathbb{I}(3) = \Gamma \backslash H_3^{\mathbb{C}}$  is endowed with a family of complex structures  $J_{t,s}$ , with  $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $s \neq 0$ .



# Non-stability of strong KT metrics

Take the almost complex structure  $J$  on  $\mathfrak{n}_{t,s}$  given by

$$Je^1 = e^2, Je^3 = e^4, Je^5 = e^6. \quad (2)$$

The associated  $(1,0)$ -forms

$$\varphi^1 = e^1 + ie^2, \varphi^2 = e^3 + ie^4, \varphi^3 = e^5 + ie^6,$$

satisfy

$$d\varphi^i = 0, \quad i = 1, 2,$$
$$d\varphi^3 = -\frac{1}{2}it(\varphi^1 \wedge \bar{\varphi}^1 + 2\varphi^2 \wedge \bar{\varphi}^2) + s\varphi^1 \wedge \varphi^2,$$

and therefore  $J$  is integrable.

• In this way the Iwasawa manifold  $I(3) = \Gamma \backslash H_3^{\mathbb{C}}$  is endowed with a family of complex structures  $J_{t,s}$ , with  $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $s \neq 0$ .



# Non-stability of strong KT metrics

Take the almost complex structure  $J$  on  $\mathfrak{n}_{t,s}$  given by

$$Je^1 = e^2, Je^3 = e^4, Je^5 = e^6. \quad (2)$$

The associated  $(1,0)$ -forms

$$\varphi^1 = e^1 + ie^2, \varphi^2 = e^3 + ie^4, \varphi^3 = e^5 + ie^6,$$

satisfy

$$d\varphi^i = 0, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

$$d\varphi^3 = -\frac{1}{2}it(\varphi^1 \wedge \bar{\varphi}^1 + 2\varphi^2 \wedge \bar{\varphi}^2) + s\varphi^1 \wedge \varphi^2,$$

and therefore  $J$  is integrable.

• In this way the Iwasawa manifold  $I(3) = \Gamma \backslash H_3^{\mathbb{C}}$  is endowed with a family of complex structures  $J_{t,s}$ , with  $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $s \neq 0$ .



# Non-stability of strong KT metrics

Take the almost complex structure  $J$  on  $\mathfrak{n}_{t,s}$  given by

$$Je^1 = e^2, Je^3 = e^4, Je^5 = e^6. \quad (2)$$

The associated  $(1,0)$ -forms

$$\varphi^1 = e^1 + ie^2, \varphi^2 = e^3 + ie^4, \varphi^3 = e^5 + ie^6,$$

satisfy

$$d\varphi^i = 0, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

$$d\varphi^3 = -\frac{1}{2}it(\varphi^1 \wedge \bar{\varphi}^1 + 2\varphi^2 \wedge \bar{\varphi}^2) + s\varphi^1 \wedge \varphi^2,$$

and therefore  $J$  is integrable.

- In this way the Iwasawa manifold  $\mathbb{I}(3) = \Gamma \backslash H_3^{\mathbb{C}}$  is endowed with a family of complex structures  $J_{t,s}$ , with  $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $s \neq 0$ .



# Non-stability of strong KT metrics

Take the almost complex structure  $J$  on  $\mathfrak{n}_{t,s}$  given by

$$Je^1 = e^2, Je^3 = e^4, Je^5 = e^6. \quad (2)$$

The associated  $(1,0)$ -forms

$$\varphi^1 = e^1 + ie^2, \varphi^2 = e^3 + ie^4, \varphi^3 = e^5 + ie^6,$$

satisfy

$$d\varphi^i = 0, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

$$d\varphi^3 = -\frac{1}{2}it(\varphi^1 \wedge \bar{\varphi}^1 + 2\varphi^2 \wedge \bar{\varphi}^2) + s\varphi^1 \wedge \varphi^2,$$

and therefore  $J$  is integrable.

- In this way the Iwasawa manifold  $\mathbb{I}(3) = \Gamma \backslash H_3^{\mathbb{C}}$  is endowed with a family of complex structures  $J_{t,s}$ , with  $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $s \neq 0$ .



# Non-stability of strong KT metrics

Take the almost complex structure  $J$  on  $\mathfrak{n}_{t,s}$  given by

$$Je^1 = e^2, Je^3 = e^4, Je^5 = e^6. \quad (2)$$

The associated  $(1,0)$ -forms

$$\varphi^1 = e^1 + ie^2, \varphi^2 = e^3 + ie^4, \varphi^3 = e^5 + ie^6,$$

satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} d\varphi^i &= 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \\ d\varphi^3 &= -\frac{1}{2}it(\varphi^1 \wedge \bar{\varphi}^1 + 2\varphi^2 \wedge \bar{\varphi}^2) + s\varphi^1 \wedge \varphi^2, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore  $J$  is integrable.

- In this way the Iwasawa manifold  $\mathbb{I}(3) = \Gamma \backslash H_3^{\mathbb{C}}$  is endowed with a family of complex structures  $J_{t,s}$ , with  $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $s \neq 0$ .



# Non-stability of strong KT metrics

- For  $t = 0$  and  $s = 1$  the complex structure  $J$  coincides with the bi-invariant complex structure  $J_0$  on the complex Heisenberg group.
  - The complex structure  $J_0$  cannot admit any compatible strong KT metric, since otherwise it has to be balanced and by Fino-Parton-Salamon (*Comm. Math. Helv.* '04) the balanced condition is complementary to the strong KT one.
  - It can be checked that the Iwasawa manifold  $(\mathbb{I}(3), J_{t,s})$  admits a strong KT metric compatible with  $J_{t,s}$  if and only if  $t^2 = s^2$ .



# Non-stability of strong KT metrics

- For  $t = 0$  and  $s = 1$  the complex structure  $J$  coincides with the bi-invariant complex structure  $J_0$  on the complex Heisenberg group.
- The complex structure  $J_0$  cannot admit any compatible strong KT metric, since otherwise it has to be balanced and by Fino-Parton-Salamon (*Comm. Math. Helv.* '04) the balanced condition is complementary to the strong KT one.
- It can be checked that the Iwasawa manifold  $(\mathbb{I}(3), J_{t,s})$  admits a strong KT metric compatible with  $J_{t,s}$  if and only if  $t^2 = s^2$ .



# Non-stability of strong KT metrics

- For  $t = 0$  and  $s = 1$  the complex structure  $J$  coincides with the bi-invariant complex structure  $J_0$  on the complex Heisenberg group.
- The complex structure  $J_0$  cannot admit any compatible strong KT metric, since otherwise it has to be balanced and by Fino-Parton-Salamon (*Comm. Math. Helv.* '04) the balanced condition is complementary to the strong KT one.
- It can be checked that the Iwasawa manifold  $(\mathbb{I}(3), J_{t,s})$  admits a strong KT metric compatible with  $J_{t,s}$  if and only if  $t^2 = s^2$ .



# Non-stability of strong KT metrics

- For  $t = 0$  and  $s = 1$  the complex structure  $J$  coincides with the bi-invariant complex structure  $J_0$  on the complex Heisenberg group.
- The complex structure  $J_0$  cannot admit any compatible strong KT metric, since otherwise it has to be balanced and by Fino-Parton-Salamon (*Comm. Math. Helv.* '04) the balanced condition is complementary to the strong KT one.
- It can be checked that the Iwasawa manifold  $(\mathbb{I}(3), J_{t,s})$  admits a strong KT metric compatible with  $J_{t,s}$  if and only if  $t^2 = s^2$ .



# Non-stability of strong KT metrics

- For  $t = 0$  and  $s = 1$  the complex structure  $J$  coincides with the bi-invariant complex structure  $J_0$  on the complex Heisenberg group.
- The complex structure  $J_0$  cannot admit any compatible strong KT metric, since otherwise it has to be balanced and by Fino-Parton-Salamon (*Comm. Math. Helv.* '04) the balanced condition is complementary to the strong KT one.
- It can be checked that the Iwasawa manifold  $(\mathbb{I}(3), J_{t,s})$  admits a strong KT metric compatible with  $J_{t,s}$  if and only if  $t^2 = s^2$ .



# Non-stability of strong KT metrics

- For  $t = 0$  and  $s = 1$  the complex structure  $J$  coincides with the bi-invariant complex structure  $J_0$  on the complex Heisenberg group.
- The complex structure  $J_0$  cannot admit any compatible strong KT metric, since otherwise it has to be balanced and by Fino-Parton-Salamon (*Comm. Math. Helv.* '04) the balanced condition is complementary to the strong KT one.
- It can be checked that the Iwasawa manifold  $(\mathbb{I}(3), J_{t,s})$  admits a strong KT metric compatible with  $J_{t,s}$  if and only if  $t^2 = s^2$ .



# Non-stability of strong KT metrics

- By Ugarte (*Transf. Groups.* '07) and Fino-Grantcharov, if there exists a non-left-invariant strong KT metric compatible with  $J_{t,s}$ , then there is also a left-invariant one. This is possible if and only if  $t^2 = s^2$ .
- Thus if  $t = s = 1$  the Iwasawa manifold has a strong KT metric  $g$  compatible with  $J_{1,1}$ , but for any  $t \neq s \neq 1$  there exists no strong KT metric compatible with the complex structure  $J_{t,s}$ .



# Non-stability of strong KT metrics

- By Ugarte (*Transf. Groups.* '07) and Fino-Grantcharov, if there exists a non-left-invariant strong KT metric compatible with  $J_{t,s}$ , then there is also a left-invariant one. This is possible if and only if  $t^2 = s^2$ .
- Thus if  $t = s = 1$  the Iwasawa manifold has a strong KT metric  $g$  compatible with  $J_{1,1}$ , but for any  $t \neq s \neq 1$  there exists no strong KT metric compatible with the complex structure  $J_{t,s}$ .



# Non-stability of strong KT metrics

- By Ugarte (*Transf. Groups.* '07) and Fino-Grantcharov, if there exists a non-left-invariant strong KT metric compatible with  $J_{t,s}$ , then there is also a left-invariant one. This is possible if and only if  $t^2 = s^2$ .
- Thus if  $t = s = 1$  the Iwasawa manifold has a strong KT metric  $g$  compatible with  $J_{1,1}$ , but for any  $t \neq s \neq 1$  there exists no strong KT metric compatible with the complex structure  $J_{t,s}$ .



Let  $(M, J)$  be a complex manifold of complex dimension  $n$ .

**Def.** An Hermitian metric  $g$  on  $(M, J)$  is said to be **balanced** if

$$dF^{n-1} = 0,$$

where  $F(\cdot, \cdot) = g(\cdot, J\cdot)$  is the fundamental form of  $g$ .



## Example

- Let  $*$  be the product on  $\mathbb{C}^3$  given by

$$\begin{aligned} {}^t(z_1, z_2, z_3) * {}^t(w_1, w_2, w_3) = \\ {}^t(z_1 + w_1, e^{-w_1}z_2 + w_2, e^{w_1}z_3 + w_3) \end{aligned}$$

Then  $(\mathbb{C}^3, *)$  has a uniform discrete subgroup  $\Gamma$  and

$$M = (\mathbb{C}^3, *) / \Gamma$$

is a compact complex solvmanifold.



Then

$$\varphi_1 = dz_1, \varphi_2 = e^{z_1} dz_2, \varphi_3 = e^{-z_1} dz_3,$$

induce invariant complex  $(1,0)$ -forms on  $M$  and

$$g = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^3 \varphi_j \otimes \bar{\varphi}_j + \bar{\varphi}_j \otimes \varphi_j$$

is a balanced metric on  $M$ .



- $N$  5-dimensional manifold  $L(N)$  principal bundle of linear frames on  $N$ .

An **SU(2)-structure** on  $N$  is an SU(2)-reduction of  $L(N)$ . We have the following



**Proposition** (Conti, Salamon *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* '07)  
*SU(2)-structures on a 5-manifold  $N$  are in 1 : 1 correspondence with quadruplets  $(\eta, \omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3)$ , where  $\eta$  is a 1-form and  $\omega_i$  are 2-forms on  $N$  satisfying*

$$\omega_i \wedge \omega_j = \delta_{ij} \nu, \quad \nu \wedge \eta \neq 0,$$

*for some 4-form  $\nu$ , and  $i_X \omega_3 = i_Y \omega_1 \Rightarrow \omega_2(X, Y) \geq 0$ , where  $i_X$  denotes the contraction by  $X$ . Equivalently, an  $SU(2)$ -structure on  $N$  can be viewed as the datum of  $(\eta, \omega_3, \Phi)$ , where  $\eta$  is a 1-form,  $\omega_3$  is a 2-form and  $\Phi = \omega_1 + i\omega_2$  is a complex 2-form such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \eta \wedge \omega_3^2 &\neq 0, & \Phi^2 &= 0 \\ \omega_3 \wedge \Phi &= 0, & \Phi \wedge \bar{\Phi} &= 2\omega_3^2 \end{aligned}$$

*and  $\Phi$  is of type  $(2, 0)$  with respect to  $\omega_3$ .*



**Proposition** (Conti, Salamon *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* '07)  
*SU(2)-structures on a 5-manifold  $N$  are in 1 : 1 correspondence with quadruplets  $(\eta, \omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3)$ , where  $\eta$  is a 1-form and  $\omega_i$  are 2-forms on  $N$  satisfying*

$$\omega_i \wedge \omega_j = \delta_{ij} v, \quad v \wedge \eta \neq 0,$$

*for some 4-form  $v$ , and  $i_X \omega_3 = i_Y \omega_1 \Rightarrow \omega_2(X, Y) \geq 0$ , where  $i_X$  denotes the contraction by  $X$ . Equivalently, an  $SU(2)$ -structure on  $N$  can be viewed as the datum of  $(\eta, \omega_3, \Phi)$ , where  $\eta$  is a 1-form,  $\omega_3$  is a 2-form and  $\Phi = \omega_1 + i\omega_2$  is a complex 2-form such that*

$$\eta \wedge \omega_3^2 \neq 0, \quad \Phi^2 = 0$$

$$\omega_3 \wedge \Phi = 0, \quad \Phi \wedge \bar{\Phi} = 2\omega_3^2$$

*and  $\Phi$  is of type  $(2, 0)$  with respect to  $\omega_3$ .*



As a corollary of the last Proposition, we obtain the useful local characterization of SU(2)-structures (see Conti-Salamon):

## Corollary

*If  $(\eta, \omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3)$  is an SU(2)-structure on a 5-dimensional manifold  $N$ , then locally, there exists a basis of 1-forms  $\{e^1, \dots, e^5\}$  such that*

$$\eta = e^1, \quad \omega_1 = e^{24} + e^{53}, \quad \omega_2 = e^{25} + e^{34}, \quad \omega_3 = e^{23} + e^{45}.$$



As a corollary of the last Proposition, we obtain the useful local characterization of SU(2)-structures (see Conti-Salamon):

## Corollary

*If  $(\eta, \omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3)$  is an SU(2)-structure on a 5-dimensional manifold  $N$ , then locally, there exists a basis of 1-forms  $\{e^1, \dots, e^5\}$  such that*

$$\eta = e^1, \quad \omega_1 = e^{24} + e^{53}, \quad \omega_2 = e^{25} + e^{34}, \quad \omega_3 = e^{23} + e^{45}.$$



As a corollary of the last Proposition, we obtain the useful local characterization of SU(2)-structures (see Conti-Salamon):

## Corollary

*If  $(\eta, \omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3)$  is an SU(2)-structure on a 5-dimensional manifold  $N$ , then locally, there exists a basis of 1-forms  $\{e^1, \dots, e^5\}$  such that*

$$\eta = e^1, \quad \omega_1 = e^{24} + e^{53}, \quad \omega_2 = e^{25} + e^{34}, \quad \omega_3 = e^{23} + e^{45}.$$



SU(2)-structures naturally arise on hypersurfaces of 6-manifolds with an SU(3)-structure.

•  $f : N \rightarrow M$  oriented hypersurface in a 6-manifold  $M$  endowed with an SU(3)-structure  $(F, \Psi_+, \Psi_-)$ ,  $\mathbb{U}$  the unit normal vector field. Then

$$\eta = -i_{\mathbb{U}}F, \quad \omega_1 = i_{\mathbb{U}}\Psi_-, \quad \omega_2 = -i_{\mathbb{U}}\Psi_+, \quad \omega_3 = f^*F. \quad (3)$$

defines an SU(2)-structure on  $N$ .

• Conversely, an SU(2)-structure  $(\eta, \omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3)$  on  $N$  induces an SU(3)-structure  $(F, \Psi_+, \Psi_-)$  on  $N \times \mathbb{R}$  given by

$$F = \omega_3 + \eta \wedge dt, \quad \Psi = \Psi_+ + i\Psi_- = (\omega_1 + i\omega_2) \wedge (\eta + idt), \quad (4)$$

where  $t$  is a coordinate on  $\mathbb{R}$ .



SU(2)-structures naturally arise on hypersurfaces of 6-manifolds with an SU(3)-structure.

- $f : N \rightarrow M$  oriented hypersurface in a 6-manifold  $M$  endowed with an SU(3)-structure  $(F, \Psi_+, \Psi_-)$ ,  $\mathbb{U}$  the unit normal vector field. Then

$$\eta = -i_{\mathbb{U}}F, \quad \omega_1 = i_{\mathbb{U}}\Psi_-, \quad \omega_2 = -i_{\mathbb{U}}\Psi_+, \quad \omega_3 = f^*F. \quad (3)$$

defines an SU(2)-structure on  $N$ .

- Conversely, an SU(2)-structure  $(\eta, \omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3)$  on  $N$  induces an SU(3)-structure  $(F, \Psi_+, \Psi_-)$  on  $N \times \mathbb{R}$  given by

$$F = \omega_3 + \eta \wedge dt, \quad \Psi = \Psi_+ + i\Psi_- = (\omega_1 + i\omega_2) \wedge (\eta + idt), \quad (4)$$

where  $t$  is a coordinate on  $\mathbb{R}$ .



SU(2)-structures naturally arise on hypersurfaces of 6-manifolds with an SU(3)-structure.

- $f : N \rightarrow M$  oriented hypersurface in a 6-manifold  $M$  endowed with an SU(3)-structure  $(F, \Psi_+, \Psi_-)$ ,  $\mathbb{U}$  the unit normal vector field. Then

$$\eta = -i_{\mathbb{U}}F, \quad \omega_1 = i_{\mathbb{U}}\Psi_-, \quad \omega_2 = -i_{\mathbb{U}}\Psi_+, \quad \omega_3 = f^*F. \quad (3)$$

defines an SU(2)-structure on  $N$ .

- Conversely, an SU(2)-structure  $(\eta, \omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3)$  on  $N$  induces an SU(3)-structure  $(F, \Psi_+, \Psi_-)$  on  $N \times \mathbb{R}$  given by

$$F = \omega_3 + \eta \wedge dt, \quad \Psi = \Psi_+ + i\Psi_- = (\omega_1 + i\omega_2) \wedge (\eta + idt), \quad (4)$$

where  $t$  is a coordinate on  $\mathbb{R}$ .



SU(2)-structures naturally arise on hypersurfaces of 6-manifolds with an SU(3)-structure.

- $f : N \rightarrow M$  oriented hypersurface in a 6-manifold  $M$  endowed with an SU(3)-structure  $(F, \Psi_+, \Psi_-)$ ,  $\mathbb{U}$  the unit normal vector field. Then

$$\eta = -i_{\mathbb{U}}F, \quad \omega_1 = i_{\mathbb{U}}\Psi_-, \quad \omega_2 = -i_{\mathbb{U}}\Psi_+, \quad \omega_3 = f^*F. \quad (3)$$

defines an SU(2)-structure on  $N$ .

- Conversely, an SU(2)-structure  $(\eta, \omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3)$  on  $N$  induces an SU(3)-structure  $(F, \Psi_+, \Psi_-)$  on  $N \times \mathbb{R}$  given by

$$F = \omega_3 + \eta \wedge dt, \quad \Psi = \Psi_+ + i\Psi_- = (\omega_1 + i\omega_2) \wedge (\eta + idt), \quad (4)$$

where  $t$  is a coordinate on  $\mathbb{R}$ .



## Definition

An SU(2)-structure  $(\eta, \omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3)$  on a 5-dimensional manifold  $N$  is called *balanced* if it satisfies

$$d(\omega_1 \wedge \eta) = 0, \quad d(\omega_2 \wedge \eta) = 0, \quad d(\omega_3 \wedge \omega_3) = 0. \quad (5)$$



## Definition

An SU(2)-structure  $(\eta, \omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3)$  on a 5-dimensional manifold  $N$  is called *balanced* if it satisfies

$$d(\omega_1 \wedge \eta) = 0, \quad d(\omega_2 \wedge \eta) = 0, \quad d(\omega_3 \wedge \omega_3) = 0. \quad (5)$$



# Balanced $SU(2)$ -structures

In Conti-Salamon (*Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* '07), an  $SU(2)$ -structure is said to be *hypo* if

$$d(\omega_1 \wedge \eta) = d(\omega_2 \wedge \eta) = d\omega_3 = 0 \quad (6)$$

are satisfied.

Hence,

hypo  $\implies$  balanced.

- There are nilmanifolds admitting no invariant hypo structure, but having balanced  $SU(2)$ -structures.

The Lie algebras

$$(0, 0, 0, 12, 14), (0, 0, 12, 13, 23), (0, 0, 12, 13, 14 + 23)$$

have no hypo structure (Conti-Salamon). We have



# Balanced $SU(2)$ -structures

In Conti-Salamon (*Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* '07), an  $SU(2)$ -structure is said to be *hypo* if

$$d(\omega_1 \wedge \eta) = d(\omega_2 \wedge \eta) = d\omega_3 = 0 \quad (6)$$

are satisfied.

Hence,

hypo  $\implies$  balanced.

- There are nilmanifolds admitting no invariant hypo structure, but having balanced  $SU(2)$ -structures.

The Lie algebras

$$(0, 0, 0, 12, 14), (0, 0, 12, 13, 23), (0, 0, 12, 13, 14 + 23)$$

have no hypo structure (Conti-Salamon). We have



# Balanced $SU(2)$ -structures

In Conti-Salamon (*Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* '07), an  $SU(2)$ -structure is said to be *hypo* if

$$d(\omega_1 \wedge \eta) = d(\omega_2 \wedge \eta) = d\omega_3 = 0 \quad (6)$$

are satisfied.

Hence,

hypo  $\implies$  balanced.

- There are nilmanifolds admitting no invariant hypo structure, but having balanced  $SU(2)$ -structures.

The Lie algebras

$$(0, 0, 0, 12, 14), (0, 0, 12, 13, 23), (0, 0, 12, 13, 14 + 23)$$

have no hypo structure (Conti-Salamon). We have



# Balanced $SU(2)$ -structures

In Conti-Salamon (*Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* '07), an  $SU(2)$ -structure is said to be *hypo* if

$$d(\omega_1 \wedge \eta) = d(\omega_2 \wedge \eta) = d\omega_3 = 0 \quad (6)$$

are satisfied.

Hence,

hypo  $\implies$  balanced.

- There are nilmanifolds admitting no invariant hypo structure, but having balanced  $SU(2)$ -structures.

The Lie algebras

$$(0, 0, 0, 12, 14), (0, 0, 12, 13, 23), (0, 0, 12, 13, 14 + 23)$$

have no hypo structure (Conti-Salamon). We have



# Balanced $SU(2)$ -structures

In Conti-Salamon (*Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* '07), an  $SU(2)$ -structure is said to be *hypo* if

$$d(\omega_1 \wedge \eta) = d(\omega_2 \wedge \eta) = d\omega_3 = 0 \quad (6)$$

are satisfied.

Hence,

$$\text{hypo} \implies \text{balanced}.$$

- There are nilmanifolds admitting no invariant hypo structure, but having balanced  $SU(2)$ -structures.

The Lie algebras

$$(0, 0, 0, 12, 14), (0, 0, 12, 13, 23), (0, 0, 12, 13, 14 + 23)$$

have no hypo structure (Conti-Salamon). We have



## Proposition

*Any 5-dimensional compact nilmanifold has an invariant balanced  $SU(2)$ -structure.*

**Proof.** It is easy to check that the  $SU(2)$ -structure given by

$$\eta = e^1, \quad \omega_1 = e^{24} + e^{53}, \quad \omega_2 = e^{25} + e^{34}, \quad \omega_3 = e^{23} + e^{45},$$

defines a balanced  $SU(2)$ -structures on each one of these three Lie algebras.

There exist also 5-dimensional solvable non-nilpotent Lie algebras with no invariant hypo structure, but having a balanced  $SU(2)$ -structure.



## Proposition

*Any 5-dimensional compact nilmanifold has an invariant balanced  $SU(2)$ -structure.*

**Proof.** It is easy to check that the  $SU(2)$ -structure given by

$$\eta = e^1, \quad \omega_1 = e^{24} + e^{53}, \quad \omega_2 = e^{25} + e^{34}, \quad \omega_3 = e^{23} + e^{45},$$

defines a balanced  $SU(2)$ -structures on each one of these three Lie algebras.

There exist also 5-dimensional solvable non-nilpotent Lie algebras with no invariant hypo structure, but having a balanced  $SU(2)$ -structure.



## Proposition

*Any 5-dimensional compact nilmanifold has an invariant balanced  $SU(2)$ -structure.*

**Proof.** It is easy to check that the  $SU(2)$ -structure given by

$$\eta = e^1, \quad \omega_1 = e^{24} + e^{53}, \quad \omega_2 = e^{25} + e^{34}, \quad \omega_3 = e^{23} + e^{45},$$

defines a balanced  $SU(2)$ -structures on each one of these three Lie algebras.

There exist also 5-dimensional solvable non-nilpotent Lie algebras with no invariant hypo structure, but having a balanced  $SU(2)$ -structure.



## Proposition

*Any 5-dimensional compact nilmanifold has an invariant balanced  $SU(2)$ -structure.*

**Proof.** It is easy to check that the  $SU(2)$ -structure given by

$$\eta = e^1, \quad \omega_1 = e^{24} + e^{53}, \quad \omega_2 = e^{25} + e^{34}, \quad \omega_3 = e^{23} + e^{45},$$

defines a balanced  $SU(2)$ -structures on each one of these three Lie algebras.

There exist also 5-dimensional solvable non-nilpotent Lie algebras with no invariant hypo structure, but having a balanced  $SU(2)$ -structure.



## Proposition

*Any 5-dimensional compact nilmanifold has an invariant balanced  $SU(2)$ -structure.*

**Proof.** It is easy to check that the  $SU(2)$ -structure given by

$$\eta = e^1, \quad \omega_1 = e^{24} + e^{53}, \quad \omega_2 = e^{25} + e^{34}, \quad \omega_3 = e^{23} + e^{45},$$

defines a balanced  $SU(2)$ -structures on each one of these three Lie algebras.

There exist also 5-dimensional solvable non-nilpotent Lie algebras with no invariant hypo structure, but having a balanced  $SU(2)$ -structure.



- The solvable non-nilpotent Lie algebra

$$(0, 0, 13, -14, 34)$$

has a balanced  $SU(2)$ -structure, but it has no hypo structure and the corresponding solvable Lie group  $G$  has a compact quotient  $N = G/\Gamma$ .

$$\eta = e^1, \quad \omega_1 = e^{24} + e^{53}, \quad \omega_2 = e^{25} + e^{34}, \quad \omega_3 = e^{23} + e^{45}.$$

satisfy

$$d(\omega_1 \wedge \eta) = d(\omega_3 \wedge \eta) = d(\omega_3 \wedge \omega_3) = 0,$$

and thus they define a balanced  $SU(2)$ -structure on  $N$ .



- The solvable non-nilpotent Lie algebra

$$(0, 0, 13, -14, 34)$$

has a balanced  $SU(2)$ -structure, but it has no hypo structure and the corresponding solvable Lie group  $G$  has a compact quotient  $N = G/\Gamma$ .

$$\eta = e^1, \quad \omega_1 = e^{24} + e^{53}, \quad \omega_2 = e^{25} + e^{34}, \quad \omega_3 = e^{23} + e^{45}.$$

satisfy

$$d(\omega_1 \wedge \eta) = d(\omega_2 \wedge \eta) = d(\omega_3 \wedge \omega_3) = 0,$$

and thus they define a balanced  $SU(2)$ -structure on  $N$ .



- The solvable non-nilpotent Lie algebra

$$(0, 0, 13, -14, 34)$$

has a balanced  $SU(2)$ -structure, but it has no hypo structure and the corresponding solvable Lie group  $G$  has a compact quotient  $N = G/\Gamma$ .

$$\eta = e^1, \quad \omega_1 = e^{24} + e^{53}, \quad \omega_2 = e^{25} + e^{34}, \quad \omega_3 = e^{23} + e^{45}.$$

satisfy

$$d(\omega_1 \wedge \eta) = d(\omega_3 \wedge \eta) = d(\omega_3 \wedge \omega_3) = 0,$$

and thus they define a balanced  $SU(2)$ -structure on  $N$ .



- The solvable non-nilpotent Lie algebra

$$(0, 0, 13, -14, 34)$$

has a balanced  $SU(2)$ -structure, but it has no hypo structure and the corresponding solvable Lie group  $G$  has a compact quotient  $N = G/\Gamma$ .

$$\eta = e^1, \quad \omega_1 = e^{24} + e^{53}, \quad \omega_2 = e^{25} + e^{34}, \quad \omega_3 = e^{23} + e^{45}.$$

satisfy

$$d(\omega_1 \wedge \eta) = d(\omega_3 \wedge \eta) = d(\omega_3 \wedge \omega_3) = 0,$$

and thus they define a balanced  $SU(2)$ -structure on  $N$ .



$(X, J)$  complex surface.

A *holomorphic symplectic structure* on  $X$  is the datum of a  $d$ -closed and non-degenerate  $(2, 0)$ -form  $\omega$  on  $X$ .

Let  $g$  be a  $J$ -Hermitian metric on  $X$  and  $\omega_3$  be the fundamental form of  $(g, J)$ . Then, up to a conformal change, we may assume that

$$\omega_1^2 = \omega_2^2 = \omega_3^2.$$

Then we have the following



## Proposition

Let  $(X, J)$  be a complex surface equipped with a holomorphic symplectic structure  $\omega = \omega_1 + i\omega_2$  and let  $\omega_3$  be the Kähler form of a  $J$ -Hermitian metric  $g$  as above. Then, for any integral closed 2-form  $\Omega$  on  $X$  annihilating  $\cos \theta \omega_1 + \sin \theta \omega_2$  and  $-\sin \theta \omega_1 + \cos \theta \omega_2$  for some  $\theta$ , there is a principal circle bundle  $\pi: N \rightarrow X$  with connection form  $\rho$  such that  $\Omega$  is the curvature of  $\rho$  and such that the  $SU(2)$ -structure  $(\eta, \omega_1^\theta, \omega_2^\theta, \omega_3^\theta)$  on  $N$  given by

$$\eta = \rho,$$

$$\omega_1^\theta = \pi^*(\cos \theta \omega_1 + \sin \theta \omega_2),$$

$$\omega_2^\theta = \pi^*(-\sin \theta \omega_1 + \cos \theta \omega_2),$$

$$\omega_3^\theta = \pi^*(\omega_3)$$

is a balanced  $SU(2)$ -structure.



## Example

Let  $X = \Gamma \backslash G$  be the *Kodaira-Thurston* manifold, where the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  of  $G$  has the following structure equations

$$de^1 = 0, \quad de^2 = 0, \quad de^3 = 0, \quad de^4 = -e^{23}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^1 &= e^1 + ie^4, & \varphi^2 &= e^2 + ie^3 \\ \omega &= (e^{12} + e^{34}) + i(e^{13} - e^{24}) = \omega_1 + i\omega_2 \end{aligned}$$

define a complex structure and holomorphic symplectic structure on  $X$  respectively. If  $g = \sum_{i=1}^4 e^i \otimes e^i$ , then

$$\omega_3 = e^{14} + e^{23}.$$

Therefore, the previous Proposition applies.



We establish the evolution equations that allow the construction of new balanced structures in dimension six from balanced  $SU(2)$ -structures in dimension five.



**Proposition** (M. Fernández, —, L. Ugarte, R. Villacampa, *J. Math. Phys.* '09)

Let  $(\eta(t), \omega_1(t), \omega_2(t), \omega_3(t))$  be a family of  $SU(2)$ -structures on a 5-manifold  $N$ , for  $t \in I = (a, b)$ . Then, the  $SU(3)$ -structure on  $M = N \times I$  given by

$$F = \omega_3(t) + \eta(t) \wedge dt, \quad \Psi = (\omega_1(t) + i\omega_2(t)) \wedge (\eta(t) + idt), \quad (7)$$

is balanced if and only if  $(\eta(t), \omega_1(t), \omega_2(t), \omega_3(t))$  is a balanced  $SU(2)$ -structure for any  $t$  in the open interval  $I$ , and the following evolution equations

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t(\omega_1 \wedge \eta) = -d\omega_2 \\ \partial_t(\omega_2 \wedge \eta) = d\omega_1 \\ \partial_t(\omega_3 \wedge \omega_3) = -2d(\omega_3 \wedge \eta) \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

are satisfied.



**Proposition** (M. Fernández, —, L. Ugarte, R. Villacampa, *J. Math. Phys.* '09)

Let  $(\eta(t), \omega_1(t), \omega_2(t), \omega_3(t))$  be a family of  $SU(2)$ -structures on a 5-manifold  $N$ , for  $t \in I = (a, b)$ . Then, the  $SU(3)$ -structure on  $M = N \times I$  given by

$$F = \omega_3(t) + \eta(t) \wedge dt, \quad \Psi = (\omega_1(t) + i\omega_2(t)) \wedge (\eta(t) + idt), \quad (7)$$

is balanced if and only if  $(\eta(t), \omega_1(t), \omega_2(t), \omega_3(t))$  is a balanced  $SU(2)$ -structure for any  $t$  in the open interval  $I$ , and the following evolution equations

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t(\omega_1 \wedge \eta) = -d\omega_2 \\ \partial_t(\omega_2 \wedge \eta) = d\omega_1 \\ \partial_t(\omega_3 \wedge \omega_3) = -2d(\omega_3 \wedge \eta) \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

are satisfied.



**Proposition** (M. Fernández, —, L. Ugarte, R. Villacampa, *J. Math. Phys.* '09)

Let  $(\eta(t), \omega_1(t), \omega_2(t), \omega_3(t))$  be a family of  $SU(2)$ -structures on a 5-manifold  $N$ , for  $t \in I = (a, b)$ . Then, the  $SU(3)$ -structure on  $M = N \times I$  given by

$$F = \omega_3(t) + \eta(t) \wedge dt, \quad \Psi = (\omega_1(t) + i\omega_2(t)) \wedge (\eta(t) + idt), \quad (7)$$

is balanced if and only if  $(\eta(t), \omega_1(t), \omega_2(t), \omega_3(t))$  is a balanced  $SU(2)$ -structure for any  $t$  in the open interval  $I$ , and the following evolution equations

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t(\omega_1 \wedge \eta) = -d\omega_2 \\ \partial_t(\omega_2 \wedge \eta) = d\omega_1 \\ \partial_t(\omega_3 \wedge \omega_3) = -2d(\omega_3 \wedge \eta) \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

are satisfied.



**Proposition** (M. Fernández, —, L. Ugarte, R. Villacampa, *J. Math. Phys.* '09)

Let  $(\eta(t), \omega_1(t), \omega_2(t), \omega_3(t))$  be a family of  $SU(2)$ -structures on a 5-manifold  $N$ , for  $t \in I = (a, b)$ . Then, the  $SU(3)$ -structure on  $M = N \times I$  given by

$$F = \omega_3(t) + \eta(t) \wedge dt, \quad \Psi = (\omega_1(t) + i\omega_2(t)) \wedge (\eta(t) + idt), \quad (7)$$

is balanced if and only if  $(\eta(t), \omega_1(t), \omega_2(t), \omega_3(t))$  is a balanced  $SU(2)$ -structure for any  $t$  in the open interval  $I$ , and the following evolution equations

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t(\omega_1 \wedge \eta) = -d\omega_2 \\ \partial_t(\omega_2 \wedge \eta) = d\omega_1 \\ \partial_t(\omega_3 \wedge \omega_3) = -2d(\omega_3 \wedge \eta) \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

are satisfied.



**Proof.** A direct calculation shows that the  $SU(3)$ -structure given by (7) satisfies

$$dF^2 = d(\omega_3 \wedge \omega_3) + (\partial_t(\omega_3 \wedge \omega_3) + 2 d(\omega_3 \wedge \eta)) \wedge dt,$$

and

$$d\Psi = d(\omega_1 \wedge \eta) - (\partial_t(\omega_1 \wedge \eta) + d\omega_2) \wedge dt + i d(\omega_2 \wedge \eta) - i(\partial_t(\omega_2 \wedge \eta) - d\omega_1) \wedge dt.$$

The forms  $F^2$  and  $\Psi$  are both closed if and only if  $(\eta(t), \omega_1(t), \omega_2(t), \omega_3(t))$  is a balanced  $SU(2)$ -structure for any  $t \in I$ , and satisfies equations (8).

□



## Lie algebra (0,0,0,12,14):

The family of balanced SU(2)-structures

$$\eta(t) = \sqrt[3]{\frac{2-3t}{2}} e^1,$$

$$\omega_1(t) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \sqrt[3]{\frac{2}{2-3t}} - \frac{2-3t}{2} \right) e^{23} + \sqrt[3]{\frac{2-3t}{2}} e^{24} - \sqrt[3]{\frac{2}{2-3t}} e^{35},$$

$$\omega_2(t) = \sqrt[3]{\frac{2}{2-3t}} e^{25} + \sqrt[3]{\frac{2-3t}{2}} e^{34},$$

$$\omega_3(t) = e^{23} - \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{2-3t}{2} \sqrt[3]{\frac{2-3t}{2}} \right) e^{24} + e^{45},$$

satisfies the evolution equations for  $t \in \mathbb{R} - \{2/3\}$ .



## Lie algebra (0,0,0,12,14):

The family of balanced SU(2)-structures

$$\eta(t) = \sqrt[3]{\frac{2-3t}{2}} e^1,$$

$$\omega_1(t) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \sqrt[3]{\frac{2}{2-3t}} - \frac{2-3t}{2} \right) e^{23} + \sqrt[3]{\frac{2-3t}{2}} e^{24} - \sqrt[3]{\frac{2}{2-3t}} e^{35},$$

$$\omega_2(t) = \sqrt[3]{\frac{2}{2-3t}} e^{25} + \sqrt[3]{\frac{2-3t}{2}} e^{34},$$

$$\omega_3(t) = e^{23} - \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{2-3t}{2} \sqrt[3]{\frac{2-3t}{2}} \right) e^{24} + e^{45},$$

satisfies the evolution equations for  $t \in \mathbb{R} - \{2/3\}$ .



## Lie algebra (0,0,0,12,14):

The family of balanced SU(2)-structures

$$\eta(t) = \sqrt[3]{\frac{2-3t}{2}} e^1,$$

$$\omega_1(t) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \sqrt[3]{\frac{2}{2-3t}} - \frac{2-3t}{2} \right) e^{23} + \sqrt[3]{\frac{2-3t}{2}} e^{24} - \sqrt[3]{\frac{2}{2-3t}} e^{35},$$

$$\omega_2(t) = \sqrt[3]{\frac{2}{2-3t}} e^{25} + \sqrt[3]{\frac{2-3t}{2}} e^{34},$$

$$\omega_3(t) = e^{23} - \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{2-3t}{2} \sqrt[3]{\frac{2-3t}{2}} \right) e^{24} + e^{45},$$

satisfies the evolution equations for  $t \in \mathbb{R} - \{2/3\}$ .



## Lie algebra $(0,0,0,12,14)$ :

The family of balanced  $SU(2)$ -structures

$$\eta(t) = \sqrt[3]{\frac{2-3t}{2}} e^1,$$

$$\omega_1(t) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \sqrt[3]{\frac{2}{2-3t}} - \frac{2-3t}{2} \right) e^{23} + \sqrt[3]{\frac{2-3t}{2}} e^{24} - \sqrt[3]{\frac{2}{2-3t}} e^{35},$$

$$\omega_2(t) = \sqrt[3]{\frac{2}{2-3t}} e^{25} + \sqrt[3]{\frac{2-3t}{2}} e^{34},$$

$$\omega_3(t) = e^{23} - \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{2-3t}{2} \sqrt[3]{\frac{2-3t}{2}} \right) e^{24} + e^{45},$$

satisfies the evolution equations for  $t \in \mathbb{R} - \{2/3\}$ .



## Lie algebra (0,0,0,12,14):

The family of balanced SU(2)-structures

$$\eta(t) = \sqrt[3]{\frac{2-3t}{2}} e^1,$$

$$\omega_1(t) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \sqrt[3]{\frac{2}{2-3t}} - \frac{2-3t}{2} \right) e^{23} + \sqrt[3]{\frac{2-3t}{2}} e^{24} - \sqrt[3]{\frac{2}{2-3t}} e^{35},$$

$$\omega_2(t) = \sqrt[3]{\frac{2}{2-3t}} e^{25} + \sqrt[3]{\frac{2-3t}{2}} e^{34},$$

$$\omega_3(t) = e^{23} - \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{2-3t}{2} \sqrt[3]{\frac{2-3t}{2}} \right) e^{24} + e^{45},$$

satisfies the evolution equations for  $t \in \mathbb{R} - \{2/3\}$ .



## Lie algebra (0,0,0,12,14):

The family of balanced  $SU(2)$ -structures

$$\eta(t) = \sqrt[3]{\frac{2-3t}{2}} e^1,$$

$$\omega_1(t) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \sqrt[3]{\frac{2}{2-3t}} - \frac{2-3t}{2} \right) e^{23} + \sqrt[3]{\frac{2-3t}{2}} e^{24} - \sqrt[3]{\frac{2}{2-3t}} e^{35},$$

$$\omega_2(t) = \sqrt[3]{\frac{2}{2-3t}} e^{25} + \sqrt[3]{\frac{2-3t}{2}} e^{34},$$

$$\omega_3(t) = e^{23} - \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{2-3t}{2} \sqrt[3]{\frac{2-3t}{2}} \right) e^{24} + e^{45},$$

satisfies the evolution equations for  $t \in \mathbb{R} - \{2/3\}$ .



Let  $I = (-\infty, 2/3)$  and  $G$  be the simply-connected nilpotent Lie group with Lie algebra  $(0, 0, 0, 12, 14)$ .

The basis of 1-forms on the product manifold  $G \times I$  given by

$$\alpha^1 = e^2, \alpha^2 = e^3, \alpha^3 = \sqrt[3]{\frac{2-3t}{2}} e^4,$$

$$\alpha^4 = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt[3]{\frac{2}{2-3t}} (e^2 + 2e^5) - \frac{2-3t}{4} e^2, \alpha^5 = \sqrt[3]{\frac{2-3t}{2}} e^1, \alpha^6 = dt$$

is orthonormal with respect to the Riemannian metric associated with the balanced  $SU(3)$ -structure on  $G \times I$ .



Let  $I = (-\infty, 2/3)$  and  $G$  be the simply-connected nilpotent Lie group with Lie algebra  $(0, 0, 0, 12, 14)$ .

The basis of 1-forms on the product manifold  $G \times I$  given by

$$\alpha^1 = e^2, \alpha^2 = e^3, \alpha^3 = \sqrt[3]{\frac{2-3t}{2}} e^4,$$

$$\alpha^4 = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt[3]{\frac{2}{2-3t}} (e^2 + 2e^5) - \frac{2-3t}{4} e^2, \alpha^5 = \sqrt[3]{\frac{2-3t}{2}} e^1, \alpha^6 = dt$$

is orthonormal with respect to the Riemannian metric associated with the balanced  $SU(3)$ -structure on  $G \times I$ .



Let  $I = (-\infty, 2/3)$  and  $G$  be the simply-connected nilpotent Lie group with Lie algebra  $(0, 0, 0, 12, 14)$ .

The basis of 1-forms on the product manifold  $G \times I$  given by

$$\alpha^1 = e^2, \alpha^2 = e^3, \alpha^3 = \sqrt[3]{\frac{2-3t}{2}} e^4,$$

$$\alpha^4 = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt[3]{\frac{2}{2-3t}} (e^2 + 2e^5) - \frac{2-3t}{4} e^2, \alpha^5 = \sqrt[3]{\frac{2-3t}{2}} e^1, \alpha^6 = dt$$

is orthonormal with respect to the Riemannian metric associated with the balanced  $SU(3)$ -structure on  $G \times I$ .



Let  $I = (-\infty, 2/3)$  and  $G$  be the simply-connected nilpotent Lie group with Lie algebra  $(0, 0, 0, 12, 14)$ .

The basis of 1-forms on the product manifold  $G \times I$  given by

$$\alpha^1 = e^2, \alpha^2 = e^3, \alpha^3 = \sqrt[3]{\frac{2-3t}{2}} e^4,$$

$$\alpha^4 = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt[3]{\frac{2}{2-3t}} (e^2 + 2e^5) - \frac{2-3t}{4} e^2, \alpha^5 = \sqrt[3]{\frac{2-3t}{2}} e^1, \alpha^6 = dt$$

is orthonormal with respect to the Riemannian metric associated with the balanced  $SU(3)$ -structure on  $G \times I$ .



The Hermitian balanced structure on  $G \times I$  is given by

$$F = e^{23} - \frac{1}{2}e^{24} + e^{45} + \frac{2-3t}{4} \sqrt[3]{\frac{2-3t}{2}} e^{24} + \sqrt[3]{\frac{2-3t}{2}} e^1 \wedge dt,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_+ = & \frac{1}{2}e^{123} - e^{135} - \frac{2-3t}{4} \sqrt[3]{\frac{2-3t}{2}} e^{123} + \sqrt[3]{\frac{(2-3t)^2}{4}} e^{124} + \\ & \left( \sqrt[3]{\frac{2}{2-3t}} e^{25} + \sqrt[3]{\frac{2-3t}{2}} e^{34} \right) \wedge dt, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_- = & e^{125} + \sqrt[3]{\frac{(2-3t)^2}{4}} e^{134} + \left( \frac{1}{2} \sqrt[3]{\frac{2}{2-3t}} e^{23} - \frac{2-3t}{4} e^{23} + \right. \\ & \left. \sqrt[3]{\frac{2-3t}{2}} e^{24} - \sqrt[3]{\frac{2}{2-3t}} e^{35} \right) \wedge dt. \end{aligned}$$



The Hermitian balanced structure on  $G \times I$  is given by

$$F = e^{23} - \frac{1}{2}e^{24} + e^{45} + \frac{2-3t}{4} \sqrt[3]{\frac{2-3t}{2}} e^{24} + \sqrt[3]{\frac{2-3t}{2}} e^1 \wedge dt,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_+ = & \frac{1}{2}e^{123} - e^{135} - \frac{2-3t}{4} \sqrt[3]{\frac{2-3t}{2}} e^{123} + \sqrt[3]{\frac{(2-3t)^2}{4}} e^{124} + \\ & \left( \sqrt[3]{\frac{2}{2-3t}} e^{25} + \sqrt[3]{\frac{2-3t}{2}} e^{34} \right) \wedge dt, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_- = & e^{125} + \sqrt[3]{\frac{(2-3t)^2}{4}} e^{134} + \left( \frac{1}{2} \sqrt[3]{\frac{2}{2-3t}} e^{23} - \frac{2-3t}{4} e^{23} + \right. \\ & \left. \sqrt[3]{\frac{2-3t}{2}} e^{24} - \sqrt[3]{\frac{2}{2-3t}} e^{35} \right) \wedge dt. \end{aligned}$$



**Theorem** M. Fernández, —, L. Ugarte, R. Villacampa, *J. Math. Phys.* '09)

*Any 3-dimensional complex-parallelizable (non-abelian) solvable Lie group has a Hermitian metric such that the holonomy of its Bismut connection is equal to  $SU(3)$ .*



# Examples of manifolds with full holonomy

**Example** Consider the Lie algebra defined by the complex structure equations

$$d\varphi^1 = d\varphi^2 = 0, \quad d\varphi^3 = -\varphi^{12}, \quad d\varphi^4 = -2\varphi^{13}.$$

Let  $\varphi^j = e^{2j-1} + i e^{2j}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, 4$ .

Then

$$de^1 = de^2 = de^3 = de^4 = 0, \quad de^5 = -e^{13} + e^{24},$$

$$de^6 = -e^{14} - e^{23}, \quad de^7 = -2(e^{15} - e^{26}),$$

$$de^8 = -2(e^{16} + e^{25}).$$



$J$  complex structure given by

$$\begin{aligned}Je^1 &= -e^2, & Je^2 &= e^1, & Je^3 &= -e^4, & Je^4 &= e^3, \\Je^5 &= -e^6, & Je^6 &= e^5, & Je^7 &= -e^8, & Je^8 &= e^7.\end{aligned}$$

The fundamental form  $F$  associated with the  $J$ -Hermitian metric  $g = \sum_{i=1}^8 e^i \otimes e^i$  is given by

$$F = \sum_{j=1}^4 e^{2j-1} \wedge e^{2j},$$



- $g$  is balanced and the torsion  $T$  is given by

$$T = JdF = e^{135} + e^{146} + 2e^{157} + 2e^{168} + e^{236} - e^{245} + 2e^{258} - 2e^{267}.$$

The following curvature forms of the Bismut connection are linearly independent:



# Examples of manifolds with full holonomy

$$\Omega_3^1 = -e^{13} - e^{24}, \quad \Omega_4^1 = -e^{14} + e^{23}, \quad \Omega_5^1 = -4(e^{15} + e^{26}),$$

$$\Omega_6^1 = -4(e^{16} - e^{25}), \quad \Omega_4^3 = 2e^{12}, \quad \Omega_6^5 = 2(3e^{12} - e^{34}),$$

$$\Omega_7^5 = -2(e^{35} + e^{46}), \quad \Omega_8^5 = -2(e^{36} - e^{45}),$$

$$\Omega_8^7 = -8(e^{12} + e^{56}).$$

This gives a 9-dimensional space.

By computing the covariant the derivative of the curvature it follows that  $\text{Hol}(\nabla^B) = \text{SU}(4)$ .

