

Bergman kernel and Geometric quantization (joint with Weiping Zhang)

Xiaonan Ma

Université Paris 7

University of Luxembourg, September 7, 2009

Pre-quantum line bundle

Pre-quantum line bundle

- ▶ (X, ω) a compact symplectic manifold.

Pre-quantum line bundle

- ▶ (X, ω) a compact symplectic manifold.
- ▶ (L, h^L) a Hermitian line bundle over X carrying an Hermitian connection ∇^L such that

$$\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} (\nabla^L)^2 = \omega.$$

L the **pre-quantum** line bundle on (X, ω) .

Dirac operators

Dirac operators

- ▶ J an almost complex structure on TX such that

$$g^{TX}(v, w) = \omega(v, Jw)$$

defines a J -invariant Riemannian metric on TX .

Dirac operators

- ▶ J an almost complex structure on TX such that

$$g^{TX}(v, w) = \omega(v, Jw)$$

defines a J -invariant Riemannian metric on TX .

- ▶ spin^c Dirac operator

$$D^L : \Omega^{0, \frac{\text{even}}{\text{odd}}}(X, L) \rightarrow \Omega^{0, \frac{\text{odd}}{\text{even}}}(X, L)$$

Self-adjoint first order elliptic operator.

Dirac operators

- ▶ J an almost complex structure on TX such that

$$g^{TX}(v, w) = \omega(v, Jw)$$

defines a J -invariant Riemannian metric on TX .

- ▶ spin^c Dirac operator

$$D^L : \Omega^{0, \frac{\text{even}}{\text{odd}}}(X, L) \rightarrow \Omega^{0, \frac{\text{odd}}{\text{even}}}(X, L)$$

Self-adjoint first order elliptic operator.

- ▶ $D_{\pm}^L := D^L|_{\Omega^{0, \frac{\text{even}}{\text{odd}}}(X, L)}$.

Dirac operators

- ▶ J an almost complex structure on TX such that

$$g^{TX}(v, w) = \omega(v, Jw)$$

defines a J -invariant Riemannian metric on TX .

- ▶ spin^c Dirac operator

$$D^L : \Omega^{0, \frac{\text{even}}{\text{odd}}}(X, L) \rightarrow \Omega^{0, \frac{\text{odd}}{\text{even}}}(X, L)$$

Self-adjoint first order elliptic operator.

- ▶ $D_{\pm}^L := D^L|_{\Omega^{0, \frac{\text{even}}{\text{odd}}}(X, L)}$.
- ▶ When (X, ω, J) is Kähler, and L holomorphic line bundle over X ,

$$D^L = \sqrt{2} \left(\bar{\partial}^L + \left(\bar{\partial}^L \right)^* \right).$$

Index of D^L

- ▶ $\text{Ker}(D_+^L)$, $\text{Ker}(D_-^L)$ are finite dimensional.

Index of D^L

- ▶ $\text{Ker}(D_+^L)$, $\text{Ker}(D_-^L)$ are finite dimensional.
- ▶ **Quantization space** of L is the formal difference

$$Q(L) := \text{Ind}(D^L) = \text{Ker}(D_+^L) - \text{Ker}(D_-^L).$$

It does not depend on the choice of J and the metric and connection on L .

Index of D^L

- ▶ $\text{Ker}(D_+^L)$, $\text{Ker}(D_-^L)$ are finite dimensional.
- ▶ **Quantization space** of L is the formal difference

$$Q(L) := \text{Ind}(D^L) = \text{Ker}(D_+^L) - \text{Ker}(D_-^L).$$

It does not depend on the choice of J and the metric and connection on L .

- ▶ When (X, ω, J) is **Kähler** and L is holomorphic, then

$$Q(L) = H^{0,\text{even}}(X, L) - H^{0,\text{odd}}(X, L).$$

Index of D^L

- ▶ $\text{Ker}(D_+^L), \text{Ker}(D_-^L)$ are finite dimensional.
- ▶ **Quantization space** of L is the formal difference

$$Q(L) := \text{Ind}(D^L) = \text{Ker}(D_+^L) - \text{Ker}(D_-^L).$$

It does not depend on the choice of J and the metric and connection on L .

- ▶ When (X, ω, J) is **Kähler** and L is holomorphic, then

$$Q(L) = H^{0,\text{even}}(X, L) - H^{0,\text{odd}}(X, L).$$

- ▶ **Atiyah-Singer** : $Q(L) = \int_X \text{Td}(T^{(1,0)}X) \text{ch}(L)$

$$= \int_X \det \left(\frac{e^{\sqrt{-1}R^{T(1,0)}X/2\pi}}{1 - e^{-\sqrt{-1}R^{T(1,0)}X/2\pi}} \right) e^\omega.$$

Index as a virtual representation

- ▶ G compact connected Lie group with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} .

Index as a virtual representation

- ▶ G compact connected Lie group with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} .
- ▶ G acts on X , and its action lifts on L , and commutes with J, h^L, ∇^L .

Index as a virtual representation

- ▶ G compact connected Lie group with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} .
- ▶ G acts on X , and its action lifts on L , and commutes with J, h^L, ∇^L .
- ▶ $\text{Ker}(D_{\pm}^L)$ are finite dimensional G -representations.

$$Q(L) = \text{Ker}(D_+^L) - \text{Ker}(D_-^L) \in R(G).$$

Index as a virtual representation

- ▶ G compact connected Lie group with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} .
- ▶ G acts on X , and its action lifts on L , and commutes with J, h^L, ∇^L .
- ▶ $\text{Ker}(D_{\pm}^L)$ are finite dimensional G -representations.

$$Q(L) = \text{Ker}(D_+^L) - \text{Ker}(D_-^L) \in R(G).$$

- ▶ $\Lambda_+^* \subset \mathfrak{g}^*$ the set of dominant weights, V_{γ}^G the irreducible representation of G with highest weight $\gamma \in \Lambda_+^*$. Then

$$Q(L) = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Lambda_+^*} Q(L)^{\gamma} \cdot V_{\gamma}^G.$$

Index as a virtual representation

- ▶ G compact connected Lie group with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} .
- ▶ G acts on X , and its action lifts on L , and commutes with J, h^L, ∇^L .
- ▶ $\text{Ker}(D_{\pm}^L)$ are finite dimensional G -representations.

$$Q(L) = \text{Ker}(D_+^L) - \text{Ker}(D_-^L) \in R(G).$$

- ▶ $\Lambda_+^* \subset \mathfrak{g}^*$ the set of dominant weights, V_{γ}^G the irreducible representation of G with highest weight $\gamma \in \Lambda_+^*$. Then

$$Q(L) = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Lambda_+^*} Q(L)^{\gamma} \cdot V_{\gamma}^G.$$

- ▶ $Q(L)^{\gamma}$ the multiplicity of V_{γ}^G in $Q(L)$.
 How to compute $Q(L)^{\gamma}$?

Symplectic reduction

- ▶ **Moment map** $\mu : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ is defined by

$$2\sqrt{-1}\pi\mu(K) = \nabla_{K^X}^L - L_K, \quad K \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

K^X the vector field on X generated by $K \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Symplectic reduction

- ▶ **Moment map** $\mu : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ is defined by

$$2\sqrt{-1}\pi\mu(K) = \nabla_{K^X}^L - L_K, \quad K \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

K^X the vector field on X generated by $K \in \mathfrak{g}$.

- ▶ One has

$$i_{K^X}\omega = d\mu(K),$$

Symplectic reduction

- ▶ **Moment map** $\mu : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ is defined by

$$2\sqrt{-1}\pi\mu(K) = \nabla_{K^X}^L - L_K, \quad K \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

K^X the vector field on X generated by $K \in \mathfrak{g}$.

- ▶ One has

$$i_{K^X}\omega = d\mu(K),$$

- ▶ For a regular value $\nu \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ of μ , **symplectic reduction** :

$$X_\nu = \mu^{-1}(G \cdot \nu)/G$$

X_ν is a compact symplectic orbifold.

$J, \omega, L \implies J_\nu, \omega_\nu, L_\nu$ on X_ν .

Guillemin-Sternberg conjecture I

Guillemin-Sternberg conjecture I

- ▶ If (X, ω, J) is Kähler, L is holomorphic, \implies
 $(X_\nu, \omega_\nu, J_\nu)$ is Kähler, L_ν is holomorphic over X_ν .

Guillemin-Sternberg conjecture I

- ▶ If (X, ω, J) is Kähler, L is holomorphic, \implies
 $(X_\nu, \omega_\nu, J_\nu)$ is Kähler, L_ν is holomorphic over X_ν .
- ▶ The **quantization** of L_ν is defined by

$$Q(L_\nu) = \text{Ker}(D_+^{L_\nu}) - \text{Ker}(D_-^{L_\nu}).$$

Guillemin-Sternberg conjecture I

- ▶ If (X, ω, J) is Kähler, L is holomorphic, \implies
 $(X_\nu, \omega_\nu, J_\nu)$ is Kähler, L_ν is holomorphic over X_ν .
- ▶ The **quantization** of L_ν is defined by

$$Q(L_\nu) = \text{Ker}(D_+^{L_\nu}) - \text{Ker}(D_-^{L_\nu}).$$

- ▶ **Guillemin-Sternberg** conjecture : For any $\gamma \in \Lambda_+^*$,

$$Q(L)^\gamma = Q(L_\gamma).$$

Equivalently,

$$Q(L) := \text{Ind}(D^L) = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Lambda_+^*} Q(L_\gamma) \cdot V_\gamma^G.$$

Guillemin-Sternberg conjecture II

- ▶ When G is abelian, **Meinrenken** (JAMS 1996) and **Vergne** (DMJ 1996).

Guillemin-Sternberg conjecture II

- ▶ When G is abelian, **Meinrenken** (JAMS 1996) and **Vergne** (DMJ 1996).
- ▶ General G , **Meinrenken**, **Meinrenken-Sjamaar**,
technique of *symplectic cut* of Lerman, 1998
Yonglian Tian - Weiping Zhang,
Pure analytic approach, 1998, work for a general
vector bundle E verifying certain positivity condition.
For manifolds with boundary, etc.

Guillemin-Sternberg conjecture II

- ▶ When G is abelian, **Meinrenken** (JAMS 1996) and **Vergne** (DMJ 1996).
- ▶ General G , **Meinrenken**, **Meinrenken-Sjamaar**, technique of *symplectic cut* of Lerman, 1998
Yonglian Tian - Weiping Zhang, Pure analytic approach, 1998, work for a general vector bundle E verifying certain positivity condition. For manifolds with boundary, etc.
- ▶ Other proofs : **Duistermaat-Guillemin-Meinrenken-Wu** (for circle actions) and **Jeffrey-Kirwan** (for non-abelian group actions with certain extra conditions)
Paradan, using the transversal index theory, 2001.
Etc ...

Guillemin-Sternberg conjecture III

- ▶ Assume (X, ω, J) is Kähler, L holomorphic.

Guillemin-Sternberg conjecture III

- ▶ Assume (X, ω, J) is Kähler, L holomorphic.
- ▶ **Guillemin-Sternberg**, 1982 : G acts freely on $\mu^{-1}(0)$,
 $X_G := X_0$.

$$H^{0,0}(X, L)^G \simeq H^{0,0}(X_G, L_G).$$

Guillemin-Sternberg conjecture III

- ▶ Assume (X, ω, J) is Kähler, L holomorphic.
- ▶ **Guillemin-Sternberg**, 1982 : G acts freely on $\mu^{-1}(0)$,
 $X_G := X_0$.

$$H^{0,0}(X, L)^G \simeq H^{0,0}(X_G, L_G).$$

- ▶ **Teleman, Braverman, Weiping Zhang**, 2000 : for any j ,

$$H^{0,j}(X, L)^G \simeq H^{0,j}(X_G, L_G).$$

Guillemin-Sternberg conjecture III

- ▶ Assume (X, ω, J) is Kähler, L holomorphic.
- ▶ **Guillemin-Sternberg**, 1982 : G acts freely on $\mu^{-1}(0)$,
 $X_G := X_0$.

$$H^{0,0}(X, L)^G \simeq H^{0,0}(X_G, L_G).$$

- ▶ **Teleman, Braverman, Weiping Zhang**, 2000 : for any j ,

$$H^{0,j}(X, L)^G \simeq H^{0,j}(X_G, L_G).$$

- ▶ **Weiping Zhang**, 2000 : For any E holomorphic, if 0 is a regular values of μ ,

$$H^{0,0}(X, L^k \otimes E)^G \simeq H^{0,0}(X_G, L_G^k \otimes E_G) \text{ for } k \gg 1.$$

Transversal index

- ▶ Assume X is non-compact and $\mu : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ is proper.

Transversal index

- ▶ Assume X is non-compact and $\mu : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ is proper.
- ▶ We can define a transversally elliptic symbol $\sigma_{L,\mu}^X$ in the sense of Atiyah (1974).
 $\sigma_{L,\mu}^M$ has an index :

$$\text{Ind}(\sigma_{L,\mu}^X) = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Lambda_+^*} \text{Ind}_\gamma(\sigma_{L,\mu}^X) \cdot V_\gamma^G.$$

The set $\{\gamma \in \Lambda_+^* : \text{Ind}_\gamma(\sigma_{L,\mu}^X) \neq 0\}$ can be infinite.

Transversal index

- ▶ Assume X is non-compact and $\mu : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ is proper.
- ▶ We can define a transversally elliptic symbol $\sigma_{L,\mu}^X$ in the sense of Atiyah (1974).
 $\sigma_{L,\mu}^M$ has an index :

$$\text{Ind}(\sigma_{L,\mu}^X) = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Lambda_+^*} \text{Ind}_\gamma(\sigma_{L,\mu}^X) \cdot V_\gamma^G.$$

The set $\{\gamma \in \Lambda_+^* : \text{Ind}_\gamma(\sigma_{L,\mu}^X) \neq 0\}$ can be infinite.

Transversal index

- ▶ Assume X is non-compact and $\mu : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ is proper.
- ▶ We can define a transversally elliptic symbol $\sigma_{L,\mu}^X$ in the sense of Atiyah (1974).
 $\sigma_{L,\mu}^M$ has an index :

$$\text{Ind}(\sigma_{L,\mu}^X) = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Lambda_+^*} \text{Ind}_\gamma(\sigma_{L,\mu}^X) \cdot V_\gamma^G.$$

The set $\{\gamma \in \Lambda_+^* : \text{Ind}_\gamma(\sigma_{L,\mu}^X) \neq 0\}$ can be infinite.

- ▶ In many cases,

$$\text{Ind}(\sigma_{L,\mu}^X) = \text{Ker}_{L^2}(D_+^L) - \text{Ker}_{L^2}(D_-^L) \in R[G].$$

Vergne's conjecture

Vergne's conjecture

- ▶ **Vergne's conjecture** (ICM 2006, plenary lecture) : If $\mu : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ is proper and if $\{x \in X : \mu^X(x) = 0\}$ is compact, then

$$\text{Ind} (\sigma_{L,\mu}^X) = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Lambda_+^*} Q(L_\gamma) \cdot V_\gamma^G.$$

Vergne's conjecture

- ▶ **Vergne's conjecture** (ICM 2006, plenary lecture) : If $\mu : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ is proper and if $\{x \in X : \mu^X(x) = 0\}$ is compact, then

$$\text{Ind}(\sigma_{L,\mu}^X) = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Lambda_+^*} Q(L_\gamma) \cdot V_\gamma^G.$$

- ▶ **Ma-Zhang 2008** : **Vergne's** conjecture holds even when $\{x \in X : \mu^X(x) = 0\}$ is non-compact.

Motivations and results

Motivations and results

- ▶ **Results** : Asymptotic expansion of G -invariant Bergman kernel on a compact symplectic manifold equipped with Hamiltonian action of a compact connected Lie group.

Motivations and results

- ▶ **Results** : Asymptotic expansion of G -invariant Bergman kernel on a compact symplectic manifold equipped with Hamiltonian action of a compact connected Lie group.
- ▶ **Motivations** :
Version from the local index theory for Geometric quantization commutes with reduction ?

Motivations and results

- ▶ **Results** : Asymptotic expansion of G -invariant Bergman kernel on a compact symplectic manifold equipped with Hamiltonian action of a compact connected Lie group.
- ▶ **Motivations** :
Version from the local index theory for Geometric quantization commutes with reduction ?
- ▶ Applications in Donaldson's program ?

Geometric data

Geometric data

- ▶ (X, ω) compact symplectic manifold, $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} X = 2n$.

Geometric data

- ▶ (X, ω) compact symplectic manifold, $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} X = 2n$.
- ▶ (L, h^L) Hermitian (complex) line bundle on X .

Geometric data

- ▶ (X, ω) compact symplectic manifold, $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} X = 2n$.
- ▶ (L, h^L) Hermitian (complex) line bundle on X .
- ▶ (E, h^E) Hermitian (complex) vector bundle on X .

Geometric data

- ▶ (X, ω) compact symplectic manifold, $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} X = 2n$.
- ▶ (L, h^L) Hermitian (complex) line bundle on X .
- ▶ (E, h^E) Hermitian (complex) vector bundle on X .
- ▶ ∇^L, ∇^E Hermitian connections on $(L, h^L), (E, h^E)$.

Geometric data

- ▶ (X, ω) compact symplectic manifold, $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} X = 2n$.
- ▶ (L, h^L) Hermitian (complex) line bundle on X .
- ▶ (E, h^E) Hermitian (complex) vector bundle on X .
- ▶ ∇^L, ∇^E Hermitian connections on $(L, h^L), (E, h^E)$.
- ▶ **Fundamental hypothesis** :

$$\omega = c_1(L, \nabla^L) = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} (\nabla^L)^2.$$

Geometric data

- ▶ (X, ω) compact symplectic manifold, $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} X = 2n$.
- ▶ (L, h^L) Hermitian (complex) line bundle on X .
- ▶ (E, h^E) Hermitian (complex) vector bundle on X .
- ▶ ∇^L, ∇^E Hermitian connections on $(L, h^L), (E, h^E)$.
- ▶ **Fundamental hypothesis** :

$$\omega = c_1(L, \nabla^L) = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} (\nabla^L)^2.$$

- ▶ g^{TX} Riemannian metric on TX , J almost complex structure on TX , preserves ω and g^{TX} .

Geometric data

- ▶ (X, ω) compact symplectic manifold, $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} X = 2n$.
- ▶ (L, h^L) Hermitian (complex) line bundle on X .
- ▶ (E, h^E) Hermitian (complex) vector bundle on X .
- ▶ ∇^L, ∇^E Hermitian connections on $(L, h^L), (E, h^E)$.
- ▶ **Fundamental hypothesis** :

$$\omega = c_1(L, \nabla^L) = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} (\nabla^L)^2.$$

- ▶ g^{TX} Riemannian metric on TX , J almost complex structure on TX , preserves ω and g^{TX} .
- ▶ Simplification : $g^{TX}(\cdot, \cdot) = \omega(\cdot, J\cdot)$.
(Our results work without this assumption).

G -invariant Bergman kernel

► **Spinors** : $\Lambda^\cdot := \Lambda^{\text{even}}(T^{*(0,1)}X) \oplus \Lambda^{\text{odd}}(T^{*(0,1)}X)$.

$$E_p := \Lambda^\cdot \otimes L^p \otimes E.$$

Dirac operator $D_p : \mathcal{C}^\infty(X, E_p^\pm) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(X, E_p^\mp)$,

$$D_p = \sum_i c(e_i) \nabla_{e_i}^{E_p}.$$

G -invariant Bergman kernel

- ▶ **Spinors** : $\Lambda^\cdot := \Lambda^{\text{even}}(T^{*(0,1)}X) \oplus \Lambda^{\text{odd}}(T^{*(0,1)}X)$.
 $E_p := \Lambda^\cdot \otimes L^p \otimes E$.
Dirac operator $D_p : \mathcal{C}^\infty(X, E_p^\pm) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(X, E_p^\mp)$,

$$D_p = \sum_i c(e_i) \nabla_{e_i}^{E_p}.$$

- ▶ P_p^G orthogonal projection from $\mathcal{C}^\infty(X, E_p)$ onto $(\text{Ker } D_p)^G$.

G -invariant Bergman kernel

- ▶ **Spinors** : $\Lambda^\cdot := \Lambda^{\text{even}}(T^{*(0,1)}X) \oplus \Lambda^{\text{odd}}(T^{*(0,1)}X)$.
 $E_p := \Lambda^\cdot \otimes L^p \otimes E$.
- ▶ **Dirac operator** $D_p : \mathcal{C}^\infty(X, E_p^\pm) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(X, E_p^\mp)$,

$$D_p = \sum_i c(e_i) \nabla_{e_i}^{E_p}.$$

- ▶ P_p^G orthogonal projection from $\mathcal{C}^\infty(X, E_p)$ onto $(\text{Ker } D_p)^G$.
- ▶ **G -invariant Bergman kernel** : $P_p^G(x, x')$, $(x, x' \in X)$ is the \mathcal{C}^∞ kernel of P_p^G associated to $\frac{\omega^n}{n!}(x')$.

G -invariant Bergman kernel

- ▶ **Spinors** : $\Lambda^\cdot := \Lambda^{\text{even}}(T^{*(0,1)}X) \oplus \Lambda^{\text{odd}}(T^{*(0,1)}X)$.
 $E_p := \Lambda^\cdot \otimes L^p \otimes E$.
Dirac operator $D_p : \mathcal{C}^\infty(X, E_p^\pm) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(X, E_p^\mp)$,

$$D_p = \sum_i c(e_i) \nabla_{e_i}^{E_p}.$$

- ▶ P_p^G orthogonal projection from $\mathcal{C}^\infty(X, E_p)$ onto $(\text{Ker } D_p)^G$.
- ▶ G -invariant Bergman kernel : $P_p^G(x, x')$, $(x, x' \in X)$ is the \mathcal{C}^∞ kernel of P_p^G associated to $\frac{\omega^n}{n!}(x')$.
- ▶ $P_p^G(x, x')$ “concentrates” to $P_{G,p}(x_0, x'_0)$, the Bergman kernel on X_G when $p \rightarrow \infty$?

G -invariant Bergman kernel

- ▶ **Spinors** : $\Lambda^\cdot := \Lambda^{\text{even}}(T^{*(0,1)}X) \oplus \Lambda^{\text{odd}}(T^{*(0,1)}X)$.
 $E_p := \Lambda^\cdot \otimes L^p \otimes E$.
Dirac operator $D_p : \mathcal{C}^\infty(X, E_p^\pm) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(X, E_p^\mp)$,

$$D_p = \sum_i c(e_i) \nabla_{e_i}^{E_p}.$$

- ▶ P_p^G orthogonal projection from $\mathcal{C}^\infty(X, E_p)$ onto $(\text{Ker } D_p)^G$.
- ▶ G -invariant Bergman kernel : $P_p^G(x, x')$, $(x, x' \in X)$ is the \mathcal{C}^∞ kernel of P_p^G associated to $\frac{\omega^n}{n!}(x')$.
- ▶ $P_p^G(x, x')$ “concentrates” to $P_{G,p}(x_0, x'_0)$, the Bergman kernel on X_G when $p \rightarrow \infty$?
- ▶ Scalar curvature of X_G from $P_p^G(x, x')$?

Application of Dai-Liu-Ma's formula

$$\begin{aligned} \blacktriangleright \left| \frac{1}{p^n} P_p(0, Z) - \sum_{r=0}^k p^{-r/2} J_r(\sqrt{p}Z) e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}p|Z|^2} \right| \\ \leq C p^{-(k+1)/2} (1 + |\sqrt{p}Z|)^N e^{-\sqrt{C''}p|Z|}. \end{aligned}$$

$J_0 = I_{\mathbb{C} \otimes E}$, projection from $\Lambda \cdot (T^{*(0,1)}X) \otimes E$ to $\mathbb{C} \otimes E$.

Application of Dai-Liu-Ma's formula

$$\begin{aligned} \blacktriangleright \left| \frac{1}{p^n} P_p(0, Z) - \sum_{r=0}^k p^{-r/2} J_r(\sqrt{p}Z) e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}p|Z|^2} \right| \\ \leq C p^{-(k+1)/2} (1 + |\sqrt{p}Z|)^N e^{-\sqrt{C''}p|Z|}. \end{aligned}$$

$J_0 = I_{\mathbb{C} \otimes E}$, projection from $\Lambda \cdot (T^{*(0,1)}X) \otimes E$ to $\mathbb{C} \otimes E$.

$$\blacktriangleright P_p^G(x, x') = \int_G (1, g) \cdot P_p(x, g^{-1}x') dg.$$

Application of Dai-Liu-Ma's formula

$$\begin{aligned} \blacktriangleright \left| \frac{1}{p^n} P_p(0, Z) - \sum_{r=0}^k p^{-r/2} J_r(\sqrt{p}Z) e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}p|Z|^2} \right| \\ \leq C p^{-(k+1)/2} (1 + |\sqrt{p}Z|)^N e^{-\sqrt{C''}p|Z|}. \end{aligned}$$

$J_0 = I_{\mathbb{C} \otimes E}$, projection from $\Lambda^*(T^{*(0,1)}X) \otimes E$ to $\mathbb{C} \otimes E$.

$$\blacktriangleright P_p^G(x, x') = \int_G (1, g) \cdot P_p(x, g^{-1}x') dg.$$

$$\blacktriangleright \text{For } x_0 \in \mu^{-1}(0), h(x_0) := \sqrt{\text{vol}(Gx_0)}$$

$$\left| p^{-n + \frac{\dim G}{2}} h^2(x_0) P_p^G(x_0, x_0) - \sum_{r=0}^k \mathbf{b}_r(x_0) p^{-r} \right| \leq C p^{-k-1}.$$

$$\mathbf{b}_0 = 2^{\frac{\dim G}{2}} I_{\mathbb{C} \otimes E}.$$

Paoletti (2005) Adv. Math. : If (X, J, ω) Kähler,
 $E = \mathbb{C} \Rightarrow \mathbf{b}_0 = 1$. **Different! Wrong?**

Asymp. expansion of G -invariant Bergman kernel

Asymp. expansion of G -invariant Bergman kernel

- ▶ **Theorem** (Ma - Weiping Zhang (2005)). U open neighborhood of $\mu^{-1}(0)$,

$$P_p^G(x, x') = \mathcal{O}(p^{-\infty}) \quad \text{for any } x \text{ or } x' \in X \setminus U.$$

Asymp. expansion of G -invariant Bergman kernel

- ▶ **Theorem** (Ma - Weiping Zhang (2005)). U open neighborhood of $\mu^{-1}(0)$,

$$P_p^G(x, x') = \mathcal{O}(p^{-\infty}) \quad \text{for any } x \text{ or } x' \in X \setminus U.$$

- ▶ **Theorem** (Ma-Zhang (2005)). For $x \in U$,
 $h(x) := \sqrt{\text{vol}(Gx)}$. **Asymptotic expansion** of

$$p^{-n + \frac{\dim G}{2}} h(x)h(x')P_p^G(x, x') \quad \text{uniformly on } x, x' \in U.$$

Asymp. expansion II

Asymp. expansion II

- ▶ $x_0 \in X_G$, $B = U/G$, $Z = (Z^0, Z^\perp) \in TX_G \oplus N_B = TB$,
$$P(Z, Z') = \exp\left(-\frac{\pi}{2}|Z^0 - Z'^0|^2 - \pi\sqrt{-1}\langle J_{x_0}Z^0, Z'^0\rangle\right)$$
$$\times 2^{\frac{n_0}{2}} \exp\left(-\pi(|Z^\perp|^2 + |Z'^\perp|^2)\right),$$

Asymp. expansion II

- ▶ $x_0 \in X_G$, $B = U/G$, $Z = (Z^0, Z^\perp) \in TX_G \oplus N_B = TB$,

$$P(Z, Z') = \exp\left(-\frac{\pi}{2}|Z^0 - Z'^0|^2 - \pi\sqrt{-1}\langle J_{x_0}Z^0, Z'^0 \rangle\right)$$

$$\times 2^{\frac{n_0}{2}} \exp\left(-\pi(|Z^\perp|^2 + |Z'^\perp|^2)\right),$$
- ▶ (Ma-Zhang, CRAS, 2005) \mathcal{Q}_r polynomial on Z, Z' ,
 $\mathcal{Q}_0 = I_{\mathbb{C} \otimes E_B}$,

$$p^{-n+\frac{n_0}{2}} h(Z)h(Z')P_p^G(Z, Z')$$

$$\approx \sum_{r=0}^k (\mathcal{Q}_{r, x_0} P)(\sqrt{p}Z, \sqrt{p}Z') p^{-\frac{r}{2}} + \mathcal{O}(p^{(k+1)/2}).$$

Asymp. expansion II

- ▶ $x_0 \in X_G$, $B = U/G$, $Z = (Z^0, Z^\perp) \in TX_G \oplus N_B = TB$,

$$P(Z, Z') = \exp\left(-\frac{\pi}{2}|Z^0 - Z'^0|^2 - \pi\sqrt{-1}\langle J_{x_0}Z^0, Z'^0 \rangle\right) \\
 \times 2^{\frac{n_0}{2}} \exp\left(-\pi(|Z^\perp|^2 + |Z'^\perp|^2)\right),$$

- ▶ (Ma-Zhang, CRAS, 2005) \mathcal{Q}_r polynomial on Z, Z' ,
 $\mathcal{Q}_0 = I_{\mathbb{C} \otimes E_B}$,

$$p^{-n+\frac{n_0}{2}} h(Z)h(Z')P_p^G(Z, Z') \\
 \approx \sum_{r=0}^k (\mathcal{Q}_{r,x_0}P)(\sqrt{p}Z, \sqrt{p}Z')p^{-\frac{r}{2}} + \mathcal{O}(p^{(k+1)/2}).$$

- ▶ Paoletti (Dec. 2006), for $|Z|, |Z'| < c/\sqrt{p}$, does not correct when X_G is an orbifold.

Diagonal asymptotic expansion

Diagonal asymptotic expansion

- ▶ $B = U/G$, $\mathbb{J}_{r,x_0}(Z)$ polynomials on Z , for $x_0 \in X_G$,
 $Z \in N_{G,x_0}$, $|Z| \leq \varepsilon_0$,

$$\left| p^{-n + \frac{\dim G}{2}} h^2(Z) P_p^G(Z, Z) - \sum_{r=0}^k \mathbb{J}_{r,x_0}(\sqrt{p}Z) e^{-2\pi p|Z|^2} p^{-\frac{r}{2}} \right| \leq C p^{-(k+1)/2} e^{-\sqrt{C''} \sqrt{p}|Z|}.$$

Diagonal asymptotic expansion

- ▶ $B = U/G$, $\mathbb{J}_{r,x_0}(Z)$ polynomials on Z , for $x_0 \in X_G$,
 $Z \in N_{G,x_0}$, $|Z| \leq \varepsilon_0$,

$$\left| p^{-n + \frac{\dim G}{2}} h^2(Z) P_p^G(Z, Z) - \sum_{r=0}^k \mathbb{J}_{r,x_0}(\sqrt{p}Z) e^{-2\pi p|Z|^2} p^{-\frac{r}{2}} \right| \leq C p^{-(k+1)/2} e^{-\sqrt{C''} \sqrt{p}|Z|}.$$

- ▶ $\mathbb{J}_{0,x_0} = 2^{\frac{\dim G}{2}} I_{\mathbb{C} \otimes E}$.

Idea of the proof

Idea of the proof

► $\mathcal{L}_p := D_p^2 - p \sum_{i=1}^{\dim G} L_{K_i} L_{K_i}.$

Idea of the proof

- ▶ $\mathcal{L}_p := D_p^2 - p \sum_{i=1}^{\dim G} L_{K_i} L_{K_i}$.
- ▶ **Theorem** (Ma-Zhang (2005)).

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Ker}(\mathcal{L}_p) &= (\text{Ker } D_p)^G, \\ \text{Spec}(\mathcal{L}_p) &\subset \{0\} \cup [2\nu p - C_L, +\infty[.\end{aligned}$$

Idea of the proof

- ▶ $\mathcal{L}_p := D_p^2 - p \sum_{i=1}^{\dim G} L_{K_i} L_{K_i}$.
- ▶ **Theorem** (Ma-Zhang (2005)).

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Ker}(\mathcal{L}_p) &= (\text{Ker } D_p)^G, \\ \text{Spec}(\mathcal{L}_p) &\subset \{0\} \cup [2\nu p - C_L, +\infty[.\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ **Slogan** : Spectral gap property \implies the problem is local. Work on $G \times \mathbb{R}^{2n - \dim G}$.

Idea of the proof

- ▶ $\mathcal{L}_p := D_p^2 - p \sum_{i=1}^{\dim G} L_{K_i} L_{K_i}$.
- ▶ **Theorem** (Ma-Zhang (2005)).

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ker}(\mathcal{L}_p) &= (\text{Ker } D_p)^G, \\ \text{Spec}(\mathcal{L}_p) &\subset \{0\} \cup [2\nu p - C_L, +\infty[. \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ **Slogan** : Spectral gap property \implies the problem is local. Work on $G \times \mathbb{R}^{2n - \dim G}$.
Local index techniques \implies Asymptotic expansion and **effective** method to compute the coefficients.

Consequences

Consequences

- ▶ $B = U/G$. $dv_B(x_0, Z) = \kappa(x_0, Z)dv_{X_G}(x_0)dv_{N_{G,x_0}}$,
 $x_0 \in X_G$, $Z \in N_{G,x_0}$. $\mathcal{I}_p \in \text{End}(\Lambda \cdot (T^{*(0,1)}X) \otimes E)_G$:

$$\mathcal{I}_p(x_0) = \int_{\substack{|Z| \leq \varepsilon_0 \\ Z \in N_G}} (\kappa h^2)(x_0, Z) P_p^G((x_0, Z), (x_0, Z)) dv_{N_G}(Z).$$

Consequences

- ▶ $B = U/G$. $dv_B(x_0, Z) = \kappa(x_0, Z)dv_{X_G}(x_0)dv_{N_{G,x_0}}$,
 $x_0 \in X_G$, $Z \in N_{G,x_0}$. $\mathcal{I}_p \in \text{End}(\Lambda \cdot (T^{*(0,1)}X) \otimes E)_G$:

$$\mathcal{I}_p(x_0) = \int_{\substack{|Z| \leq \varepsilon_0 \\ Z \in N_G}} (\kappa h^2)(x_0, Z) P_p^G((x_0, Z), (x_0, Z)) dv_{N_G}(Z).$$

- ▶ Modulo $\mathcal{O}(p^{-\infty})$, $\mathcal{I}_p(x_0)$ does not depend on ε_0 , and

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \text{Ker } D_{G,p} &= \int_X \text{Tr}[P_p^G(y, y)] dv_X(y) \\ &= \int_B h^2(y) \text{Tr}[P_p^G(y, y)] dv_B(y) + \mathcal{O}(p^{-\infty}) \\ &= \int_{X_G} \text{Tr}[\mathcal{I}_p(x_0)] dv_{X_G}(x_0) + \mathcal{O}(p^{-\infty}). \end{aligned}$$

Scalar curvature r^{X_G} of X_G

Scalar curvature r^{X_G} of X_G

- **Theorem** (Ma-Zhang (2005)). There exist $\Phi_r \in \text{End}(\Lambda \cdot (T^{*(0,1)} X) \otimes E)_{G, x_0}$, for $x_0 \in X_G$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\left| p^{-n+\dim G} \mathcal{I}_p(x_0) - \sum_{r=0}^k \Phi_r(x_0) p^{-r} \right|_{\mathcal{L}^{m'}} \leq C_{k,m'} p^{-k-1}.$$

Scalar curvature r^{X_G} of X_G

- **Theorem (Ma-Zhang (2005))**. There exist $\Phi_r \in \text{End}(\Lambda^{\cdot}(T^{*(0,1)}X) \otimes E)_{G,x_0}$, for $x_0 \in X_G$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\left| p^{-n+\dim G} \mathcal{I}_p(x_0) - \sum_{r=0}^k \Phi_r(x_0) p^{-r} \right|_{\mathcal{C}^{m'}} \leq C_{k,m'} p^{-k-1}.$$

- **Theorem (Ma-Zhang (2005))**. X Kähler, L, E holomorphic, then $\mathcal{I}_p(x_0), \Phi_r(x_0) \in \text{End}(E_G)_{x_0}$, and $\Phi_0 = \text{Id}_{E_G}$,

$$\Phi_1(x_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} R_{x_0}^{E_G}(w_j^0, \bar{w}_j^0) + \frac{1}{8\pi} r^{X_G} + \frac{3}{4\pi} \Delta_{X_G} \log(h|_{X_G}).$$

Scalar curvature r^{X_G} of X_G

- ▶ **Theorem (Ma-Zhang (2005))**. There exist $\Phi_r \in \text{End}(\Lambda \cdot (T^{*(0,1)} X) \otimes E)_{G, x_0}$, for $x_0 \in X_G$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\left| p^{-n+\dim G} \mathcal{I}_p(x_0) - \sum_{r=0}^k \Phi_r(x_0) p^{-r} \right|_{\mathcal{C}^{m'}} \leq C_{k,m'} p^{-k-1}.$$

- ▶ **Theorem (Ma-Zhang (2005))**. X Kähler, L, E holomorphic, then $\mathcal{I}_p(x_0), \Phi_r(x_0) \in \text{End}(E_G)_{x_0}$, and $\Phi_0 = \text{Id}_{E_G}$,

$$\Phi_1(x_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} R_{x_0}^{E_G}(w_j^0, \bar{w}_j^0) + \frac{1}{8\pi} r^{X_G} + \frac{3}{4\pi} \Delta_{X_G} \log(h|_{X_G}).$$

- ▶ Applications in **Donaldson's** program ?

Geometric quantization

- ▶ X, L, E holomorphic, G acts holomorphically on X, L, E .

Geometric quantization

- ▶ X, L, E holomorphic, G acts holomorphically on X, L, E .
- ▶ $i : \mu^{-1}(0) \hookrightarrow X$ natural injection.
 $\pi_G : \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mu^{-1}(0), L^p \otimes E)^G \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(X_G, L_G^p \otimes E_G)$
natural identification.

$$\sigma_p = \pi_G \circ i^* : H^0(X, L^p \otimes E)^G \rightarrow H^0(X_G, L_G^p \otimes E_G).$$

Geometric quantization

- ▶ X, L, E holomorphic, G acts holomorphically on X, L, E .
- ▶ $i : \mu^{-1}(0) \hookrightarrow X$ natural injection.
 $\pi_G : \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mu^{-1}(0), L^p \otimes E)^G \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(X_G, L_G^p \otimes E_G)$
natural identification.

$$\sigma_p = \pi_G \circ i^* : H^0(X, L^p \otimes E)^G \rightarrow H^0(X_G, L_G^p \otimes E_G).$$

- ▶ **Guillemin-Sternberg** (1982) : If $E = \mathbb{C}$, for $p > 0$, σ_p is an isomorphism.

Geometric quantization

- ▶ X, L, E holomorphic, G acts holomorphically on X, L, E .
- ▶ $i : \mu^{-1}(0) \hookrightarrow X$ natural injection.
 $\pi_G : \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mu^{-1}(0), L^p \otimes E)^G \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(X_G, L_G^p \otimes E_G)$
natural identification.

$$\sigma_p = \pi_G \circ i^* : H^0(X, L^p \otimes E)^G \rightarrow H^0(X_G, L_G^p \otimes E_G).$$

- ▶ **Guillemin-Sternberg** (1982) : If $E = \mathbb{C}$, for $p > 0$, σ_p is an isomorphism.
- ▶ **Zhang** (1999) : E general, $p \gg 0$, σ_p is an isomorphism.

Metric aspect of quantization

- ▶ Hermitian product $\langle \cdot \rangle_h$ on $\mathcal{C}^\infty(X_G, L_G^p \otimes E_G)$:

$$\langle s_1, s_2 \rangle_h = \int_{X_G} \langle s_1(x_0), s_2(x_0) \rangle h^2(x_0) \frac{\omega_G^{n-\dim G}}{(n-\dim G)!}(x_0).$$

Metric aspect of quantization

- ▶ Hermitian product $\langle \cdot \rangle_h$ on $\mathcal{C}^\infty(X_G, L_G^p \otimes E_G)$:

$$\langle s_1, s_2 \rangle_h = \int_{X_G} \langle s_1(x_0), s_2(x_0) \rangle h^2(x_0) \frac{\omega_G^{n-\dim G}}{(n-\dim G)!}(x_0).$$

- ▶ π_G isometry :

$$(\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mu^{-1}(0), L^p \otimes E)^G, \langle \cdot \rangle) \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}^\infty(X_G, L_G^p \otimes E_G), \langle \cdot \rangle_h).$$

Metric aspect of quantization

- ▶ Hermitian product $\langle \cdot \rangle_h$ on $\mathcal{C}^\infty(X_G, L_G^p \otimes E_G)$:

$$\langle s_1, s_2 \rangle_h = \int_{X_G} \langle s_1(x_0), s_2(x_0) \rangle h^2(x_0) \frac{\omega_G^{n-\dim G}}{(n-\dim G)!}(x_0).$$

- ▶ π_G isometry :

$$(\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mu^{-1}(0), L^p \otimes E)^G, \langle \cdot \rangle) \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}^\infty(X_G, L_G^p \otimes E_G), \langle \cdot \rangle_h).$$

- ▶ **Ma-Zhang** (2005) : $(2p)^{-\dim G/4} \sigma_p$ asymptotic isometry.
 $\{s_i^p\}_{i=1}^{d_p}$ orthonormal basis of $(H^0(X, L^p \otimes E))^G, \langle \cdot \rangle$,

$$(2p)^{-\dim G/2} \langle \sigma_p s_i^p, \sigma_p s_j^p \rangle_h = \delta_{ij} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{p}\right).$$

- ▶ Symplectic case :

$(2p)^{-\dim G/4} \sigma_p : \text{Ker}(D_p)^G \rightarrow \text{Ker}(D_{G,p})$ is an isomorphism and asymptotic isometry.

Some remarks

- ▶ Ma-Zhang, Bergman kernels and symplectic reduction, C. R. A.S. **341** (2005), 297-302.
Full version : math/0607605, Astérisque 318 (2008).
Announced also in : Nankai Tracts in Mathematics
Vol. **10**, (2006), 343-349.

Some remarks

- ▶ Ma-Zhang, Bergman kernels and symplectic reduction, C. R. A.S. **341** (2005), 297-302.
Full version : math/0607605, Astérisque 318 (2008).
Announced also in : Nankai Tracts in Mathematics
Vol. **10**, (2006), 343-349.
- ▶ Assume (X, ω) Kähler, L holomorphic, $E = \mathbb{C}$. Charles (JFA 2006) when G is abelian, and Paoletti (Adv. Math. 2005) studied some Toeplitz properties on X_G . Charles shows $\sigma_p : H^0(X, L^p)^G \rightarrow H^0(X_G, L_G^p)$ is not an asymptotic isometry when G is abelian.

Some remarks

- ▶ Ma-Zhang, Bergman kernels and symplectic reduction, C. R. A.S. **341** (2005), 297-302.
 Full version : math/0607605, Astérisque 318 (2008).
 Announced also in : Nankai Tracts in Mathematics Vol. **10**, (2006), 343-349.
- ▶ Assume (X, ω) Kähler, L holomorphic, $E = \mathbb{C}$. Charles (JFA 2006) when G is abelian, and Paoletti (Adv. Math. 2005) studied some Toeplitz properties on X_G . Charles shows $\sigma_p : H^0(X, L^p)^G \rightarrow H^0(X_G, L_G^p)$ is not an asymptotic isometry when G is abelian.
- ▶ **Hall-Kirwin** (math/0610005) reproved Ma-Zhang's asymptotic isometric result for L^p , and $L^p \otimes \sqrt{K}$ for general G in the Kähler case.

Thanks!