# <span id="page-0-0"></span>Holonomic Approximation through Convex Integration

#### Mélanie Theillière, University of Luxembourg joint work with Patrick Massot, Université Paris-Saclay

Workshop on the h-principle and beyond 1-5 November, 2021 - IAS, Princeton

Holonomic Approximation Theorem and Convex Integration are two pillars of the  $h$ -principle techniques.

Holonomic Approximation Theorem and Convex Integration are two pillars of the h-principle techniques.This two techniques each have their own flavor and scope.

Holonomic Approximation Theorem and Convex Integration are two pillars of the h-principle techniques.This two techniques each have their own flavor and scope.

The goal of this talk is to bring some new perspective on this topic by proving the Holonomic Approximation Theorem for 1-order jets using Convex Integration.

Holonomic Approximation Theorem and Convex Integration are two pillars of the h-principle techniques.This two techniques each have their own flavor and scope.

The goal of this talk is to bring some new perspective on this topic by proving the Holonomic Approximation Theorem for 1-order jets using Convex Integration.

To prove it, we will show that Holonomic Approximation for 1-order jets can be reduced to proving the  $h$ -principle for some specific relation. Then we prove this relation is solvable using Convex Integration.

For a map  $f : \mathbb{R}^q \to \mathbb{R}^n$ , we denote by

$$
j^1f:p\longmapsto (p,f(p),df_p)
$$

the 1-jet of  $f$ 

For a map  $f : \mathbb{R}^q \to \mathbb{R}^n$ , we denote by

$$
j^1f:p\longmapsto (p,f(p),df_p)
$$

the 1-jet of  $f$  defined from  $\mathbb{R}^q$  to the 1-jet space

$$
J^1(\mathbb{R}^q, \mathbb{R}^n) := \{ (p, y, L) \mid p \in \mathbb{R}^q, y \in \mathbb{R}^n, L \in \mathscr{L}(T_p\mathbb{R}^q, T_y\mathbb{R}^n) \} \\
\approx \mathbb{R}^q \times \mathbb{R}^n \times (\mathbb{R}^n)^q
$$

For a map  $f : \mathbb{R}^q \to \mathbb{R}^n$ , we denote by

 $j^1f : p \longmapsto (p, f(p), df_p)$ 

the 1-jet of  $f$  defined from  $\mathbb{R}^q$  to the 1-jet space

$$
J^1(\mathbb{R}^q, \mathbb{R}^n) := \{ (p, y, L) \mid p \in \mathbb{R}^q, y \in \mathbb{R}^n, L \in \mathscr{L}(T_p\mathbb{R}^q, T_y\mathbb{R}^n) \} \\
\simeq \mathbb{R}^q \times \mathbb{R}^n \times (\mathbb{R}^n)^q
$$

A differential constraint of order 1 is a relation

$$
\phi(p, f(p), df_p) > 0 \quad (\text{or } = 0)
$$

where  $\phi:J^1(\mathbb{R}^q,\mathbb{R}^n)\stackrel{C^0}{\longrightarrow}\mathbb{R}$  .

For a map  $f : \mathbb{R}^q \to \mathbb{R}^n$ , we denote by

$$
j^1f:p\longmapsto (p,f(p),df_p)
$$

the 1-jet of  $f$  defined from  $\mathbb{R}^q$  to the 1-jet space

$$
J^1(\mathbb{R}^q, \mathbb{R}^n) := \{ (p, y, L) \mid p \in \mathbb{R}^q, y \in \mathbb{R}^n, L \in \mathscr{L}(T_p\mathbb{R}^q, T_y\mathbb{R}^n) \} \\
\approx \mathbb{R}^q \times \mathbb{R}^n \times (\mathbb{R}^n)^q
$$

A differential constraint of order 1 is a relation

$$
\phi(p, f(p), df_p) > 0 \quad (\text{or } = 0)
$$

where  $\phi:J^1(\mathbb{R}^q,\mathbb{R}^n)\stackrel{C^0}{\longrightarrow}\mathbb{R}.$  In coordinates,

$$
\phi(p,f(p),\partial_1 f(p),\ldots,\partial_q f(p))>0 \quad (\text{or }=0).
$$

Let

$$
\begin{array}{cccc} \sigma : & \mathbb{R}^q & \longrightarrow & J^1(\mathbb{R}^q, \mathbb{R}^n) \\ & p & \longmapsto & (p, f(p), L_p) \end{array}
$$

be a section of the bundle  $J^1(\mathbb{R}^q,\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathbb{R}^q$ .

Let

$$
\begin{array}{cccc} \sigma : & \mathbb{R}^q & \longrightarrow & J^1(\mathbb{R}^q, \mathbb{R}^n) \\ & p & \longmapsto & (p, f(p), L_p) \end{array}
$$

be a section of the bundle  $J^1(\mathbb{R}^q,\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathbb{R}^q$ .

### Definition

We say that  $\sigma$  is a holonomic section if for every  $p\in\mathbb{R}^q$  we have

$$
L_p=df_p.
$$

Let

$$
\begin{array}{cccc} \sigma : & \mathbb{R}^q & \longrightarrow & J^1(\mathbb{R}^q, \mathbb{R}^n) \\ & p & \longmapsto & (p, f(p), L_p) \end{array}
$$

be a section of the bundle  $J^1(\mathbb{R}^q,\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathbb{R}^q$ .

### Definition

We say that  $\sigma$  is a holonomic section if for every  $p\in\mathbb{R}^q$  we have

$$
L_p=df_p.
$$



 $\phi(\sigma(p)) = \phi(p, f(p), L_p) > 0$ 

is easier than finding a holonomic section  $j^1F$  (or a map  $\bar{F}$ ) satisfying

$$
\phi(j^1F(p))=\phi(p,F(p),dF_p))>0.
$$

 $\phi(\sigma(p)) = \phi(p, f(p), L_p) > 0$ 

is easier than finding a holonomic section  $j^1F$  (or a map  $\bar{F}$ ) satisfying

$$
\phi(j^1F(p))=\phi(p,F(p),dF_p))>0.
$$

Question: From a section  $\sigma$  satisfying  $\phi$ , can we find a holonomic section  $j^1$ F (homotopic to  $\sigma)$  satisfying  $\phi$ ?

 $\phi(\sigma(p)) = \phi(p, f(p), L_p) > 0$ 

is easier than finding a holonomic section  $j^1F$  (or a map  $\bar{F}$ ) satisfying

$$
\phi(j^1F(p))=\phi(p,F(p),dF_p))>0.
$$

Question: From a section  $\sigma$  satisfying  $\phi$ , can we find a holonomic section  $j^1$ F (homotopic to  $\sigma)$  satisfying  $\phi$ ?

 $\bullet$  Answer of the Convex Integration: yes if the differential constraint has some convex properties.

 $\phi(\sigma(p)) = \phi(p, f(p), L_p) > 0$ 

is easier than finding a holonomic section  $j^1F$  (or a map  $\bar{F}$ ) satisfying

$$
\phi(j^1F(p))=\phi(p,F(p),dF_p))>0.
$$

Question: From a section  $\sigma$  satisfying  $\phi$ , can we find a holonomic section  $j^1$ F (homotopic to  $\sigma)$  satisfying  $\phi$ ?

- $\bullet$  Answer of the Convex Integration: yes if the differential constraint has some convex properties.
- Answer of the Holonomic Approximation: yes if we have a positive codimension for the source space.

To the differential constraint  $\psi((p, f(p), L_p)) > 0$ " we associate the subset

$$
\mathscr{R}_{\phi}:=\{(\rho,y,L)\,|\,\phi((\rho,y,L))>0\}\subset J^1(\mathbb{R}^q,\mathbb{R}^n).
$$

To the differential constraint  $\psi((p, f(p), L_p)) > 0$ " we associate the subset

$$
\mathscr{R}_{\phi}:=\{(\rho,y,L)\,|\,\phi((\rho,y,L))>0\}\subset J^1(\mathbb{R}^q,\mathbb{R}^n).
$$

### Definition

We call differential relation any subset  ${\mathscr R}$  of  $J^1({\mathbb R}^q,{\mathbb R}^n)$ .

To the differential constraint  $\psi((p, f(p), L_p)) > 0$ " we associate the subset

$$
\mathscr{R}_{\phi}:=\{(\rho,y,L)\,|\,\phi((\rho,y,L))>0\}\subset J^1(\mathbb{R}^q,\mathbb{R}^n).
$$

### Definition

We call differential relation any subset  ${\mathscr R}$  of  $J^1({\mathbb R}^q,{\mathbb R}^n)$ .

### **Examples**

- $\bullet$   $\mathscr{R}_{\phi}$  is the relation associated to  $\phi$ ;
- $\mathcal{I} = \{(p, y, L) \mid \text{the rank of } L \text{ is maximal}\}\$ is the relation of immersions.

For  $j \in \{1, \ldots, q\}$ ,  $\sigma$  is a j-solution of  $\mathscr R$  if for any  $p \in \mathbb R^q$ 

 $\sigma(\pmb{p}) = (\pmb{p}, f(\pmb{p}), \partial_1 f(\pmb{p}), \ldots, \partial_j f(\pmb{p}), \pmb{L_{j+1}}, \ldots, \pmb{L_q})$  $\in \mathscr{R}.$ 

For  $j \in \{1, \ldots, q\}$ ,  $\sigma$  is a j-solution of  $\mathscr R$  if for any  $p \in \mathbb R^q$ 

 $\sigma(\pmb{p}) = (\pmb{p}, f(\pmb{p}), \partial_1 f(\pmb{p}), \ldots, \partial_j f(\pmb{p}), \pmb{L_{j+1}}, \ldots, \pmb{L_q})$  $\in \mathscr{R}.$ 

An arbitrary section needs not be a 0-solution (or formal solution), because it must at least satisfy, for any  $p, \sigma(p) = (p, f(p), L_n) \in \mathcal{R}$ .

For  $j \in \{1, \ldots, q\}$ ,  $\sigma$  is a j-solution of  $\mathscr R$  if for any  $p \in \mathbb R^q$ 

 $\sigma(\pmb{p}) = (\pmb{p}, f(\pmb{p}), \partial_1 f(\pmb{p}), \ldots, \partial_j f(\pmb{p}), \pmb{L_{j+1}}, \ldots, \pmb{L_q})$  $\in \mathscr{R}.$ 

An arbitrary section needs not be a 0-solution (or formal solution), because it must at least satisfy, for any  $p, \sigma(p) = (p, f(p), L_p) \in \mathcal{R}$ .

### Definition

If for any  $p$  we have  $\sigma(p) = j^1 f(p) \in \mathcal{R}$ , we say that  $\sigma$  is a holonomic solution of  $\mathcal{R}$ .

Under some assumptions on the relation  $\mathcal R$  (we'll see soon) and from a 0-solution  $\sigma_0 = (p, f_0, L)$ , Convex Integration allows to build a sequence

$$
f_0 \to f_1 \to \cdots \to f_j \to \cdots \to f_q
$$

such that,  $\forall i$ , the section

 $\sigma_j: \pmb{\rho} \mapsto \pmb{(} \pmb{\rho}, f_j(\pmb{\rho}), \partial_1 f_j(\pmb{\rho}), \ldots, \partial_j f_j(\pmb{\rho}), \pmb{L_{j+1}}, \ldots, \pmb{L_q}) \in \mathscr{R}.$ 

Under some assumptions on the relation  $\mathscr R$  (we'll see soon) and from a 0-solution  $\sigma_0 = (p, f_0, L)$ , Convex Integration allows to build a sequence

$$
f_0 \to f_1 \to \cdots \to f_j \to \cdots \to f_q
$$

such that,  $\forall i$ , the section

 $\sigma_j: \pmb{\rho} \mapsto \pmb{(} \pmb{\rho}, f_j(\pmb{\rho}), \partial_1 f_j(\pmb{\rho}), \ldots, \partial_j f_j(\pmb{\rho}), \pmb{L_{j+1}}, \ldots, \pmb{L_q}) \in \mathscr{R}.$ 

Applying iteratively Convex Integration, we build

$$
\begin{array}{rcl}\n\sigma_0(p) & = & (p, \quad f_0(p), \quad L_1, \quad L_2, \quad \ldots, \quad L_q) \quad \in \mathcal{R} \\
\sigma_1(p) & = & (p, \quad f_1(p), \quad \partial_1 f_1(p), \quad L_2, \quad \ldots, \quad L_q) \quad \in \mathcal{R} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\sigma_q(p) & = & (p, \quad f_q(p), \quad \partial_1 f_q(p), \quad \partial_2 f_q(p), \quad \ldots, \quad \partial_q f_q(p)) \in \mathcal{R}\n\end{array}
$$

Under some assumptions on the relation  $\mathscr R$  (we'll see soon) and from a 0-solution  $\sigma_0 = (p, f_0, L)$ , Convex Integration allows to build a sequence

$$
f_0 \to f_1 \to \cdots \to f_j \to \cdots \to f_q
$$

such that,  $\forall i$ , the section

 $\sigma_j: \pmb{\rho} \mapsto \pmb{(} \pmb{\rho}, f_j(\pmb{\rho}), \partial_1 f_j(\pmb{\rho}), \ldots, \partial_j f_j(\pmb{\rho}), \pmb{L_{j+1}}, \ldots, \pmb{L_q}) \in \mathscr{R}.$ 

Applying iteratively Convex Integration, we build

 $\sigma_0(p) = (p, f_0(p), \quad L_1, \quad L_2, \quad \ldots, \quad L_q) \in \mathcal{R}$  $\sigma_1(p) = (\rho, f_1(\rho), \partial_1 f_1(\rho), L_2, \ldots, L_q) \in \mathcal{R}$ . . .  $\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}(p) = (p, f_{\mathfrak{a}}(p), \partial_1 f_{\mathfrak{a}}(p), \partial_2 f_{\mathfrak{a}}(p), \ldots, \partial_q f_{\mathfrak{a}}(p)) \in \mathcal{R}$ 

Eventually the section  $\sigma_q = j^1 f_q$  is a holonomic solution of  $\mathscr{R}$ .

To solve the step " $f_{j-1} \rightarrow f_j$ ", there was several formulas (given here in dimension 1, ie  $p = t \in \mathbb{R}$ , for the sake of clarity):

To solve the step " $f_{i-1} \rightarrow f_i$ ", there was several formulas (given here in dimension 1, ie  $p = t \in \mathbb{R}$ , for the sake of clarity):

Nash's formula (codim 2, isometric case)

$$
f_1(t) := f_0(t) + \frac{1}{N} h \left[ \Gamma_1(Nt) \mathbf{n}_1(t) + \Gamma_2(Nt) \mathbf{n}_2(t) \right] \quad \text{(1)}
$$

with  $\Gamma_1(Nt) = \cos(Nt)$ ,  $\Gamma_2(Nt) = \sin(Nt)$ , h a parameter depending on the problem,  $\mathbf{n}_1$ ,  $\mathbf{n}_2$  two unit normal vectors and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ .

To solve the step " $f_{i-1} \rightarrow f_i$ ", there was several formulas (given here in dimension 1, ie  $p = t \in \mathbb{R}$ , for the sake of clarity):

Nash's formula (codim 2, isometric case)

$$
f_1(t) := f_0(t) + \frac{1}{N} h \left[ \Gamma_1(Nt) \mathbf{n}_1(t) + \Gamma_2(Nt) \mathbf{n}_2(t) \right] \quad \text{(1)}
$$

with  $\Gamma_1(Nt) = \cos(Nt)$ ,  $\Gamma_2(Nt) = \sin(Nt)$ , *h* a parameter depending on the problem,  $\mathbf{n}_1$ ,  $\mathbf{n}_2$  two unit normal vectors and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Kuiper's formula (codim 1, isometric case)

$$
f_1(t) := f_0(t) + \frac{1}{N} h \left[ \Gamma_1(Nt) t(t) + \Gamma_2(Nt) n(t) \right] \quad \text{and} \quad \boxed{\phantom{\big|}_{\big|}}
$$

with  $\Gamma_1(Nt) = \frac{-a^2\sin(2Nt)}{8}$ ,  $\Gamma_2(Nt) = a\sin(Nt-\frac{a^2\sin(2Nt)}{8})$ ,  $h$  and  $a$ parameters depending on the problem, t a unit tangent vector and n a unit normal vector.

Thurston's formula (Immersions Theory)

$$
f_1(t) := f_0(t) + h \left[ \Gamma_1(Nt) + i \Gamma_2(Nt) \right]
$$

$$
\underline{\hspace{1cm}} + \bigotimes = \bigcirc
$$

with  $h \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\Gamma_1(Nt) = -\sin(4\pi Nt)$ ,  $\Gamma_2(Nt) = 2\sin(2\pi Nt)$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Thurston's formula (Immersions Theory)

$$
f_1(t) := f_0(t) + h[\Gamma_1(Nt) + i\Gamma_2(Nt)] \qquad \qquad \underline{\hspace{2cm}} + \bigotimes = \bigcirc
$$

with  $h \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\Gamma_1(Nt) = -\sin(4\pi Nt)$ ,  $\Gamma_2(Nt) = 2\sin(2\pi Nt)$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Conti-De Lellis-Székelyhidi's formula (codim 1, isometric case)

$$
f_1(t) := f_0(t) + \frac{1}{N} [\Gamma_1(t, Nt) t(t) + \Gamma_2(t, Nt) n(t)]
$$

with  $\Gamma_1(Nt)=\int_0^{Nt}h\cos(s\sin(2\pi s))-1ds,$  $\Gamma_2(\mathit{Nt})=\int_{0}^{\mathit{Nt}}h\sin(s\sin(2\pi s))ds,~h$  and  $s$  parameters depending on the problem, t a unit tangent vector and n a unit normal vector.

$$
f_1(t):=f_0(0)+\int_{s=0}^t\gamma_s(\mathit{Ns})ds
$$

with a family of loops  $(\gamma_t)_t$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ .

$$
f_1(t):=f_0(0)+\int_{s=0}^t\gamma_s(\mathit{Ns})ds
$$

with a family of loops  $(\gamma_t)_t$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ .

A variant of Gromov's formula (T. 2019)

$$
f_1(\rho):=f_0(\rho)+\frac{1}{N}\int_{s=0}^{N\rho_j}\Big(\gamma_\rho(s)-\overline{\gamma}_\rho\Big)ds
$$

$$
f_1(t):=f_0(0)+\int_{s=0}^t\gamma_s(Ns)ds
$$

with a family of loops  $(\gamma_t)_t$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ .

### A variant of Gromov's formula (T. 2019)

$$
f_1(\rho):=f_0(\rho)+\frac{1}{N}\int_{s=0}^{N\rho_j}\Big(\gamma_\rho(s)-\overline{\gamma}_\rho\Big)ds
$$

If for any  $p \in \mathbb{R}^q$  we have  $\overline{\gamma_p} = \partial_j f_0(p)$ , these formulas satisfy:  $|| f_1 - f_0 ||_{C^0} = O(\frac{1}{N})$  $\frac{1}{N}$ ) and  $\partial_j f_1(t) = \gamma_\rho(Np_j) + O(\frac{1}{N})$  $\frac{1}{N}$ 

$$
f_1(t):=f_0(0)+\int_{s=0}^t\gamma_s(Ns)ds
$$

with a family of loops  $(\gamma_t)_t$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ .

### A variant of Gromov's formula (T. 2019)

$$
f_1(\rho):=f_0(\rho)+\frac{1}{N}\int_{s=0}^{N\rho_j}\Big(\gamma_\rho(s)-\overline{\gamma}_\rho\Big)ds
$$

If for any  $p \in \mathbb{R}^q$  we have  $\overline{\gamma_p} = \partial_j f_0(p)$ , these formulas satisfy:

\n- \n
$$
\|f_1 - f_0\|_{C^0} = O(\frac{1}{N})
$$
\n and\n  $\partial_j f_1(t) = \gamma_p(Np_j) + O(\frac{1}{N})$ \n
\n- \n $\|\partial_i f_1 - \partial_i f_0\|_{C^0} = O(\frac{1}{N})$ \n where\n  $i \neq j$ .\n
\n

Using these formulas, we build a map  $f_j$  from  $f_{j-1}$ , and the sections

$$
\sigma_{j-1} : p \mapsto (p, f_{j-1}, \partial_1 f_{j-1}, \dots, \partial_{j-1} f_{j-1}, L_j, L_{j+1}, \dots)
$$
  
\n
$$
\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow
$$
  
\n
$$
p \mapsto (p, f_j, \partial_1 f_j, \dots, \partial_{j-1} f_j, L_j, L_{j+1}, \dots)
$$

are close if  $O(1/N)$  is small enough.
Using these formulas, we build a map  $f_j$  from  $f_{j-1}$ , and the sections

$$
\sigma_{j-1} : p \mapsto (p, f_{j-1}, \partial_1 f_{j-1}, \dots, \partial_{j-1} f_{j-1}, L_j, L_{j+1}, \dots)
$$
  
\n
$$
\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow
$$
  
\n
$$
p \mapsto (p, f_j, \partial_1 f_j, \dots, \partial_{j-1} f_j, L_j, L_{j+1}, \dots)
$$

are close if  $O(1/N)$  is small enough. Now setting

$$
\sigma_j: \rho \mapsto (p, f_j, \partial_1 f_j, \ldots, \partial_{j-1} f_j, \partial_j f_j(\rho) = \gamma_\rho(N\rho_j), L_{j+1}, \ldots)
$$

we have to find  $(\gamma_t)_t$  such that  $\sigma_i(p) \in \mathcal{R}$  for any p.

### Definition

Let  $\sigma = (p, f, L_1, \ldots, L_q)$  whose image lies in  $\mathscr R$  and let  $j \in \{1, \ldots, q\}$ . We define the slice of  $\mathcal R$  in the direction  $e_i$  over  $\sigma$  as

 $\mathscr{R}_{j,\sigma} := \{ w \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid (p, y, L_1, \ldots, L_{j-1}, w, L_{j+1}, \ldots, L_q) \in \mathscr{R} \}.$ 

### Definition

Let  $\sigma = (p, f, L_1, \ldots, L_q)$  whose image lies in  $\mathscr R$  and let  $j \in \{1, \ldots, q\}$ . We define the slice of  $\mathcal R$  in the direction  $e_i$  over  $\sigma$  as

$$
\mathscr{R}_{j,\sigma}:=\{w\in\mathbb{R}^n\mid (p,y,L_1,\ldots,L_{j-1},w,L_{j+1},\ldots,L_q)\in\mathscr{R}\}.
$$

For example, for the relation

 $\mathscr{R} = \{ (p, y, L_1, L_2) \mid (L_1)_{e'_1} \geq 0, \quad r - \epsilon \leq ||L_1|| \leq r + \epsilon \}$ 

the slice in the direction  $e_1$  over  $\sigma$  is



#### Rephrasing of the definition

Let  $\sigma=(\rho, f, L):M\to \mathscr{R}\subset J^1(M, N)$  and  $H\subset \mathcal{T}_{\rho}M$  be a hyperplane. The slice of  $\mathcal{R}$  for H over  $\sigma$  is

$$
\mathcal{R}_{H,\sigma} := \{ \widetilde{L} \in \mathcal{L}(T_pM, T_yN) \mid \widetilde{L}|_H = L|_H \text{ and } (p, y, \widetilde{L}) \in \mathcal{R} \}
$$

### Rephrasing of the definition

Let  $\sigma=(\rho, f, L):M\to \mathscr{R}\subset J^1(M, N)$  and  $H\subset \mathcal{T}_{\rho}M$  be a hyperplane. The slice of  $\Re$  for H over  $\sigma$  is

$$
\mathscr{R}_{H,\sigma} := \{ \widetilde{L} \in \mathscr{L}(T_pM, T_yN) \mid \widetilde{L}|_H = L|_H \text{ and } (p, y, \widetilde{L}) \in \mathscr{R} \}
$$

Remark. For  $M = \mathbb{R}^q$ ,  $N = \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $H = Span(e_1, \ldots, e_j, \ldots, e_q)$ , we have the previous definition. Indeed the condition  $L|_H = L|_H$  implies

$$
\widetilde{L}(e_i)=L(e_i)=L_i\quad \forall e_i\neq e_j.
$$

### Rephrasing of the definition

Let  $\sigma=(\rho, f, L):M\to \mathscr{R}\subset J^1(M, N)$  and  $H\subset \mathcal{T}_{\rho}M$  be a hyperplane. The slice of  $\Re$  for H over  $\sigma$  is

$$
\mathcal{R}_{H,\sigma} := \{ \widetilde{L} \in \mathcal{L}(T_pM, T_yN) \mid \widetilde{L}|_H = L|_H \text{ and } (p, y, \widetilde{L}) \in \mathcal{R} \}
$$

Remark. For  $M = \mathbb{R}^q$ ,  $N = \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $H = Span(e_1, \ldots, e_j, \ldots, e_q)$ , we have the previous definition. Indeed the condition  $L|_H = L|_H$  implies

$$
\widetilde{L}(e_i)=L(e_i)=L_i\quad\forall e_i\neq e_j.
$$

So

$$
\mathcal{R}_{H,\sigma} \simeq \{\widetilde{L}_j \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid (\rho, y, L_1, \ldots, L_{j-1}, \widetilde{L}_j, L_{j+1}, \ldots, L_q) \in \mathcal{R}\}
$$

For a slice, if there exists a loop  $t \mapsto \gamma(t)$  such that



• the loop lies in the slice **the average of the loop is the** partial derivative to be modified



then Convex Integration builds a map  $f_j$  from  $f_{j-1}$ .

For a slice, if there exists a loop  $t \mapsto \gamma(t)$  such that



• the loop lies in the slice **the average of the loop is the** partial derivative to be modified



then Convex Integration builds a map  $f_j$  from  $f_{j-1}$ .

### Definition

A relation  $\mathscr R$  is ample if each slice satisfies one of these conditions:

- the slice is empty;
- $\bullet$  the convex hull of each path-component of the slice is the entire fiber.

In the last case, the loop  $\gamma$  always exists!

The slice of the relation of immersions for surfaces. Let  $\sigma$  be a section whose image  $\sigma(p) = (p, f_0(p), L_1, L_2)$  lies in  $\mathcal{I}$ .

The slice of the relation of immersions for surfaces. Let  $\sigma$  be a section whose image  $\sigma(p) = (p, f_0(p), L_1, L_2)$  lies in  $\mathcal{I}$ .

$$
\mathcal{F}_{1,\sigma} \quad := \quad \{ w \in \mathbb{R}^n \, | \, (p, f_0(p), w, L_2) \in \mathcal{F} \} = \{ w \in \mathbb{R}^n \, | \, w \notin \mathbb{R}L_2 \}
$$

The slice of the relation of immersions for surfaces. Let  $\sigma$  be a section whose image  $\sigma(p) = (p, f_0(p), L_1, L_2)$  lies in  $\mathcal{I}$ .

 $\mathcal{I}_{1,\sigma}$  :=  $\{w \in \mathbb{R}^n | (p, f_0(p), w, L_2) \in \mathcal{I}\} = \{w \in \mathbb{R}^n | w \notin \mathbb{R}L_2\}$ 



codimension 0

The slice of the relation of immersions for surfaces. Let  $\sigma$  be a section whose image  $\sigma(p) = (p, f_0(p), L_1, L_2)$  lies in  $\mathcal{I}$ .

 $\mathcal{I}_{1,\sigma}$  :=  $\{w \in \mathbb{R}^n | (p, f_0(p), w, L_2) \in \mathcal{I}\} = \{w \in \mathbb{R}^n | w \notin \mathbb{R}L_2\}$ 



A famous result of Gromov's Convex Integration Theory is:

## Theorem (Gromov)

Let  $\mathscr R$  be an open ample relation. For any section  $\sigma = (p, f_0, L)$  whose image belongs to  ${\mathscr R}$ , there exists a holonomic solution  $j^1f_q$  of  ${\mathscr R}.$ 

A famous result of Gromov's Convex Integration Theory is:

## Theorem (Gromov)

Let  $\mathscr R$  be an open ample relation. For any section  $\sigma = (p, f_0, L)$  whose image belongs to  ${\mathscr R}$ , there exists a holonomic solution  $j^1f_q$  of  ${\mathscr R}.$ 

For example, the relation of immersions in codimension  $> 1$  is ample.

A famous result of Gromov's Convex Integration Theory is:

### Theorem (Gromov)

Let  $\mathscr R$  be an open ample relation. For any section  $\sigma = (p, f_0, L)$  whose image belongs to  ${\mathscr R}$ , there exists a holonomic solution  $j^1f_q$  of  ${\mathscr R}.$ 

For example, the relation of immersions in codimension  $> 1$  is ample.

Note that the relation of  $\epsilon$ -isometric maps, for  $\epsilon > 0$ , is not ample! The previous construction is possible if the map  $f_0 : (M, g) \rightarrow (N, h)$  is short, ie satisfies

$$
h-f_0^*g>0
$$

which is the key assumption of the Nash-Kuiper  $C^1$ -isometric Theorem.

Instead of looking for a holonomic section satisfying a differential constraint, the Holonomic Approximation aims to find a holonomic section  $j^1 {\cal F}$  which is close to a given section  $\sigma$ :

 $\|j^1F - \sigma\| < \epsilon$ 

Instead of looking for a holonomic section satisfying a differential constraint, the Holonomic Approximation aims to find a holonomic section  $j^1 {\cal F}$  which is close to a given section  $\sigma$ :

 $\|j^1F - \sigma\| < \epsilon$ 

This approach completely ignores the differential constraint!

Instead of looking for a holonomic section satisfying a differential constraint, the Holonomic Approximation aims to find a holonomic section  $j^1 {\cal F}$  which is close to a given section  $\sigma$ :

 $\|j^1F - \sigma\| < \epsilon$ 

This approach completely ignores the differential constraint!

Note that, over a point, a section can be approximated by the 1-jet of a Taylor polynomial map, while, over a submanifold, the problem is usually unsolvable.

### Holonomic Approximation

### Let  $A = [0, 1]^m \times \{0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}$  and  $p = (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}$ .



## Holonomic Approximation

Let  $A = [0, 1]^m \times \{0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}$  and  $p = (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}$ .  $A \sim Qp(A)$ 

Holonomic Approximation Theorem for 1-jets and  $A = [0, 1]^m \times \{0\}$ Let  $\sigma = ((x, t), f_0, L) : Op(A) \to J^1(\mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^n)$  be a section. For every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists

a function  $\delta : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\|\delta\| < \epsilon$ , and we set

$$
A_{\delta} := \{ (x, \delta(x)) \mid x \in [0, 1]^m \} = \boxed{\mathcal{A}_{\delta} \mathcal{A}_{\delta} \mathcal{A}_{\delta}}.
$$

a map  $f_1$  defined near  $A_\delta$  such that  $\|j^1f_1 - \sigma\|_{\mathcal{C}^0} < \epsilon$  on a sufficiently small open neighborhood of  $A_\delta$ .

## Holonomic Approximation

For any subset A, we denote by  $Op(A)$  an open neighborhood of A.

For any subset A, we denote by  $Op(A)$  an open neighborhood of A. Holonomic Approximation Theorem (Eliashberg - Mishachev) Let  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^q$  be a polyhedron of positive codimension  $k > 0$  and  $\sigma:Op(A)\to J^r(\mathbb{R}^q,\mathbb{R}^n)$ 

be a section. For every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists

<u>a function  $\delta: \mathbb{R}^{q-k} \to \mathbb{R}^k$ </u> such that  $\|\delta\| < \epsilon$ , and we set

$$
A_{\delta} := \{ (x, \delta(x)) \mid (x, 0_k) \in A \}
$$

<u>a holonomic section</u>  $j^rf_1:Op(\mathcal{A}_\delta)\rightarrow J^r(\mathbb{R}^q,\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that

$$
\|j^r f_1 - \sigma|_{Op(A_\delta)}\|_{C^0} < \epsilon.
$$



For  $m = 1$  and  $A = [0, 1] \times \{0\}$ , let  $\sigma: \mathit{Op}(A) \rightarrow J^1(\mathit{Op}(A), \mathbb{R})$ 

be the section given by

 $f_0: (x,t) \mapsto x, \quad L_{(x,t)} = 0$ 

for every  $(x, t) \in Op(A)$ .

# Example of the Mountain Path



Holonomic Approximation: there exists a perturbation  $A_\delta$ 

of  $A$  and there exists a holonomic solution  $j^1f_1$  such that

$$
\|j^1f_1-\sigma|_{Op(A_\delta)}\|<\epsilon.
$$

Mélanie Theillière [The h-principle and beyond](#page-0-0) 1-5 Nov., 2021 - IAS

Let

$$
\begin{array}{rcl}\n\sigma : & \mathbb{R}^2 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \\
(x, t) & \longmapsto & ((x, t), f_0(x, t) = x, L_{(x, t)} = 0)\n\end{array}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{array}{rcl}\n\sigma : & \mathbb{R}^2 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \\
(x, t) & \longmapsto & ((x, t), f_0(x, t) = x, L_{(x, t)} = 0)\n\end{array}
$$

the associated relation is

$$
\mathscr{R}:=\{((x,t),y,v_1,v_2)\quad|\quad \|y-x\|<\epsilon,\quad \|v_i\|<\epsilon\}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{array}{rcl}\n\sigma : & \mathbb{R}^2 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \\
(x, t) & \longmapsto & ((x, t), f_0(x, t) = x, L_{(x, t)} = 0)\n\end{array}
$$

the associated relation is

$$
\mathscr{R}:=\{((x,t),y,v_1,v_2)\quad|\quad \|y-x\|<\epsilon,\quad \|v_i\|<\epsilon\}
$$

and the slice in the direction 1 is

$$
\mathcal{R}_1 := \{ w \in \mathbb{R} \quad | \quad ||w|| < \epsilon \}
$$

which is not ample !

### Theorem (Massot-T. 2021)

Every problem solvable by Holonomic Approximation for 1-order jets can be solved using Convex Integration.

### Theorem (Massot-T. 2021)

Every problem solvable by Holonomic Approximation for 1-order jets can be solved using Convex Integration.

The proof splits in two parts:

- a rewriting of the Holonomic Approximation as a relation  $\mathscr{R}_{ba}$ ;
- a proof that  $\mathcal{R}_{ha}$  is open and ample.

#### Our aim

From a section  $\sigma = ((x, t), f_0, L) : Op(A) \to J^1(Op(A), \mathbb{R}^n)$ , for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , we are looking for a function  $\delta$  and a map  $f_1$  such that

$$
\|\delta\| < \epsilon, \quad \|j^1f_1 - \sigma|_{Op(A_\delta)}\| < \epsilon
$$



#### Our aim

From a section  $\sigma = ((x, t), f_0, L) : Op(A) \to J^1(Op(A), \mathbb{R}^n)$ , for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , we are looking for a function  $\delta$  and a map  $f_1$  such that

$$
\|\delta\| < \epsilon, \quad \|j^1f_1 - \sigma|_{Op(A_\delta)}\| < \epsilon
$$

where  $A_\delta$  is a perturbation  $\Bigg|$ 

$$
\left| \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} G_i \right| \text{ of } A.
$$

Writing  $j^1f_1$  over  $A_\delta=\{(x,\delta(x))\}$  we have

 $j^1 f_1(x, \delta(x)) = (x, \delta(x)), f_1(x, \delta(x)), (df_1)_{(x, \delta(x))})$ 

### Our aim

From a section  $\sigma = ((x, t), f_0, L) : Op(A) \to J^1(Op(A), \mathbb{R}^n)$ , for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , we are looking for a function  $\delta$  and a map  $f_1$  such that

$$
\|\delta\| < \epsilon, \quad \|j^1f_1 - \sigma|_{Op(A_\delta)}\| < \epsilon
$$

where  $A_\delta$  is a perturbation  $\left| \cdot \right|$ 

$$
\left|\bigwedge \bigwedge \bigwedge \cdots \bigwedge \bigwedge
$$
 of A.

Writing  $j^1f_1$  over  $A_\delta=\{(x,\delta(x))\}$  we have

 $j^1 f_1(x, \delta(x)) = (x, \delta(x)), f_1(x, \delta(x)), (df_1)_{(x, \delta(x))})$ 

and we would like to rewrite it under the form

$$
j^1(\delta, w)(x) = (x, (\delta(x), w(x)), (d\delta_x, dw_x))
$$

Note that if we find  $x \mapsto (\delta(x), w(x))$  then we can find  $f_1$  on  $A_\delta$  setting

$$
(x,\delta(x))\longmapsto w(x)=f_1(x,\delta(x))
$$

Note that if we find  $x \mapsto (\delta(x), w(x))$  then we can find  $f_1$  on  $A_\delta$  setting

$$
(x,\delta(x))\longmapsto w(x)=f_1(x,\delta(x))
$$

Let  $\pi_m$  be the projection on the mth coordinates, we can write

$$
w\circ \pi_m|_{A_\delta}=f_1|_{A_\delta}
$$

Note that if we find  $x \mapsto (\delta(x), w(x))$  then we can find  $f_1$  on  $A_\delta$  setting

$$
(x,\delta(x))\longmapsto w(x)=f_1(x,\delta(x))
$$

Let  $\pi_m$  be the projection on the mth coordinates, we can write

$$
w\circ \pi_m|_{A_\delta}=f_1|_{A_\delta}
$$

Differentiating this relation gives

$$
dw_x \circ \pi_m|_{\mathcal{T} A_\delta} = (df_1)_{(x, \delta(x))}|_{\mathcal{T} A_\delta}
$$

Note that if we find  $x \mapsto (\delta(x), w(x))$  then we can find  $f_1$  on  $A_\delta$  setting

$$
(x,\delta(x))\longmapsto w(x)=f_1(x,\delta(x))
$$

Let  $\pi_m$  be the projection on the mth coordinates, we can write

$$
w\circ \pi_m|_{A_\delta}=f_1|_{A_\delta}
$$

Differentiating this relation gives

$$
dw_x \circ \pi_m|_{\mathcal{T} A_\delta} = (df_1)_{(x, \delta(x))}|_{\mathcal{T} A_\delta}
$$

So from the previous condition

$$
\|\delta\| < \epsilon, \quad \|j^1 f_1 - \sigma|_{A_\delta}\| < \epsilon \ (\Rightarrow \|f_1 - f\| < \epsilon, \ \|df_1 - L\| < \epsilon
$$

now we have

$$
\|\delta\|<\epsilon,\quad \|w-f(\cdot,\delta(\cdot))\|<\epsilon,\quad \|(dw\circ\pi_m-L)|\tau_{A_\delta}\|<\epsilon.
$$
#### Lemma

Holonomic Approximation for 1-order jets can be rewritten as the differential relation

$$
\mathscr{R}_{ha} := \left\{ (x, (y, w), (Y, W)) \middle| \begin{array}{l} ||y|| < \epsilon, & ||w - f(x, y)|| < \epsilon \\ ||(W \circ \pi_m - L_{(x, y)})| \tau_{A_y} || < \epsilon \end{array} \right\}
$$

**Remark.** Observe that  $\mathscr{R}_{ha} \subset J^1(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n)$  while  $\sigma \in J^1(\mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ .

Now we are going to show the realtion  $\mathcal{R}_{ha}$  is (open) ample, ie slices of

$$
\mathscr{R}_{ha} := \left\{ (x, (y, w), (Y, W)) \middle| \begin{array}{l} ||y|| < \epsilon, & ||w - f(x, y)|| < \epsilon \\ ||(W \circ \pi_m - L_{(x, y)})||\tau_{A_y}|| < \epsilon \end{array} \right\}
$$

are empty or its convex hull of each path-component is the entire fiber.

Now we are going to show the realtion  $\mathcal{R}_{ha}$  is (open) ample, ie slices of

$$
\mathscr{R}_{ha} := \left\{ (x, (y, w), (Y, W)) \middle| \begin{array}{l} ||y|| < \epsilon, & ||w - f(x, y)|| < \epsilon \\ ||(W \circ \pi_m - L_{(x, y)})||\tau_{A_y}|| < \epsilon \end{array} \right\}
$$

are empty or its convex hull of each path-component is the entire fiber.

The main lemma of this part is

#### Lemma

For  $n=1$ , the slice  ${\mathscr R}_{ha, (H,\mu)}$  is the interior of a hyperbola, so ample.

Now we are going to show the realtion  $\mathcal{R}_{ha}$  is (open) ample, ie slices of

$$
\mathscr{R}_{ha} := \left\{ (x, (y, w), (Y, W)) \middle| \begin{array}{l} ||y|| < \epsilon, & ||w - f(x, y)|| < \epsilon \\ ||(W \circ \pi_m - L_{(x, y)})||\tau_{A_y}|| < \epsilon \end{array} \right\}
$$

are empty or its convex hull of each path-component is the entire fiber.

The main lemma of this part is

#### Lemma

For  $n=1$ , the slice  ${\mathscr R}_{ha, (H,\mu)}$  is the interior of a hyperbola, so ample.

Then, it is enough to apply this lemma n times to show that  $\mathscr{R}_{ha}$  is ample.

### Part II - Ampleness

Let  $\mu = (x, (y_0, w_0), (Y_0, W_0))$  be a section of  $\mathcal{R}_{ha}$  and let H be a hyperplane of  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathsf{x}} \mathbb{R}^m$  .

By definition the slice is

$$
\mathscr{R}_{ha,(H,\mu)} = \left\{ (Y,W) \in \mathscr{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n) \middle| \begin{array}{l} \|(W \circ \pi_m - L)|_{\mathcal{T} A_y} \| < \epsilon \\ (Y,W)|_H = (Y_0,W_0)|_H \end{array} \right\}
$$

## Part II - Ampleness

Let  $\mu = (x, (y_0, w_0), (Y_0, W_0))$  be a section of  $\mathcal{R}_{ha}$  and let H be a hyperplane of  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathsf{x}} \mathbb{R}^m$  .

By definition the slice is

$$
\mathscr{R}_{ha,(H,\mu)} = \left\{ (Y,W) \in \mathscr{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n) \middle| \begin{array}{l} \|(W \circ \pi_m - L)|_{\mathcal{T} A_y} \| < \epsilon \\ (Y,W)|_H = (Y_0,W_0)|_H \end{array} \right\}
$$

Let  $(u, u') \in T_x \mathbb{R}^m$  with  $u \in H$ . From the second condition, we can set  $Y(u, u') = Y_0 u + \alpha u', \quad W(u, u') = W_0 u + \beta u', \quad (\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n.$ 

## Part II - Ampleness

Let  $\mu = (x, (y_0, w_0), (Y_0, W_0))$  be a section of  $\mathcal{R}_{ha}$  and let H be a hyperplane of  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathsf{x}} \mathbb{R}^m$  .

By definition the slice is

$$
\mathscr{R}_{ha,(H,\mu)} = \left\{ (Y,W) \in \mathscr{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n) \middle| \begin{array}{l} \|(W \circ \pi_m - L)|_{\mathcal{T} A_y} \| < \epsilon \\ (Y,W)|_H = (Y_0,W_0)|_H \end{array} \right\}
$$

Let  $(u, u') \in T_x \mathbb{R}^m$  with  $u \in H$ . From the second condition, we can set  $Y(u, u') = Y_0 u + \alpha u', \quad W(u, u') = W_0 u + \beta u', \quad (\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n.$ 

Then

$$
\mathscr{R}_{ha,(H,\mu)} = \left\{ (\alpha,\beta) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \middle| \begin{array}{l} \Vert (W \circ \pi_m - L) \Vert_{\mathsf{T} A_{\mathsf{y}}} \Vert < \epsilon \\ Y = (Y_0,\alpha), \quad W = (W_0,\beta) \end{array} \right\}
$$

Developing and making suitable changes of variables  $(\alpha, \beta) \leftrightarrow (a, b)$  and  $(Y_0, W_0) \leftrightarrow (\widetilde{Y_0}, \widetilde{W_0})$  we obtain

$$
\mathscr{R}_{ha,(H,\mu)} \simeq \left\{ \begin{matrix} (a,b) & \forall (u,u') \in (\mathbb{R}^{m-1} \times \mathbb{R}) \setminus \{0\}, \\ \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n & ||u'b + \widetilde{W_0}u||^2 < \epsilon^2 \left(u'^2 + ||u||^2 + (au' + \widetilde{Y_0}u)^2\right) \end{matrix} \right\}
$$

Developing and making suitable changes of variables  $(\alpha, \beta) \leftrightarrow (a, b)$  and  $(Y_0, W_0) \leftrightarrow (Y_0, W_0)$  we obtain

$$
\mathscr{R}_{ha,(H,\mu)} \simeq \left\{ \begin{matrix} (a,b) & \forall (u,u') \in (\mathbb{R}^{m-1} \times \mathbb{R}) \setminus \{0\}, \\ \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n & ||u'b + \widetilde{W_0}u||^2 < \epsilon^2 \left(u'^2 + ||u||^2 + (au' + \widetilde{Y_0}u)^2\right) \end{matrix} \right\}
$$

For  $m = 1$ , we have

$$
\mathscr{R}_{ha,(H,\mu)} \quad \simeq \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (a,b) \\ \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{l} \forall u' \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}, \\ \|u'b\|^2 < \epsilon^2 \Big(u'^2 + (au')^2\Big) \end{array} \right\}
$$

Developing and making suitable changes of variables  $(\alpha, \beta) \leftrightarrow (a, b)$  and  $(Y_0, W_0) \leftrightarrow (Y_0, W_0)$  we obtain

$$
\mathscr{R}_{ha,(H,\mu)} \simeq \left\{ \begin{matrix} (a,b) & \forall (u,u') \in (\mathbb{R}^{m-1} \times \mathbb{R}) \setminus \{0\}, \\ \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n & ||u'b + \widetilde{W_0}u||^2 < \epsilon^2 \left(u'^2 + ||u||^2 + (au' + \widetilde{Y_0}u)^2\right) \end{matrix} \right\}
$$

For  $m = 1$ , we have

$$
\mathscr{R}_{ha,(H,\mu)} \simeq \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (a,b) & \forall u' \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}, \\ \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n & \|u'b\|^2 < \epsilon^2 \left(u'^2 + (au')^2\right) \end{array} \right\}
$$
\n
$$
\simeq \left\{ (a,b) \mid \|b\|^2 - \epsilon^2 a^2 < \epsilon^2 \right\}
$$

which is the interior of a hyperbola, so ample.

### Lemma

For  $n = 1$ , there exists  $c_1, c_2, c_3 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that the slice

$$
\mathscr{R}_{ha, (H, \mu)} \simeq \left\{ (a, b) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \: \left| \: (b - c_1 a)^2 - c_2^2 a^2 < c_3^2 \right. \right\}
$$

is the interior of a hyperbola.

But the proof is technically not straightforward.

#### Lemma

For  $n = 1$ , there exists  $c_1, c_2, c_3 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that the slice

$$
\mathscr{R}_{ha, (H, \mu)} \simeq \left\{ (a, b) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \: \left| \: (b - c_1 a)^2 - c_2^2 a^2 < c_3^2 \right. \right\}
$$

is the interior of a hyperbola.

But the proof is technically not straightforward.

So the relation  $\mathcal{R}_{ba}$  is ample, in particular we can solve the problem of the Mountain Path using Convex Integration.

## The Mountain Path via Convex Integration



# Thank you for your attention !

