
Computing congruences of modular forms modulo prime powers (extended version)

Gabor Wiese

(joint work with Xavier Taixés i Ventosa)

Institut für Experimentelle Mathematik

Universität Duisburg-Essen

3 April 2009

Plan

- (I) Congruences mod ℓ^n .
- (II) Computing them.
- (III) Applications to modular forms,
Galois representations
and abelian varieties.

Congruences mod ℓ^n

Fix $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$. Consider K/\mathbb{Q}_ℓ with π_K uniformizer.

We want:

- Congruence mod ℓ
should be
congruence mod π_K .
- If K/\mathbb{Q}_ℓ is unramified, then
congruence mod ℓ^n
should be
congruence mod $(\pi^n) = (\ell^n)$.

Congruences mod ℓ^n

Define congruences mod ℓ^n for $a, b \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_\ell$.

For $L/K/\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ finite extensions (inside $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$) define

$$\gamma_{L/K}(n) := (n - 1)e_{L/K} + 1$$

with $e_{L/K}$ the ramification index.

Properties:

- $\gamma_{L/K}(1) = 1$,
- $\gamma_{M/K}(n) = \gamma_{M/L}(\gamma_{L/K}(n))$ for $M/L/K$,
- $\lceil \frac{\gamma_{L/K}(n)}{e_{L/K}} \rceil = n$.

Congruences mod ℓ^n

Define congruences mod ℓ^n for $a, b \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_\ell$.

The definition $\gamma_{L/K}(n) := (n - 1)e_{L/K} + 1$ ensures:

$$\mathbb{Z}/\ell^n\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_K/(\pi_K^{\gamma_{K/\mathbb{Q}_\ell}(n)}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_L/(\pi_L^{\gamma_{L/\mathbb{Q}_\ell}(n)}).$$

Define

$$a \equiv b \pmod{\ell^n} \Leftrightarrow a - b \in (\pi_K^{\gamma_{K/\mathbb{Q}_\ell}(n)})$$

for any K/\mathbb{Q}_ℓ containing a, b .

Computing congruences mod ℓ^n

Problem: Let $P, Q \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ be monic coprime polynomials.

For which prime powers ℓ^n are there $\alpha, \beta \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}}$ such that

(i) $P(\alpha) = Q(\beta) = 0$ and

(ii) $\alpha \equiv \beta \pmod{\ell^n}$?

(Partial) Solution:

Reduced resultant (Kristin Lauter's talk)

= Congruence ideal/number (our name for it).

Congruence number

$$P(X) = \sum_{k=0}^u a_k X^{u-k}, \quad Q(X) = \sum_{k=0}^v b_k X^{v-k} \in \mathbb{Z}[X].$$

Sylvester map:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z}[X]_{<v} & \times & \mathbb{Z}[X]_{<u} \\ \{X^{v-1}, \dots, X, 1\} & & \{X^{u-1}, \dots, X, 1\} \end{array} \xrightarrow{(r,s) \mapsto rP+sQ} \begin{array}{c} \mathbb{Z}[X]_{<u+v} \\ \{X^{u+v-1}, \dots, X, 1\}. \end{array}$$

Sylvester matrix (for column vectors) with $u = 3$ and $v = 2$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_0 & 0 & b_0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_1 & a_0 & b_1 & b_0 & 0 \\ a_2 & a_1 & b_2 & b_1 & b_0 \\ a_3 & a_2 & 0 & b_2 & b_1 \\ 0 & a_3 & 0 & 0 & b_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Congruence number

$$P(X) = \sum_{k=0}^u a_k X^{u-k}, \quad Q(X) = \sum_{k=0}^v b_k X^{v-k} \in \mathbb{Z}[X].$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_0 & 0 & b_0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_1 & a_0 & b_1 & b_0 & 0 \\ a_2 & a_1 & b_2 & b_1 & b_0 \\ a_3 & a_2 & 0 & b_2 & b_1 \\ 0 & a_3 & 0 & 0 & b_2 \end{pmatrix} \circ \begin{pmatrix} * & * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * & * \end{pmatrix} =$$

Want to know its image for the basis $\{X^{u+v-1}, \dots, X, 1\}$.

May multiply by invertible integer matrices *from the right*.

I.e. may perform integral column operations.

Congruence number

$$P(X) = \sum_{k=0}^u a_k X^{u-k}, \quad Q(X) = \sum_{k=0}^v b_k X^{v-k} \in \mathbb{Z}[X].$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} * & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & c \end{pmatrix}$$

Want to know its image for the basis $\{X^{u+v-1}, \dots, X, 1\}$.

Congruence number $c(P, Q)$ is the bottom right entry!

It divides the resultant of P, Q (determinant of $S(P, Q)$).

Congruence number

$$P(X) = X - a, \quad Q(X) = X - b.$$

$$S(P, Q) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -a & -b \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -a & -b \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -a & a - b \end{pmatrix}.$$

⇒ Congruence number $c(P, Q) = a - b$.

Congruence number

$$P(X) = X^2 + X + 1, \quad Q(X) = X - 1.$$

$$S(P, Q) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

\Rightarrow Congruence number $c(P, Q) = 3$.

Congruence number

$$P(X) = X^2 + X + 1, \quad Q(X) = (X - 1)(X + 2) = X^2 + X - 2.$$

$$S(P, Q) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

⇒ Congruence number $c(P, Q) = 3$.

(The resultant is 9.)

Congruence number

$$P(X) = X^2 + 5X + 3, \quad Q(X) = X^2 + 2X + 3.$$

$$S(P, Q) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 5 & 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 5 & 3 & 2 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 2 & 3 & 0 \\ 3 & 3 & 0 & 9 \end{pmatrix}$$

⇒ Congruence number $c(P, Q) = 9$.

(The resultant is 27.)

Congruence number

Theorem. Let $P, Q \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$.

Let $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ such that for the congruence number

$$\ell^n \parallel c(P, Q) = rP + sQ.$$

Suppose one of the following holds:

- Neither P nor Q has a multiple factor mod ℓ .
- P has no multiple factor mod ℓ and P and r are coprime mod ℓ .
- Q has no multiple factor mod ℓ and Q and s are coprime mod ℓ .

Then there are $\alpha, \beta \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}}$ such that

(i) $P(\alpha) = Q(\beta) = 0$ and

(ii) $\alpha \equiv \beta \pmod{\ell^n}$.

Computing modular forms

Let f be a newform (level N , weight k) with Fourier expansion:

$$f = f(z) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m(f) q^m \text{ with } q = q(z) = e^{2\pi iz}.$$

Fact: All the $a_m(f)$ are integers of some number field.

$\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ naturally acts on the Fourier expansion.

$$\rightsquigarrow [f] := \mathbb{Z}\text{-span of } \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}).f.$$

Fact that makes computations possible:

$a_p(f)$ is a zero of the minimal polynomial $P_{f,p} \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ of the Hecke operator T_p acting on $[f]$.

$P_{f,p}$ is easy to compute!

Congruences of modular forms mod ℓ^n

$f = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m(f)q^m$ a newform (level N_f , weight k).

$g = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m(g)q^m$ a newform (level N_g , weight k).

Definition. f and g are congruent modulo ℓ^n if

$$a_p(f) \equiv a_p(g) \pmod{\ell^n} \quad \text{for (almost) all primes } p.$$

If f and g are congruent mod ℓ^n , then

$P_{f,p}$ and $P_{g,p}$ have zeros which are congruent mod ℓ^n .

(Recall: $P_{f,p}, P_{g,p}$ minimal polynomials of T_p on $[f]$ and $[g]$.)

Some propositions (+ a very believable hypothesis)

\Rightarrow converse is true if compute 'enough' p .

\rightsquigarrow Perfect for use of congruence numbers!

Congruences of modular forms mod ℓ^n

Algorithm:

$$c_2 := c(P_{f,2}, P_{g,2})$$

$$c_3 := c(P_{f,3}, P_{g,3})$$

$$c_5 := c(P_{f,5}, P_{g,5})$$

...

⇒ **Upper bound** $u := \gcd(c_2 \cdot 2^\infty, c_3 \cdot 3^\infty, c_5 \cdot 5^\infty, \dots)$.

Prop. f and g are incongruent mod ℓ^m whenever $\ell^m \nmid u$.

From Theorem (before) often get (under hypothesis):

$$f \equiv g \pmod{\ell^n} \text{ with } \ell^n \parallel u.$$

A question of Frey

Let f, g two newforms of weight 2.

\rightsquigarrow Shimura's construction: A_f, A_g abelian varieties over \mathbb{Q} .

Suppose $f \equiv g \pmod{\ell^n}$. Then

$$A_f[\ell^n] \cong A_g[\ell^n] \quad \text{as } \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})\text{-modules.}$$

How big can M with

$$A_f[M] \cong A_g[M]$$

be without $A_f \sim A_g$ (i.e. f Galois conjugate to g)?

Level raising mod ℓ^n

Question. Given: f in level N , weight k , a prime p such that
 $\ell^n \mid c(P_{f,p}, X - (p + 1))$ or $\ell^n \mid c(P_{f,p}, X + (p + 1))$.

Is there g in level Np , weight k such that $f \equiv g \pmod{\ell^n}$?

(Famous theorem by Ribet (Diamond, Taylor) for $n = 1$.)

Example. f in level 17, weight 2. Coefficients in \mathbb{Z} .

$a_{59}(f) = 12$: congruence numbers

$$9 \parallel c(X - 12, X + (59 + 1)) = -72,$$

$$3 \parallel c(X - 12, X - (59 + 1)) = 48.$$

In level $17 \cdot 59$, weight 2, \exists 3 newforms g_1, g_2, g_3 s.t.

$$g_i \equiv f \pmod{3} \text{ for all } i = 1, 2, 3,$$

but there is no i s.t. $g_i \equiv f \pmod{9}$!

Level raising mod ℓ^n

Level raising does not generalise so easily!

Does a weaker statement hold?