

# Computing polynomials attached to modular Galois representations

Johan Bosman

Workshop  
'Computations with modular forms'  
Thursday 21 August 2008, Bristol



Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research

# The Ramanujan tau function

**Definition:**

$$\Delta = q \prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - q^n)^{24} =: \sum_{n \geq 1} \tau(n) q^n \in S_{12}(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}))$$

**First few values:**

$$\Delta = q - 24q^2 + 252q^3 - 1472q^4 + 4830q^5 - 6048q^6 + \dots$$

**Properties:**

$$\begin{aligned}\tau(mn) &= \tau(m)\tau(n) && \text{if } (m, n) = 1 \\ \tau(p^{r+1}) &= \tau(p)\tau(p^r) - p^{11}\tau(p^{r-1}) && \text{for } p \text{ prime} \\ |\tau(p)| &\leq 2p^{11/2} && \text{for } p \text{ prime}\end{aligned}$$

**Question** (Schoof to Edixhoven). Is it possible to compute  $\tau(p)$  for  $p$  prime in time polynomial in  $\log(p)$ ?

## Computing $\tau(p)$

**Theorem** (Edixhoven, Couveignes, R. de Jong, Merkl). *There exists a probabilistic algorithm that on input primes  $p$  and  $\ell$  with  $p \neq \ell$  can compute  $\underline{\tau(p) \bmod \ell}$  in expected time polynomial in  $\log p$  and  $\ell$ .*

**Corollary.** *There exists a probabilistic algorithm that on input a prime number  $p$  can compute  $\tau(p)$  in expected time polynomial in  $\log p$ .*

**Congruences.** For  $\ell \in \{2, 3, 5, 7, 23, 691\}$  we have simple formulas for  $\tau(p)$  modulo (a power of)  $\ell$ , e.g.

$$\begin{aligned}\tau(p) &\equiv p^{41} + p^{70} \pmod{5^3} \text{ for } p \neq 5 \\ \tau(p) &\equiv 1 + p^{11} \pmod{691} \text{ for all } p\end{aligned}$$

## Galois representations for $\tau(p) \bmod \ell$

**Theorem** (Deligne). *For each prime  $\ell$  there exists a continuous representation*

$$\rho_\ell = \bar{\rho}_{\Delta, \ell} : \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$$

*that is unramified outside  $\ell$  and that satisfies*

$$\text{charpol}(\rho(\text{Frob}_p)) \equiv x^2 - \tau(p)x + p^{11} \pmod{\ell}$$

*for each prime  $p \neq \ell$ .*

**Remark** (Serre). We have a simple formula for  $\tau(p) \bmod \ell$  iff  $\text{Im}(\rho_\ell)$  does not contain  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ , which is exactly the case for  $\ell \in \{2, 3, 5, 7, 23, 691\}$ .

Assume  $\text{Im}(\rho_\ell) \supset \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$  from now. There is a number field  $K_\ell$  through which  $\rho_\ell$  factors:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) & \xrightarrow{\rho_\ell} & \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_\ell) \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & \text{Gal}(K_\ell/\mathbb{Q}) & \end{array}$$

## Computing $K_\ell$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) & \xrightarrow{\rho_\ell} & \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_\ell) \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & \mathrm{Gal}(K_\ell/\mathbb{Q}) & \end{array}$$

The action of  $\mathrm{Im}(\rho_\ell)$  on  $\mathbb{F}_\ell^2 - \{0\}$  is *faithful*. So there is a polynomial  $P_\ell$  of degree  $\ell^2 - 1$  with

$$K_\ell = \mathrm{Spf}(P_\ell).$$

Once we have  $P_\ell$ , the field  $K_\ell$  can be obtained by adjoining 2 roots of  $P_\ell$  to  $\mathbb{Q}$ . This enables us *in theory* to compute  $\rho_\ell(\mathrm{Frob}_p)$  for all  $p \neq \ell$  using standard algorithms in number theory (computing  $\mathrm{Gal}(K_\ell/\mathbb{Q})$ , Frobenius classes, etc).

## More generally

**Theorem** (Deligne). *Let  $f = \sum a_n q^n \in S_k(\Gamma_1(N))$  be a newform of character  $\varepsilon$ . Put  $K_f = \mathbb{Q}(a_1, a_2, \dots)$  and let  $\lambda \mid \ell$  be a prime of  $K_f$ . Then there exists a continuous representation*

$$\rho = \bar{\rho}_{f,\lambda} : \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_\lambda)$$

*that is unramified outside  $N\ell$  and that satisfies*

$$\text{charpol}(\rho(\text{Frob}_p)) \equiv x^2 - a_p x + \varepsilon(p)p^{k-1} \pmod{\lambda}$$

*for all primes  $p \nmid N\ell$ .*

We have a number field  $K_\lambda$  as in the diagram for which we want to compute a splitting polynomial  $P_{f,\lambda}$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) & \xrightarrow{\bar{\rho}_{f,\lambda}} & \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_\lambda) \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & \text{Gal}(K_\lambda/\mathbb{Q}) & \end{array}$$

## Inside the Jacobian of $X_1(N')$

Assume  $k \leq \ell + 1$  and that  $\bar{\rho}_{f,\lambda}$  is absolutely irreducible. Put

$$N' = \begin{cases} N & \text{for } k = 2, \\ N\ell & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let  $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{Z}[T_1, T_2, \dots] \subset \text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(J_1(N'))$  be the Hecke algebra and put

$$\theta = \bar{\theta}_{\lambda,f} : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_\lambda, \quad T_n \mapsto a_n \bmod \lambda$$

Put  $\mathfrak{m} = \text{Ker } \theta$ , then  $J_1(N')(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})[\mathfrak{m}]$  is a  $\mathbb{T}/\mathfrak{m}$ -module with an action of  $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ . It is non-zero and contains a submodule that is isomorphic to  $\bar{\rho}_{f,\lambda}$  (Mazur).

In most cases,  $J_1(N')(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})[\mathfrak{m}] \sim \bar{\rho}_{f,\lambda}$ . In any case,  $\bar{\rho}_{f,\lambda}$  is the action of  $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$  on some  $V_\lambda \subset J_1(N')(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})[\ell]$ .

## The Jacobian of $X_1(N')$

We have  $\bar{\rho}_{f,\lambda}$  as the action of  $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$  on  $V_\lambda \subset J_1(N')(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})[\ell]$ .

Pick a suitable  $h \in \mathbb{Q}(J_1(N'))$ , then

$$P_{f,\lambda} = \prod_{Q \in V_\lambda - \{0\}} (x - h(Q))$$

Let  $D$  be an effective divisor on  $X_1(N')_{\mathbb{Q}}$  of degree  $g = g(X_1(N'))$  (e.g.  $D = g \cdot 0$ ), then we have a birational morphism

$$\phi : \text{Sym}^g X_1(N') \rightarrow J_1(N'), \quad (Q_1, \dots, Q_g) \mapsto \left[ \left( \sum_{i=1}^g Q_i \right) - D \right].$$

So  $\mathbb{Q}(J_1(N')) \cong \mathbb{Q}(\text{Sym}^g X_1(N'))$ . Pick  $\psi \in \mathbb{Q}(X_1(N'))$  and put

$$h(Q_1, \dots, Q_g) := \sum_{i=1}^g \psi(Q_i).$$

## The Jacobian of $X_1(N')$ over $\mathbb{C}$

Pick a basis  $f_1, \dots, f_g$  of  $S_2(\Gamma_1(N'))$ . Put

$$\Lambda = \left\{ \int_{\gamma} (f_1, \dots, f_g) \frac{dq}{q} : [\gamma] \in H_1(X_1(N')(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z}) \right\} \subset \mathbb{C}^g.$$

Then (Abel-Jacobi) we have

$$J_1(N')(\mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}^g / \Lambda, \quad \sum(Q_i - P_i) \mapsto \sum \int_{P_i}^{Q_i} (f_1, \dots, f_g) \frac{dq}{q}$$

so that we get a birational morphism

$$\phi : \text{Sym}^g X_1(N')(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^g / \Lambda, \quad (Q_1, \dots, Q_g) \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^g \int_0^{Q_i} (f_1, \dots, f_g) \frac{dq}{q}.$$

We can evaluate (integrals of) modular forms easily using  $q$ -expansions at various cusps.

## Using Newton-Raphson to compute $\phi^{-1}(V_\lambda - \{0\})$

$$\phi' : (X_1(N')(\mathbb{C}))^g \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^g/\Lambda, \quad (Q_1, \dots, Q_g) \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^g \int_0^{Q_i} (f_1, \dots, f_g) \frac{dq}{q},$$

We have a function  $\psi \in \mathbb{Q}(X_1(N'))$  and a set of torsion points

$$V_\lambda(\mathbb{C}) \subset J_1(N')(\mathbb{C})[\ell] = \frac{1}{\ell} \Lambda / \Lambda \subset \mathbb{C}^g / \Lambda.$$

Use *Newton-Raphson* to compute  $\phi'^{-1}(V_\lambda(\mathbb{C}))$ : For  $U \subset \mathbb{C}^g$  open and  $F : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^g$  analytic we have

$$F(Q + h) = F(Q) + \left( \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial z_j}(Q) \right)_{i,j} \cdot h + O(\|h\|^2).$$

So for  $P$  close to  $F(Q)$  take

$$h = \left( \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial z_j}(Q) \right)_{i,j}^{-1} \cdot (P - F(Q)),$$

Then  $F(Q + h)$  will be much closer to  $P$  than  $F(Q)$ .

## Computing rational coefficients

So we have

$$P_\lambda = \prod_{Q \in \phi^{-1}(V_\ell(\mathbb{C}) - \{0\})} \left( x - \sum_{i=1}^g \psi(Q_i) \right) \approx x^n + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_0 \in \mathbb{R}[x].$$

We seek  $p_0, \dots, p_{n-1}, q$  with  $\frac{p_i}{q} \approx a_i$ . Choose a small  $C > 0$  and use LLL on the lattice

$$\{(p_0 - a_0 q, \dots, p_{n-1} - a_{n-1} q, Cq) : p_0, \dots, p_{n-1}, q \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

One can always get  $|p_i - a_i q| \approx \frac{1}{q^{1/(n-1)}}$  but almost never better.  
So if

$$|p_i - a_i q| <<< \frac{1}{q^{1/(n-1)}} \quad \text{for all } i$$

then we guess

$$P_\lambda = x^n + \frac{p_{n-1}}{q} x^{n-1} + \cdots + \frac{p_0}{q}.$$

Otherwise, double the precision and repeat.

## Projective representations: smaller polynomials

Compose  $\bar{\rho}_{f,\lambda}$  with  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_\lambda) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}_\lambda)$  to get

$$\tilde{\rho}_{f,\lambda} : \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}_\lambda)$$

with a field  $\tilde{K}_\lambda$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\rho}_{f,\lambda}} & \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}_\lambda) \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & \mathrm{Gal}(\tilde{K}_\lambda/\mathbb{Q}) & \end{array}$$

We get a splitting polynomial  $\tilde{P}_{f,\lambda}$  for  $\tilde{K}_\lambda$ :

$$\tilde{P}_{f,\lambda} = \prod_{L \in \mathbb{P}(V_\lambda)} \left( x - \sum_{Q \in L - \{0\}} h(Q) \right).$$

The polynomial  $\tilde{P}_{f,\lambda}$  is small enough to do computational verifications. We have Galois group computations and Serre's conjecture.

## Applications

**Conjecture** (Lehmer). *The number  $\tau(n)$  is never zero.*

**Theorem** (B.). *Holds for  $n < 22798241520242687999$ .*

Previously this was known for  $n < 22689242781695999$ .

The proof uses projective representations: a matrix in  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$  has trace zero iff its action on  $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$  has a 2-cycle.

## Computational inverse Galois theory

The polynomials  $\tilde{P}_{f,\lambda}$  will have certain Galois groups. Computing polynomials with prescribed Galois group is a challenge as such (Klüners & Malle).

## Polynomials for $\tau(p)$

$$\tilde{P}_{13} =$$
$$x^{14} + 7x^{13} + 26x^{12} + 78x^{11} + 169x^{10} + 52x^9 - 702x^8 - 1248x^7 \\ + 494x^6 + 2561x^5 + 312x^4 - 2223x^3 + 169x^2 + 506x - 215$$

$$\tilde{P}_{17} =$$
$$x^{18} - 9x^{17} + 51x^{16} - 238x^{15} + 884x^{14} - 2516x^{13} + 5355x^{12} \\ - 7225x^{11} - 1105x^{10} + 37468x^9 - 111469x^8 + 192355x^7 - 211803x^6 \\ + 134793x^5 - 17323x^4 - 50660x^3 + 47583x^2 - 19773x + 3707$$

$$\tilde{P}_{19} =$$
$$x^{20} - 7x^{19} + 76x^{17} - 38x^{16} - 380x^{15} + 114x^{14} + 1121x^{13} - 798x^{12} \\ - 1425x^{11} + 6517x^{10} + 152x^9 - 19266x^8 - 11096x^7 + 16340x^6 \\ + 37240x^5 + 30020x^4 - 17841x^3 - 47443x^2 - 31323x - 8055$$

## **A polynomial with Galois group $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_{16})$**

$$\begin{aligned} & x^{17} - 5x^{16} + 12x^{15} - 28x^{14} + 72x^{13} - 132x^{12} + 116x^{11} - 74x^9 \\ & + 90x^8 - 28x^7 - 12x^6 + 24x^5 - 12x^4 - 4x^3 - 3x - 1 \end{aligned}$$

## **A polynomial with Galois group $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_{25})$**

$$\begin{aligned} & x^{26} - 10x^{25} + 75x^{23} + 1150x^{22} - 1465x^{21} - 10950x^{20} \\ & - 57925x^{19} + 40300x^{18} - 8525x^{17} + 407000x^{16} \\ & - 1812800x^{15} + 1894425x^{14} - 2057375x^{13} + 15778750x^{12} \\ & - 11055625x^{11} - 12123500x^{10} - 13762875x^9 - 16007875x^8 \\ & + 91035625x^7 - 49044875x^6 + 13600625x^5 - 9798125x^4 \\ & - 21934375x^3 + 13825625x^2 + 2507500x - 2546875 \end{aligned}$$

## A polynomial with Galois group $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_{32})$

$$\begin{aligned} & x^{33} + 13x^{32} + 108x^{31} + 744x^{30} + 4768x^{29} + 27172x^{28} \\ & + 132412x^{27} + 569936x^{26} + 2254864x^{25} + 8014936x^{24} \\ & + 24146112x^{23} + 58070720x^{22} + 103024676x^{21} \\ & + 105307300x^{20} - 50671036x^{19} - 451423176x^{18} \\ & - 931969758x^{17} - 950145182x^{16} + 258579596x^{15} \\ & + 3324485088x^{14} + 8626891432x^{13} + 15770332836x^{12} \\ & + 21389501380x^{11} + 14825199448x^{10} - 13660027232x^9 \\ & - 54239325496x^8 - 68496746608x^7 - 35204682152x^6 \\ & + 25928111596x^5 + 49552492980x^4 + 32492001580x^3 \\ & - 3814250752x^2 - 11970016119x - 5786897139 \end{aligned}$$

## A polynomial with Galois group $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_{49})$

$$\begin{aligned} & x^{50} + 15x^{49} + 98x^{48} + 189x^{47} + 1232x^{46} + 16541x^{45} + 87885x^{44} + 19614x^{43} \\ & + 1532146x^{42} + 15094730x^{41} + 40321246x^{40} - 31974033x^{39} + 1219687658x^{38} \\ & + 5123805862x^{37} + 3377791081x^{36} + 3846199665x^{35} + 386041136138x^{34} \\ & + 152969547283x^{33} - 993121604167x^{32} + 4283901756078x^{31} \\ & + 29070603927785x^{30} - 150060184671551x^{29} - 35582774083038x^{28} \\ & + 482188564174744x^{27} - 4599849367725563x^{26} - 2995173366528385x^{25} \\ & + 7559080337542671x^{24} - 106554688226971957x^{23} - 24924770071609884x^{22} \\ & + 2439608977153624689x^{21} - 11394824010542349370x^{20} \\ & + 26748401885475871622x^{19} + 36228111996223865872x^{18} \\ & - 170724503248281567816x^{17} + 44095132630018107099x^{16} \\ & + 2205755995692922215592x^{15} - 7309395334082123655184x^{14} \\ & + 8191024220210807343144x^{13} + 17220576485796786552856x^{12} \\ & - 134381254167088687376800x^{11} + 246189220202902763028690x^{10} \\ & + 200885291084222306628626x^9 - 1770532501735302384701776x^8 \\ & + 1004601682890644061877633x^7 + 13328116569913120063486965x^6 \\ & - 32320727666048199017631033x^5 + 8186244338365439309089518x^4 \\ & + 112612247529381480642588848x^3 - 239863254860651584214525249x^2 \\ & + 217464362272825263861712698x - 96369243197547604981124695 \end{aligned}$$