Efficiently filling domains in Kähler manifolds with quantum states

Steve Zelditch; joint work with Peng Zhou Geoquant 2019 Taiwan September 10, 2019

September 10, 2019

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

# Motivating problems from quantum mechanics

Let *M* be a Riemann surface and let  $D \subset M$  be a bounded domain in *M*. How do you fill *D* with quantum states with minimal spill-over into  $M \setminus D$ ?

In Kähler quantization of a Kähler manifold  $(M, \omega)$ , a quantum state with Planck constant  $\frac{1}{k}$  is an  $L^2$ -normalized holomorphic section  $s \in H^0(M, L^k)$  of the kth power of a positive Hermitian line bundle  $(L, h) \to (M, \omega)$ .

This is the 'Bargmann-Fock' or 'Berezin-Toeplitz' holomorphic representation of quantum mechanics. It is basic to the Landau theory of electrons in a magnetic field. Holomorphic 'sections' are called lowest Landau levels. We wish to fill D with 1-particle states in the LLL.

Problem: how to fill a domain with quantum states?

We assume the states  $s_{k,j}$  are orthogonal. Roughly speaking, we want the *density profile* 

$$rac{1}{N}\sum_{j=1}^{N}|s_{k,j}(z)|^2_{h^k}\simeq \mathbf{1}_D$$

to be approximately equal to the characteristic function  $\mathbf{1}_D$  of D. Here, h is the Hermitian metric on the line bundle L Of course, we cannot literally have  $\frac{1}{N}\sum_{j=1}^{N} |\psi_j(z)|^2 = \mathbf{1}_D$  but the LHS should interpolate between 1 and 0.

There are a number of inequivalent ways in which this question could be formulated mathematically.

## Coherent states and discrete configurations

A key role in Kähler analysis is played by the Bergman(= Szego) kernel  $\Pi_{h^k}(z, w)$  associated to a Hermitian metric h on L. If we fix the second slot at  $z_0$  and  $L^2$ -normalize we obtain a coherent state

$$\Phi_{h^k}^{z_0}(z) := \frac{\prod_{h^k}(z, z_0)}{\sqrt{\prod_{h^k}(z_0, z_0)}}$$

"centered" at  $z_0$ . It is a kind of highly peaked Gaussian state of width  $k^{-\frac{1}{2}}$  and height  $\sqrt{k}^m$  in dimension m.

A first thought is to try to pack D with coherent states centered at a discrete configuration of points. But this seems to be impossible for most domains.

Unit disc

Let  $M = \mathbb{CP}^1$  and  $L = \mathcal{O}(1)$ . Then  $H^0(\mathbb{CP}, \mathcal{O}(k))$  is the space of homogeneous holomorphic polynomials of degree k in 2 variables:  $z_1^{\alpha} z_2^{k-\alpha}$ . In the affine chart  $\mathbb{C}$  let D be the disc of radius  $R^2$ . We choose the quantum to be

$$\psi_{k,j}(z) = \sqrt{k} \frac{(\sqrt{k}z)^j}{\sqrt{j!}} e^{-k|z|^2/2}, \ j \leq R.$$

The *j*th is a transverse Gaussian state centered on the circle of radius  $\sqrt{j}$ .



# What is this graph?

In the disc case,

$$rac{1}{N}\sum_{j=1}^{N}|\psi_{k,j}(z)|^2_{h^k}\simeq \mathbf{1}_D$$

is radial and has the following graph (the Gaussian Erf function):



FIG. 7.11. The density profile of the  $\nu = 1$  droplet, where the first *m* levels (represented by the thick lines) are filled.

The transition from 1 to 0 at the 'edge' or boundary of  $\partial D_R$  is asymptotically Erf.

The Erf function,

$$Erf(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{x} e^{-s^2/2} \frac{ds}{\sqrt{2\pi}},$$

is the cumulative distribution function of the Gaussian. This interpolates from 0 to 1 (left to right). We also use  $Erf(x) = \int_x^\infty e^{-s^2/2} \frac{ds}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$  for the interpolation 1 to 0.

The usual Gaussian error function  $\operatorname{erf}(x) = (2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_{-x}^{x} e^{-s^2/2} ds$ is related to Erf by  $\operatorname{Erf}(x) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \operatorname{erf}(\frac{x}{\sqrt{2}})).$ 

### Bergman kernels on positive line bundles

We now give the precise definitions. Denote by  $H^0(M, L^k)$  the space of holomorphic sections of the kth power of a positive Hermitian holomorphic line bundle  $L \to M$  over a Kähler manifold  $(M, \omega)$ . The Hermitian metric is denoted by h and in a local frame  $e_L$  it is denoted by  $|e_L(z)|_h^2 = e^{-\varphi}$ . Positive Hermitian means that  $i\partial\bar{\partial} \log h = \omega$  is a Kähler form. The Szego projector

$$\Pi_{h^k}: L^2(M, L^k) \to H^0(M, L^k)$$

is the orthogonal projection with respect to the inner product

$$\langle s_1, s_2 \rangle := \int_M (s_1(z), s_2(z))_{h^k} \frac{\omega^m}{m!}.$$

The Schwartz kernel of  $\Pi_{h^k}(z, w)$  relative to the volume form  $\frac{\omega^m}{m!}$  is known as the semi-classcial Bergman kernel or Szego kernel.

#### Density of states

In terms of an orthonormal basis  $\{s_{k,j}\}$ ,

$$\Pi_{h^k}(z,w) = \sum_{j=1}^{N_k} s_{k,j}(z) \overline{s_{k,j}(w)}.$$

Here,  $N_k = \dim H^0(M, L^k)$ . If we set z = w and take the Hermitian norm, we get the DOS (density of states),

$$\Pi_{h^k}(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{N_k} |s_{k,j}(z)|^2_{h^k} \simeq k^m (1 + \frac{a_1}{k} + \cdots).$$

## Partial Bergman kernels

Consider a subspace  $S_k \subset H^0(M, L^k)$ . Let  $d_k = \dim S_k$ . The associated partial Bergman kernel is the projection operator

$$\Pi_{h^k,\mathcal{S}_k}: H^0(M,L^k) \to \mathcal{S}_k$$

If  $\{s_{k,j}\}_{j=1}^{d_k}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $\mathcal{S}_k$  then

$$\Pi_{h^k,\mathcal{S}_k}(z,w) = \sum_{j=1}^{d_k} s_{k,j}(z) \overline{s_{k,j}(w)}.$$

The associated DOS (Density of states) is

$$\Pi_{h^k, \mathcal{S}_k}(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{d_k} |s_{k,j}(z)|_{h^k}^2.$$

# More precise statement of our problem

Suppose  $D \subset M$  is a domain in a kahler manifold  $M^m$  of dimension m. Problem: Construct a subspace  $S_k(D) = Span\{s_j^k\}_{j=1}^{d_{k,D}}$  such that the partial DOS satisfies,

$$k^{-m} \sum_{j=1}^{d_{k,D}} |s_j^k(z)|_{h^k} \simeq C_m k^m \mathbf{1}_D(z).$$
 (1)

Here,  $\mathbf{1}_D$  is the characteristic function of D. Also,  $d_{k,D} = \dim S_k$ .

So far, the only approach which works is to define spectral partial Bergman kernels.

# Toeplitz quantization of a Hamiltonian

Let us assume  $D = \{z \in M : 0 \le H(z) \le E\}$  for some Hamiltonian  $H : M \to \mathbb{R}$ .

The quantization of H is the self-adjoint zeroth order Toeplitz operator

$$\hat{H}_{k} := \Pi_{h^{k}} (\frac{1}{i2\pi k} \nabla_{\xi} + H) \Pi_{h^{k}} : H^{0}(M, L^{k}) \to H^{0}(M, L^{k}).$$
(2)

Here,  $\Pi_{h^k} : L^2(M, L^k) \to H^0(M, L^k)$  is the orthogonal (Bergman) projection,  $\xi = \xi_H$  is the Hamilton vector field of H,  $\nabla_{\xi}$  is the Chern covariant derivative on sections, and H acts by multiplication.

Spectral subspaces and spectral partial Bergman kernels

Let  $\{\mu_{k,j}\}_{j=1}^{N_k}$  denote the eigenvalues of  $\hat{H}_k$  and denote the eigenspaces by

$$V_{k}(\mu_{k,j}) := \{ s \in H^{0}(M, L^{k}) : \hat{H}_{k}s = \mu_{k,j}s \}.$$
(3)

Given an interval  $[E_1, E_2]$ , denote the corresponding spectral subspace by  $\mathcal{H}_{[E_1, E_2]}$ :

$$S_k := \mathcal{H}_{k:[E_1, E_2]} := \bigoplus_{\mu_{k,j} \in H^{-1}([E_1, E_2])} V_{\mu_{k,j}}$$
(4)

Spectral partial Bergman kernels are the corresponding orthogonal projections

$$\Pi_{h^{k},[E_{1},E_{2}]}: H^{0}(M,L^{k}) \to \mathcal{H}_{k:[E_{1},E_{2}]}.$$
 (5)

We return to our problem: Find a subspace  $S_k(D)$  whose sections efficiently fill up D, i.e. for which the DOS is as close as possible to  $\mathbf{1}_D$ .

This problem does not have a unique solution as formulated. Given D there exist many functions  $H: M \to \mathbb{R}$  so that

$$D=\{z:E_1\leq H(z)\leq E_2\}.$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Any choice will solve the problem reasonably well.

## Spectral partial Density of States

Given D, we pick H so that  $D = H^{-1}[E_1, E_2]$ , and let the partial Bergman kernels be the spectral projections

$$\Pi_{h^{k},[E_{1},E_{2}]}: H^{0}(M,L^{k}) \to \mathcal{H}_{k:[E_{1},E_{2}]}.$$
 (6)

A simple result is that as  $k \to \infty$ ,

$$k^{-m}\Pi_{h^k,[E_1,E_2]}(z,z) \to \mathbf{1}_{H^{-1}[E_1,E_2]}$$

and thus "fills the domain  $H^{-1}[E_1, E_2]$  with lowest Landau levels". Thus, the partial density of states  $k^{-m}\mathbf{1}_{[E_1,E_2]}(\hat{H}_k)(z,z)$  essentially equals 1 in  $H^{-1}([E_1E_2])$  and zero in the complement, giving a reasonable notion of "filling" the 'lowest Landau level' in the region  $H^{-1}([E_1,E_2])$ .

# Efficient filling result

The following result uses only standard techniques:

#### THEOREM

Let  $(L, h) \rightarrow (M, \omega)$  be a polarized Kähler manifold, and D be any open domain of M with smooth boundary  $C = \partial D$ , and  $\mathcal{F} = M \setminus \overline{D}$ . Let  $H \in C^{\infty}(M)$  be such that  $D = H^{-1}[E_1, E_2]$ , and let  $\mathcal{S}_k = \mathcal{H}_{k:[E_1, E_2]} :\subset H^0(M, L^k)$ . Then,

$$\left(\frac{\Pi_{k,\mathcal{S}_k}}{\Pi_k}\right)(z) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } z \in D \\ 0 & \text{if } z \in \mathcal{F} \end{cases} \mod \mathcal{O}(k^{-\infty}), \quad \forall z \in M \backslash \mathcal{C}.$$

# Interface result

We would like to study the interface asymptotics at  $\partial D = \{H = E_1\} \cup \{H = E_2\}$  of the spectral partial Bergman kernels for the intervals  $[E_1, E_2]$ .

The interface occurs in a tube around  $\partial D$  of width  $k^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ . For small enough  $\delta > 0$ , there exists an embedding  $\Phi : \mathcal{C} \times (-\delta, \delta) \to M$  with the properties  $\Phi(z, 0) = z$ ,  $\operatorname{dist}(\Phi(z, t), \mathcal{C}) = |t|$  and  $\Phi(z, t) \in D$  if and only if t > 0,

#### Theorem

With the same notation as in above. then

$$\Phi^*\left(rac{\Pi_{k,\mathcal{S}_k}}{\Pi_k}
ight)(z,rac{t}{\sqrt{k}})=\mathit{Erf}(t)+\mathit{O}(k^{-1/2}), \quad orall z\in\mathcal{C}, t\in\mathbb{R}$$

where Erf(t) is the Gaussian error function.

# Discussion

- ► There is no canonical choice of *H* given *D* except perhaps  $\mathbf{1}_D$ . There are recent somewhat related results on the Toeplitz operator  $\Pi_{h^k} \mathbf{1}_D \Pi_{h^k}$  but (apparently) restricted to its spectrum rather than to pointwise asymptotics of the DOS.
- The theorem above is part of a series of results involving two types of localization for the DOS of a Toeplitz operator Ĥ<sub>k</sub>: we can localize in the spectrum, i.e. to eigenvalues μ<sub>k,j</sub> ∈ I<sub>k</sub> for any interval I<sub>k</sub>; or we can localize in M. Thus we may consider Π<sub>h<sup>k</sup>,I<sub>k</sub></sub>(z) for z in a region Ω<sub>k</sub>.

# Idea of Proof: Three types of smooth sums over eigenvalues

The eigenvalue problem is  $\hat{H}_k s_{kj} = \mu_{kj} s_{kj}$ . There are several 'localization scales' that may be studied rigorously:

• Energy range localization:  $\sum_{j:\mu_{k,j}\in P_0} f(\mu_{k,j} - E) \prod_{k,j} (z, z);$ 

► Interface localization: 
$$\sum_{j:\mu_{k,j}\in P_0} f(\sqrt{k}(\mu_{k,j}-E))\Pi_{k,j}(z,z)$$
,  
with  $z = F^{\beta/\sqrt{k}}(z_0)$  with  $H(z_0) = E$ .

• Energy level localization:  $\sum_{j:\mu_{k,j}\in P_0} f(k(\mu_{k,j}-E))\Pi_{k,j}(z,z);$ The 'sharp versions' use characteristic functions  $f = \mathbf{1}_{[E_0,E_1]}$ . Tauberian arguments bridge smooth and sharp asymptotics.

# Ideas of proof

Given a function  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$  (Schwartz space) one defines

$$f(\hat{H}_k) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{f}(\tau) e^{i\tau \hat{H}_k} d\tau, \qquad (7)$$

to be the operator on  $H^0(M, L^k)$  with the same eigensections as  $\hat{H}_k$  and with eigenvalues  $f(\mu_{k,j})$ . Thus, if  $s_{k,j}$  is an eigensection of  $\hat{H}_k$ , then

$$f(\hat{H}_k)\hat{s}_{k,j} = f(\mu_{k,j})\hat{s}_{k,j}$$
(8)

## Partial Bergman kernels

Given an interval  $[E_1, E_2] \subset P_0 = H(M)$  the subspace (4) is defined as the range of  $f(\hat{H}_k)$  where  $f = \mathbf{1}_{[E_1, E_2]}$  and the partial density of states is given by the metric contraction of the kernel,

$$\Pi_{|kP}(z,z) = f(\hat{H}_k)(z,z) = \sum_{j:\mu_{k,j}\in P} \Pi_{\mu_{k,j}}(z,z).$$
(9)

For a smooth test function f, it is the metric contraction of the Schwartz kernel of  $f(\hat{H}_k)$  at z = w, is given by

$$f(\hat{H}_k)\hat{\Pi}_{h^k}(\hat{z},\hat{w})|_{z=w} = \sum_{j:\mu_{k,j}\in P_0} f(\mu_{k,j}) \Pi_{\mu_{k,j}}(z,z).$$
(10)

# Interface result for smoothed partial Bergman kernel

#### Theorem

Let  $\omega$  be a  $C^{\infty}$  Kähler metric, and let H be a  $C^{\infty}$  Hamiltonian. Fix  $E \in H(M)$ , and let  $z = F^{\beta/\sqrt{k}}z_0$  for some  $z_0 \in H^{-1}(E), \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ , and let  $f \in C_b(\mathbb{R})$ . Then there exists a complete asymptotic expansion,

$$\sum_{j:\mu_{k,j}\in P_0} f(\sqrt{k}(\mu_{k,j}-E)) \prod_{k,j} (F^{\beta/\sqrt{k}} z_0) \simeq k^m I_m(f,E) + k^{m-\frac{1}{2}} I_{m-\frac{1}{2}}(f,E) + \cdots$$

in descending powers of  $k^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , with leading coefficient

$$[I_m(f,E) = \lim_{k \to \infty} k^{-m} \sum_{j:\mu_{k,j} \in P_0} f(\sqrt{k}(\mu_{k,j}-E)) \prod_{k,j} (F^{\beta/\sqrt{k}} z_0)$$

$$=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}f(x)e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{2x\sqrt{\pi}}{|\nabla_{H}|}-\beta\frac{|\nabla H|}{\sqrt{\pi}}\right)^{2}}\frac{2dx}{\sqrt{2|\nabla H|(z_{0})}}$$

# The propagator

The propagator is the unitary group,

 $U_k(t) = \exp ikt\hat{H}_k.$ 

IMPORTANT: note the k in the exponent. This makes it a dynamical Toeplitz operator, i.e. a Fourier integral operator. A key point is that there exists a parametrix for the propagator in terms of the Bergman kernel and the Hamiltonian flow of H:

#### PROPOSITION

 $\hat{U}_k(t, x, y)$  is a semi-classical Fourier integral operator. There exists an analytic symbol  $\sigma_{k,t}$  so that if  $\pi(x) = z$ ,

$$U_k(t,z,z) = \hat{U}_k(t,x,x) := \hat{\Pi}_k(\hat{g}^{-t})^* \sigma_{k,t} \hat{\Pi}_k(x,x)$$

$$= \hat{\Pi}_k e^{2\pi i k \int_0^t H(\exp s\xi_H(z)) ds)} \quad (\exp t\xi_H^h)^* \sigma_{k,t} \hat{\Pi}_k(x,x).$$
(11)

# Expression for interface asymptotics

Let  $F^t$  be the gradient flow of H. The smoothed interface asymptotics thus amount to the asymptotics of the dilated sums,

$$\sum_{j} f(\sqrt{k}(\mu_{k,j} - E)) \Pi_{k,j}(F^{\beta/\sqrt{k}}(z_0))$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{f}(t) e^{-iE\sqrt{k}t} \hat{\Pi}_{h^k} \sigma_{kt}(\hat{g}^t)^* \hat{\Pi}_{h^k}(F^{\beta/\sqrt{k}}(z_0)) dt$$

where  $z_0 \in \partial D = H^{-1}(E_1)$  and where  $\hat{f} \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ , so that the integral on the right side converges. We employ the Boutet-de-Monvel-Sjostrand parametrix to give an explicit formula for the right side.

# Boutet de Monvel-Sjostrand parametrix

The projections  $\Pi_{h^k}$  onto  $H^0(M, L^k)$  lift to projections  $\hat{\Pi}_{h_k}$  on the principal  $S^1$  bundle  $\partial D_h^* \subset L^*$  where  $D_h^* = \{(z, \lambda) : |\lambda|_{h_z} < 1\}$ . This is a strictly pseudo-convex domain in  $L^*$ . The sum  $\Pi = \sum_{k \ge 0} \hat{\Pi}_{h_k}$  is the true Szego kernel

$$\hat{\Pi}: L^2(\partial D_h^*) \to H^2(\partial D_h^*)$$

onto boundary values of holomorphic functions on  $D_h^*$ 

Near the diagonal in  $\partial D_h^* \times \partial D_h^*$ , the Boutet de Monvel-Sjostrand parametrix is:

$$\widehat{\Pi}(x,y) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\sigma\psi(x,y)} \chi(x,y) s(x,y,\sigma) d\sigma + \widehat{R}(x,y).$$
(12)

Here,  $\chi(x, y)$  is a smooth cutoff to the diagonal;  $s(x, y, \sigma)$  is a semi-classical symbol of order  $m = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} M$ .

### Osculating Bargmann Fock representations

At each  $z \in M$  there is an osculating Bargmann-Fock or Heisenberg model associated to  $(T_zM, J_z, h_z)$ . We denote the model Heisenberg Bergman kernel on the tangent space by

$$\Pi_{h_z,J_z}^{T_zM}(u,\theta_1,v,\theta_2): L^2(T_zM) \to \mathcal{H}(T_zM,J_z,h_z) = \mathcal{H}_J.$$
(13)

In K-coordinates with respect to a K-frame,

$$\Pi_{h_{z},J_{z}}^{T_{z}M}(u,\theta_{1},v,\theta_{2}) = \pi^{-m}e^{i(\theta_{1}-\theta_{2})}e^{u\cdot\bar{v}-\frac{1}{2}(|u|^{2}+|v|^{2})}$$
$$= \pi^{-m}e^{i(\theta_{1}-\theta_{2})}e^{i\Im u\cdot\bar{v}-\frac{1}{2}(|u-v|^{2})}$$

Note that  $\Im u \cdot \overline{v} = \omega(u, v)$ .

# Linearization approximation

#### [Shiffman-Z, 2007] [Z. Lu-Shiffman 2015]

#### PROPOSITION

In K-coordinates in a K-frame at z,

$$\hat{\Pi}_{h^{k}}(\hat{g}^{\frac{t}{\sqrt{k}}}z,z) \simeq k^{m} e^{2\pi t H(z)} \Pi_{J_{z},h_{z}}^{T_{z}}(t\xi_{H},0,0,0) \left(1+k^{-1}A_{t}+\cdots\right).$$

#### PROPOSITION

In K-coordinates in a K-frame at z,

$$\hat{U}_k(t/\sqrt{k}, z, \theta, z, \theta) = k^m e^{2\pi i t\sqrt{k}H(z))} e^{-|t\xi_H(z)|^2} [1 + O(k^{-\frac{1}{2}})]$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

# Putting it together

#### LEMMA

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{j} f \sqrt{k} (\mu_{k,j} - E)) \Pi_{k,\mu_{k,j}} (F^{\frac{u}{\sqrt{k}}} z_0, F^{\frac{u}{\sqrt{k}}} z_0) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{f}(t) e^{-iE\sqrt{k}t} \hat{U}_k(t/\sqrt{k}, F^{\frac{u}{\sqrt{k}}} z_0, g^{\frac{u}{\sqrt{k}}} F^{\frac{u}{\sqrt{k}}} z_0) dt \\ &= k^m \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(t) e^{2\pi i t\sqrt{k} H(z)} e^{-iE\sqrt{k}t} e^{-|t\xi_H(z)|^2} dt \left[1 + O(k^{-\frac{1}{2}})\right], \end{split}$$

By the Plancherel formula,

$$k^{-m} \sum_{j=1}^{d_k} f(\sqrt{k}(\mu_{k,j} - E)) \Pi_{k,j}(z_k, z_k)$$
  
=  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) e^{-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{2x\sqrt{\pi}}{|\nabla H(z_k)|} - \beta \frac{|\nabla H(z_k)|}{\sqrt{\pi}}\right)^2} \frac{2dx}{\sqrt{2|\nabla H(z_0)|}} + O(k^{-1/2}.$