# Project: On the cardinal of the support of Walsh for functions in a few variables.

Supervisors: Gabor Wiese, Pierrick Méaux

Luxembourg university, Luxembourg gabor.wiese@uni.lu, pierrick.meaux@uni.lu

**Project introduction:** The goal of this project is to investigate the possible (or impossible) cardinal of a particular set called the support of Walsh.

First, we introduce the key notions of Boolean function, Walsh transform and Walsh support.

**Definition 1** (Boolean Function). A Boolean function f in n variables is a function from  $\mathbb{F}_2^n$  to  $\mathbb{F}_2$ . The set of all Boolean functions in n variables is denoted by  $\mathcal{B}_n$ .

**Definition 2** (Walsh transform). Let  $f \in \mathcal{B}_n$  be a Boolean function, its Walsh transform  $W_f$  at  $a \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$  is defined as:

$$W_f(a) := \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} (-1)^{f(x) + a \cdot x},$$

where  $\cdot$  denotes the inner product. The values of the Walsh transform belong to  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

The Walsh transform, or Walsh Hadamard transform, is the Fourier Hadamard transform of the sign function of f. We refer to [Car21] for more context on Boolean functions and their use in cryptography.

**Definition 3** (Walsh support). Let  $f \in \mathcal{B}_n$  be a Boolean function, its Walsh support is the set:

$$\mathsf{Wsupp}_f := \{ a \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \, | \, W_f(a) \neq 0 \}.$$

The main interest in this project is to determine the possible and impossible values for the cardinal of Wsupp  $_f$  (for  $f \in \mathcal{B}_n$ ) and its structure.

#### **Project description:**

First, very few is known on the Walsh support. Regarding its cardinal, there are some proven results, such as the existence of functions with support of size  $2^{\ell}$  for  $\ell \in [0, n] \setminus \{1\}^{1}$ . In most of these proven cases the Walsh support is an affine space. There are also examples with  $|Wsupp_{f}| = 2^{n} - 1$ . These different cases can be found in [CM04], with more properties on the Walsh support. Regarding the impossible cardinal, values such as 2 and 3 have been proven impossible independently in different papers, and there are claims on some other small values. It has been shown experimentally that in 5 variables  $|Wsupp_{f}|$  belongs to  $\{1, 4, 8, 10, 13, 16, 18, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 28, 32\}$ .

The goal of this project is to study the cardinal of the support of Walsh for functions in n variables with  $n \in \{6, 7, 8\}$ . It can be done by following one or more of the following directions:

 Finding experimentally possibles values of the cardinal by modifying Boole and functions with known Walsh support.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> for a and b in  $\mathbb{N}$  we use the notation [a, b] for the set of integers between a and b both included

- Determining experimentally the possible cardinal for n = 6 using a list of representative of each affine equivalent class in 6 variables.
- Proving that more values are not possible for the cardinal.
- Building Boolean functions for each different cardinal.

### Interests in cryptology:

 Resilience. The criterion of resilience has been an important notion in cryptography to avoid statistical attacks. Its definition is the following:

**Definition 4 (Balancedness and Resilience).** A Boolean function  $f \in \mathcal{B}_n$  is said to be balanced if  $|f^{-1}(0)| = |f^{-1}(1)| = 2^{n-1}$ . The function f is called k-resilient if any of its restrictions obtained by fixing at most k of its coordinates is balanced. We denote by  $\operatorname{res}(f)$  the maximum resiliency (also called resiliency order) of f and set  $\operatorname{res}(f) = -1$  if f is unbalanced.

In cryptography the concept has been introduced by Siegenthaler [Sie84], and appears in other domains using Boolean functions. We have the following relation between resilience and Walsh support:

**Property 1** (Walsh Transform and Resilience, *e.g.* [Car21]). Let  $f \in \mathcal{B}_n$ , f is k-resilient if and only if  $W_f(a) = 0$  for all a of Hamming weight at most k. Additionally, f has resilience order k if there exists an  $a \in \mathsf{E}_{k+1,n}$  such that  $W_f(a) \neq 0$ , where  $\mathsf{E}_{k+1,n}$  denotes the set  $\{x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n | \mathsf{w}_{\mathsf{H}}(x) = k+1\}$ .

We also have that  $a \in Wsupp_f$  is equivalent to  $f(x) + a \cdot x$  is not balanced. Accordingly the support of Walsh gives information on the resilience of f and its structure could be used to determine if a function in the affine equivalent class of f has a better resilience. There are various equivalent relations defined on Boolean functions, in our context we refer to the following definition:

**Definition 5** (Equivalences Notions (adapted from [Car21], Definition 5)). *Two n-variable Boolean functions f and*  $g = a_0 + f \circ L$  *where:* 

 $L: (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \mapsto (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \times \mathbf{M} + (a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ , are called:

affine equivalent if  $a_0 \in \mathbb{F}_2$ , L is an affine automorphism of  $\mathbb{F}_2^n$ ,  $\mathbf{M}$  being an  $n \times n$  nonsingular matrix over  $\mathbb{F}_2$  and  $(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ ,

linear equivalent if  $a_0 = 0$ , L is a linear automorphism of  $\mathbb{F}_2^n$ , M being an  $n \times n$  nonsingular matrix over  $\mathbb{F}_2$  and  $(a_1, \ldots, a_n) = 0_n$ ,

permutation equivalent if they are linear equivalent with M having exactly one 1 by row and by column.

- Nonlinearity. The Walsh spectrum (the set of the 2<sup>n</sup> values of the Walsh transform) is also used to determine the minimum distance of a function to the *n*-variable affine function, usually called nonlinearity or first order nonlinearity. The minimum distance considered in this case is the Hamming distance, the truth table of the affine functions correspond to a linear code (Reed Muller code of order 1), and the minimal distance is derived from the maximum value of the absolute value of the Walsh spectrum.

### **Bibliography:**

We recommend the book of Claude Carlet [Car21] for a general introduction (and way more) on Boolean functions and cryptography. For some results on the Walsh support and its properties, the article [CM04] and its references. Regarding the interest in cryptology: [DMR23].

## References

- Car21. Claude Carlet. Boolean Functions for Cryptography and Coding Theory. Cambridge University Press, 2021.
- CM04. Claude Carlet and Sihem Mesnager. On the supports of the walsh transforms of Boolean functions. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Report 2004/256, 2004.
- DMR23. Aurélien Dupin, Pierrick Méaux, and Mélissa Rossi. On the algebraic immunity resiliency trade-off, implications for goldreich's pseudorandom generator. *Des. Codes Cryptogr.*, 91(9):3035–3079, 2023.
- Sie84. Thomas Siegenthaler. Correlation-immunity of nonlinear combining functions for cryptographic applications. IT-30(5):776–780, 1984.