

December 14th
LNT Day 2020

Unimodular hunting

Gaëtan Chenevier

Laboratoire de math. Orsay, CNRS

gaetan.chenevier@math.cnrs.fr

I. Introduction

Pb: Classify the integral unimodular lattices $L \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ modulo isometries. ($\forall x, y \in L, x \cdot y \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\text{covol } L = 1$)

$\rightsquigarrow X_n = O(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus \mathcal{L}_n \leftarrow \text{set of all i.v.l. in } \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\simeq \{ M \in M_n(\mathbb{Z}) \text{ sym. def. pos; } \det M = 1 \} / GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$$

Examples: $I_n = \mathbb{Z}^n, E_8, \text{Leech} \dots$

General: X_n finite, known "mass" $\sum_{L \in X_n} \frac{1}{|O(L)|}$

Representatives of X_n are known up to $n = 25$ by works of
 Lagrange, Gauss, Madell, Witt, Kneser, Niemeier, Conway & Sloane, Borcherds

n	7	11	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
$\#X_n$	1	2	3	4	5	8	9	13	16	18	40	68	117	297	665

Theorem A $\#X_{26} = 2566$, $\#X_{27} = 17059$, $\#X_{28} = 374062$
 with concrete representatives in each case (with Bill Allombert)

→ see my homepage for lists!

. Pf relies of course on computer calculations (PARI/GP)
CPU time: about 1 month $m=26$, 1 year $m=27$, 72 y. $m=28!$ ← parallel GP

. Fortunately, much easier and shorter to check that the lists are complete a posteriori: 5h., 40 h. & 27 d. !

. All i.u.l. in rank $m \leq 28$ term set to be distinguished by their config. of vectors v of "norm" $v \cdot v \leq 3$.

. For each such L , we may and do compute $LO(L)$ using Pleksen-Sourignier algorithm.

. Conclude checking $\sum_i \frac{1}{|LO(L_i)|} = \text{mass}(L_m)$

II Kneser neighbors

All our lattices will be given as d -neighbors of \mathbb{I}^m .
Idea to do so already present in former work of Bacher, Venkov.

Definition: Let $L \in \mathcal{L}_m$ and $d \geq 1$. A (cyclic) d -neighbor of L is an $N \in \mathcal{L}_m$ with $L/L \cap N \cong \mathbb{Z}/d$.

Fact: Easy to construct and parameterise in terms of the finite

quadratic $C_L(\mathbb{Z}/d) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \ell \subset L \oplus \mathbb{Z}/d \\ \ell \cdot \ell \equiv 0 \pmod{d} \end{array} \right\}$

$$e = \begin{cases} 1 & d \text{ odd} \\ 2 & d \text{ even} \end{cases}$$

We have an $e:1$ surjective map $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{d-neighb.} \\ \text{of } L \end{array} \right\} \xrightarrow{\ell} C_L(\mathbb{Z}/d)$
 given by $\ell(N) := dN/dL$.

Case $L = I_m$ $x \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ $\sum_{i=1}^m x_i^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{d}$, d-prim.

The d-neighbors of I_m with line $\langle x \rangle \subset I_m \otimes \mathbb{Z}/d$
 are the $N_d(x) = M_d(x) + \mathbb{Z} \frac{\tilde{x}}{d}$

where $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \tilde{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^m \text{ arbitrary s.t. } \tilde{x} \equiv x \pmod{d} \text{ and } \tilde{x} \cdot \tilde{x} \equiv 0 \pmod{d^2} \\ M_d(x) = \left\{ v \in \mathbb{Z}^m \mid \sum_i v_i x_i \equiv 0 \pmod{d} \right\} \end{array} \right\} = I_m \cap N_d(x)$

→ very compact, often beautiful, def. of i.u.l.

Ex: $E_8 \cong N_2(1^8)$, $N_2(1^m)$ for $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$

Leech $\cong N_{g_4}(1, 3, 5, \dots, 47)$ (Thompson, $1^2 + 3^2 + \dots + 47^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{47}$)

Explanation: Leech is the unique $L \subset \mathbb{R}^{24}$, up to isometry, with
 L even and $R(L) := \{v \in L \mid v \cdot v = 2\}$ empty.
($x \cdot x \in 2\mathbb{Z} \forall x \in L$)
↖ "roots", always ADE root system

exercise $N_d(a)$ even $\Leftrightarrow x_i$ odd $\forall i$ and
 $\sum x_i^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{4d}$ if $d \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$

Roots of $N_d(x)$? We always have the inclusion

$$R(N_d(x)) \supset R(M_d(x)) = \left\{ \pm \varepsilon_i \pm \varepsilon_j \mid \substack{i \neq j \\ \pm a_i \pm a_j = 0 \text{ (d)}} \right\}$$

So $N_d(x) \simeq$ leech $\Rightarrow x_i \neq \pm x_j$ (d) $\forall i \neq j \Rightarrow d \geq 94$

and for $d = 94$ unique poss. $\{\pm 1, \pm 3, \pm 5, \dots, \pm 47\}$
this possibility actually works ! (magic?)

→ good moment to have a look at lists.

III. Why does it work (in theory!)

Consider more generally any ^{spinor} genus \mathcal{G} of integral lattices in \mathbb{R}^m , with $m > 2$. Main examples here are $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{L}_m^{\text{odd}}$ or $\mathcal{L}_m^{\text{even}}$

Theorem (Hsia-Jöhner 97) For all L and L' in \mathcal{G} , there exists ∞ many primes p s.t. L' is isometric to a p -neighbor of L .

Our second main result is.

Theorem B

For all $L, L' \in \mathcal{G}$

$$\frac{\# \left\{ N \text{ } p\text{-neighbor of } L' \right\}}{\# C_L(\mathbb{Z}/p)} \xrightarrow{p \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\wedge / |O(L')|}{\text{mass}(\mathcal{G})} \approx p^{m-2}$$

Meaning: the probability to find the isometry class of a given odd L as a p -neighbor of I_m is proportional to

$$\text{mass}(L) := \frac{1}{|O(L)|}$$

→ lattices with small mass are harder to find / to construct

Proof: Use quite deep results from theory of automorphic forms to estimate Hecke eigenvalues on $\mathbb{Z} \backslash [O(\mathbb{R}^n) \backslash \mathcal{G}]$ (Arthur's endoscopic classification, Ramanujan like estimates)

Remark: several variants!

IV Some indications on proof of theorem A

(i) Reduce to classify i.n.l with no norm \perp vector (induction) on n

(ii) Split the classification "root system by root system"

Use for this a key computation by King \rightsquigarrow For all r.s. R we know the mass of the lattices L in \mathbb{Z}^n with $R(L) \cong R$ for $n \leq 30$

n	12	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28
#RS	1	1	1	1	1	4	3	12	12	28	49	149	327	1086	2796	4722
												156	368	1901	14493	374062

(iii) efficient enumeration of $O(I_n)$ - orbits of isotropic lines in $I_n \otimes \mathbb{Z}/d$ (huge symmetry group for I_n , $\{\pm 1\}^n \times S_n$)

\Rightarrow enumerate the $x \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, $1 \leq x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_n \leq d/2$
(generally assume $x_1 = 1$ and $\text{mult}(x_i) \leq \text{mult}(1) \quad \forall i$)

(iv) bet on sufficient invariants. We use some invariants of vectors of norm ≤ 3 inspired by works of Megretani, Bacher-Venkov (too time consuming to use P.S. algorithm to check isom.)

(v) The systematic enumeration of all d -neighbors allows in practice to find most, but not all, lattices: would take \propto many times. Need some clever constructions of lattices. (and case-by-case considerations...)

(vi) Key idea: bias statistics by imposing visible root system:

$$D_m \simeq \mathbb{R}(I_m) \supset \mathbb{R}(M_d(\alpha)) \subset \mathbb{R}(N_d(\alpha))$$

↑
"visible root system"

easy to express
in terms of α

↑ can't be equality
in general, also
 $\mathbb{R}(M_d(\alpha))$ saturated
in $M_d(\alpha)$

IV Example $m=26$ root system $10 A_1$

$$2^{11} \cdot \text{mass} = \frac{4424507}{58060800} \quad (\text{"reduced mass"})$$

We show there are 7 i.u.l with this r.s. How?

exercise: safe visible root system is $8 A_1$

→ we look for α with 8 pairs of α_i equal, all 10 other coordinates $\neq \Rightarrow d \geq 36$

$d=36$ 98/216 orbits of iso. lines give weights with r.s. $10 A_1$
find 4 \neq lattices! reduced masses $\frac{1}{32}, \frac{1}{48}, \frac{1}{48}, \frac{1}{320}$

$d=37 \& 38$: 1243 / 2852 , no new lattices

$d=39$: 820 / 1821 , 1 new lattice, red. mass $\sqrt[17]{6144}$

$40 \leq d \leq 49$: several millions of lines, no new lattices ...

remaining red. mass $\sqrt[17]{58060800}$
 \Rightarrow probability to find a new lattice $\leq 3 \cdot 10^{-6}$

Need ideas! one method, start with

$L = N_{35}$ (1 1 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 9 10 11 12 12 13 14 15 15 16 17 17)

one of the "last" lattices in X_{25} , with root system $8A_1$
(visible r.s. $7A_1$)

Compute 2-neighbors of $L \oplus I_2$ with similar visible n.s.

→ 3632 / 16384, find 2 new lattices with n.mass

$d = 70$: $\sqrt[3]{3686400}$ and $\sqrt[3]{46448640}$

QED.

Thank you!