

ON THE RELATIONSHIP OF MAXIMAL CLONES AND MAXIMAL C -CLONES

MIKE BEHRISCH

C -clones are clones that can be described as polymorphism sets of relations of the form $R_{\mathbf{b}}^{\mathbf{a}} := \{(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in D^{p+q} \mid \exists 1 \leq i \leq p: x_i \geq a_i \vee \exists 1 \leq j \leq q: y_j \leq b_j\}$, where the tuples $\mathbf{a} \in D^p$, $\mathbf{b} \in D^q$ are parameters for $p, q \in \mathbb{N}_+$, and where \leq denotes the canonical linear order on the finite set $D = \{0, \dots, n-1\}$. As clones in general, also C -clones form a complete lattice w.r.t. set inclusion, and the co-atoms of this lattice are called *maximal C -clones*.

On a BOOLEAN domain it is known that there exist exactly five C -clones, and precisely one of them is maximal: this unique maximal C -clone coincides with the clone of monotone BOOLEAN functions. However, it has been shown that on larger domains this statement ceases to hold: not only are there infinitely many C -clones whenever $|D| \geq 3$, but also is none of the maximal clones a C -clone. Hence, in this case every maximal C -clone is a proper subset of some maximal clone.

In this talk, we will study the exact relationship between maximal C -clones and maximal clones with regard to set inclusion. It turns out that some types of maximal clones do not contain any maximal C -clone and thus no C -clone at all. Surprisingly, we can show that for each maximal C -clone there exists a unique maximal clone in which it is contained. As a consequence, we can also derive a new completeness criterion for C -clones.

This talk presents results that have been jointly obtained with Edith Vargas-García, Universidad Autónoma de la Ciudad de México and University of Leeds.