Holonomic Approximation through Convex Integration

Mélanie Theillière, University of Luxembourg joint work with Patrick Massot, Université Paris-Saclay

Workshop on the h-principle and beyond 1-5 November, 2021 - IAS, Princeton Holonomic Approximation Theorem and Convex Integration are two pillars of the *h*-principle techniques.

Holonomic Approximation Theorem and Convex Integration are two pillars of the *h*-principle techniques. This two techniques each have their own flavor and scope.

Holonomic Approximation Theorem and Convex Integration are two pillars of the *h*-principle techniques. This two techniques each have their own flavor and scope.

The goal of this talk is to bring some new perspective on this topic by proving the Holonomic Approximation Theorem for 1-order jets using Convex Integration.

Holonomic Approximation Theorem and Convex Integration are two pillars of the *h*-principle techniques. This two techniques each have their own flavor and scope.

The goal of this talk is to bring some new perspective on this topic by proving the Holonomic Approximation Theorem for 1-order jets using Convex Integration.

To prove it, we will show that Holonomic Approximation for 1-order jets can be reduced to proving the h-principle for some specific relation. Then we prove this relation is solvable using Convex Integration.

For a map $f : \mathbb{R}^q \to \mathbb{R}^n$, we denote by

$$j^1f: p \longmapsto (p, f(p), df_p)$$

the 1-jet of f

For a map $f : \mathbb{R}^q \to \mathbb{R}^n$, we denote by

$$j^1f: p \longmapsto (p, f(p), df_p)$$

the 1-jet of f defined from \mathbb{R}^q to the 1-jet space

$$J^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{q},\mathbb{R}^{n}) := \{(p,y,L) \mid p \in \mathbb{R}^{q}, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, L \in \mathscr{L}(T_{p}\mathbb{R}^{q},T_{y}\mathbb{R}^{n})\} \\ \simeq \mathbb{R}^{q} \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \times (\mathbb{R}^{n})^{q}$$

For a map $f : \mathbb{R}^q \to \mathbb{R}^n$, we denote by

$$j^1f: p \longmapsto (p, f(p), df_p)$$

the 1-jet of f defined from \mathbb{R}^q to the 1-jet space

$$\begin{aligned} J^1(\mathbb{R}^q,\mathbb{R}^n) &:= \; \{(p,y,L) \, | \, p \in \mathbb{R}^q, \, y \in \mathbb{R}^n, \, L \in \mathscr{L}(T_p\mathbb{R}^q,T_y\mathbb{R}^n) \} \\ &\simeq \; \mathbb{R}^q \times \mathbb{R}^n \times (\mathbb{R}^n)^q \end{aligned}$$

A differential constraint of order 1 is a relation

$$\phi(p, f(p), df_p) > 0$$
 (or = 0)

where $\phi: J^1(\mathbb{R}^q, \mathbb{R}^n) \stackrel{C^0}{\longrightarrow} \mathbb{R}$.

For a map $f : \mathbb{R}^q \to \mathbb{R}^n$, we denote by

$$j^1f: p \longmapsto (p, f(p), df_p)$$

the 1-jet of f defined from \mathbb{R}^q to the 1-jet space

$$\begin{aligned} J^1(\mathbb{R}^q,\mathbb{R}^n) &:= \; \{(p,y,L) \, | \, p \in \mathbb{R}^q, \, y \in \mathbb{R}^n, \, L \in \mathscr{L}(T_p\mathbb{R}^q,T_y\mathbb{R}^n) \} \\ &\simeq \; \mathbb{R}^q \times \mathbb{R}^n \times (\mathbb{R}^n)^q \end{aligned}$$

A differential constraint of order 1 is a relation

$$\phi(p, f(p), df_p) > 0$$
 (or = 0)

where $\phi: J^1(\mathbb{R}^q, \mathbb{R}^n) \stackrel{C^0}{\longrightarrow} \mathbb{R}$. In coordinates,

$$\phi(p,f(p),\partial_1 f(p),\ldots,\partial_q f(p))>0\quad (\text{or }=0).$$

Let

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \sigma : & \mathbb{R}^q & \longrightarrow & J^1(\mathbb{R}^q, \mathbb{R}^n) \\ & p & \longmapsto & (p, f(p), L_p) \end{array}$$

be a section of the bundle $J^1(\mathbb{R}^q,\mathbb{R}^n) o \mathbb{R}^q$.

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma : & \mathbb{R}^q & \longrightarrow & J^1(\mathbb{R}^q, \mathbb{R}^n) \\ & \rho & \longmapsto & (\rho, f(\rho), L_\rho) \end{aligned}$$

be a section of the bundle $J^1(\mathbb{R}^q,\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathbb{R}^q$.

Definition

We say that σ is a holonomic section if for every $p \in \mathbb{R}^q$ we have

$$L_p = df_p.$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma : & \mathbb{R}^q & \longrightarrow & J^1(\mathbb{R}^q, \mathbb{R}^n) \\ & \rho & \longmapsto & (p, f(p), L_p) \end{aligned}$$

be a section of the bundle $J^1(\mathbb{R}^q,\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathbb{R}^q$.

Definition

We say that σ is a holonomic section if for every $p \in \mathbb{R}^q$ we have

$$L_p = df_p.$$



$$\phi(\sigma(p)) = \phi(p, f(p), L_p) > 0$$

is easier than finding a holonomic section $j^1 F$ (or a map F) satisfying

$$\phi(j^1F(p)) = \phi(p, F(p), dF_p)) > 0.$$

 $\phi(\sigma(p)) = \phi(p, f(p), L_p) > 0$

is easier than finding a holonomic section $j^1 F$ (or a map F) satisfying

$$\phi(j^1F(p)) = \phi(p, F(p), dF_p)) > 0.$$

Question: From a section σ satisfying ϕ , can we find a holonomic section $j^1 F$ (homotopic to σ) satisfying ϕ ?

 $\phi(\sigma(p)) = \phi(p, f(p), L_p) > 0$

is easier than finding a holonomic section $j^1 F$ (or a map F) satisfying

$$\phi(j^1F(p)) = \phi(p, F(p), dF_p)) > 0.$$

Question: From a section σ satisfying ϕ , can we find a holonomic section $j^1 F$ (homotopic to σ) satisfying ϕ ?

• Answer of the Convex Integration: yes if the differential constraint has some convex properties.

 $\phi(\sigma(p)) = \phi(p, f(p), L_p) > 0$

is easier than finding a holonomic section $j^1 F$ (or a map F) satisfying

$$\phi(j^1F(p)) = \phi(p, F(p), dF_p)) > 0.$$

Question: From a section σ satisfying ϕ , can we find a holonomic section $j^1 F$ (homotopic to σ) satisfying ϕ ?

- Answer of the Convex Integration: yes if the differential constraint has some convex properties.
- Answer of the Holonomic Approximation: yes if we have a positive codimension for the source space.

To the differential constraint " $\phi((p, f(p), L_p)) > 0$ " we associate the subset

$$\mathscr{R}_{\phi} := \{(p, y, L) \mid \phi((p, y, L)) > 0\} \subset J^1(\mathbb{R}^q, \mathbb{R}^n).$$

To the differential constraint " $\phi((p, f(p), L_p)) > 0$ " we associate the subset

$$\mathscr{R}_{\phi} := \{(p, y, L) \mid \phi((p, y, L)) > 0\} \subset J^1(\mathbb{R}^q, \mathbb{R}^n).$$

Definition

We call differential relation any subset \mathscr{R} of $J^1(\mathbb{R}^q, \mathbb{R}^n)$.

To the differential constraint " $\phi((p, f(p), L_p)) > 0$ " we associate the subset

$$\mathscr{R}_{\phi} := \{(p, y, L) \mid \phi((p, y, L)) > 0\} \subset J^1(\mathbb{R}^q, \mathbb{R}^n).$$

Definition

We call differential relation any subset \mathscr{R} of $J^1(\mathbb{R}^q, \mathbb{R}^n)$.

Examples

- \mathscr{R}_{ϕ} is the relation associated to ϕ ;
- $\mathscr{I} := \{(p, y, L) \mid \text{the rank of } L \text{ is maximal}\} \text{ is the relation of immersions.}$

Let \mathscr{R} be a relation and σ be a section written in coordinates $\sigma = (p, f, L_1, \dots, L_q)$ where $L_k = L(e_k)$ for some basis (e_1, \dots, e_q) .

Let \mathscr{R} be a relation and σ be a section written in coordinates $\sigma = (p, f, L_1, \dots, L_q)$ where $L_k = L(e_k)$ for some basis (e_1, \dots, e_q) .

For $j \in \{1, \ldots, q\}$, σ is a *j*-solution of \mathscr{R} if for any $p \in \mathbb{R}^q$

 $\sigma(p) = (p, f(p), \partial_1 f(p), \ldots, \partial_j f(p), L_{j+1}, \ldots, L_q) \in \mathscr{R}.$

Let \mathscr{R} be a relation and σ be a section written in coordinates $\sigma = (p, f, L_1, \ldots, L_q)$ where $L_k = L(e_k)$ for some basis (e_1, \ldots, e_q) .

For $j \in \{1, \ldots, q\}$, σ is a *j*-solution of \mathscr{R} if for any $p \in \mathbb{R}^q$

 $\sigma(p) = (p, f(p), \partial_1 f(p), \ldots, \partial_j f(p), L_{j+1}, \ldots, L_q) \in \mathscr{R}.$

An arbitrary section needs not be a 0-solution (or *formal solution*), because it must at least satisfy, for any p, $\sigma(p) = (p, f(p), L_p) \in \mathscr{R}$.

Let \mathscr{R} be a relation and σ be a section written in coordinates $\sigma = (p, f, L_1, \ldots, L_q)$ where $L_k = L(e_k)$ for some basis (e_1, \ldots, e_q) .

For $j \in \{1, \ldots, q\}$, σ is a *j*-solution of \mathscr{R} if for any $p \in \mathbb{R}^q$

 $\sigma(p) = (p, f(p), \partial_1 f(p), \ldots, \partial_j f(p), L_{j+1}, \ldots, L_q) \in \mathscr{R}.$

An arbitrary section needs not be a 0-solution (or *formal solution*), because it must at least satisfy, for any p, $\sigma(p) = (p, f(p), L_p) \in \mathscr{R}$.

Definition

If for any p we have $\sigma(p) = j^1 f(p) \in \mathcal{R}$, we say that σ is a holonomic solution of \mathcal{R} .

Under some assumptions on the relation \mathscr{R} (we'll see soon) and from a 0-solution $\sigma_0 = (p, f_0, L)$, Convex Integration allows to build a sequence

$$f_0 o f_1 o \cdots o f_j o \cdots o f_q$$

such that, $\forall j$, the section

 $\sigma_j: p \mapsto (p, f_j(p), \partial_1 f_j(p), \ldots, \partial_j f_j(p), L_{j+1}, \ldots, L_q) \in \mathscr{R}.$

Under some assumptions on the relation \mathscr{R} (we'll see soon) and from a 0-solution $\sigma_0 = (p, f_0, L)$, Convex Integration allows to build a sequence

$$f_0 o f_1 o \cdots o f_j o \cdots o f_q$$

such that, $\forall j$, the section

 $\sigma_j: p \mapsto (p, f_j(p), \partial_1 f_j(p), \ldots, \partial_j f_j(p), L_{j+1}, \ldots, L_q) \in \mathscr{R}.$

Applying iteratively Convex Integration, we build

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \sigma_0(p) &=& (p, \ f_0(p), \ L_1, \ L_2, \ \dots, \ L_q) &\in \mathscr{R} \\ \sigma_1(p) &=& (p, \ f_1(p), \ \partial_1 f_1(p), \ L_2, \ \dots, \ L_q) &\in \mathscr{R} \\ & & & \\ \sigma_q(p) &=& (p, \ f_q(p), \ \partial_1 f_q(p), \ \partial_2 f_q(p), \ \dots, \ \partial_q f_q(p)) &\in \mathscr{R} \end{array}$$

Under some assumptions on the relation \mathscr{R} (we'll see soon) and from a 0-solution $\sigma_0 = (p, f_0, L)$, Convex Integration allows to build a sequence

$$f_0 o f_1 o \cdots o f_j o \cdots o f_q$$

such that, $\forall j$, the section

 $\sigma_j: p \mapsto (p, f_j(p), \partial_1 f_j(p), \ldots, \partial_j f_j(p), L_{j+1}, \ldots, L_q) \in \mathscr{R}.$

Applying iteratively Convex Integration, we build

 $\begin{array}{rcl} \sigma_0(p) &=& (p, \ f_0(p), \ L_1, \ L_2, \ \dots, \ L_q) &\in \mathscr{R} \\ \sigma_1(p) &=& (p, \ f_1(p), \ \partial_1 f_1(p), \ L_2, \ \dots, \ L_q) &\in \mathscr{R} \\ & & & \\ \sigma_q(p) &=& (p, \ f_q(p), \ \partial_1 f_q(p), \ \partial_2 f_q(p), \ \dots, \ \partial_q f_q(p)) &\in \mathscr{R} \end{array}$ Eventually the section $\sigma_q = j^1 f_q$ is a holonomic solution of \mathscr{R} .

To solve the step " $f_{j-1} \rightarrow f_j$ ", there was several formulas (given here in dimension 1, ie $p = t \in \mathbb{R}$, for the sake of clarity):

To solve the step " $f_{j-1} \rightarrow f_j$ ", there was several formulas (given here in dimension 1, ie $p = t \in \mathbb{R}$, for the sake of clarity):

Nash's formula (codim 2, isometric case)

$$f_1(t) := f_0(t) + \frac{1}{N} h \left[\Gamma_1(Nt) \mathbf{n}_1(t) + \Gamma_2(Nt) \mathbf{n}_2(t) \right]$$

with $\Gamma_1(Nt) = \cos(Nt)$, $\Gamma_2(Nt) = \sin(Nt)$, h a parameter depending on the problem, \mathbf{n}_1 , \mathbf{n}_2 two unit normal vectors and $N \in \mathbb{N}$.

To solve the step " $f_{j-1} \to f_j$ ", there was several formulas (given here in dimension 1, ie $p = t \in \mathbb{R}$, for the sake of clarity):

Nash's formula (codim 2, isometric case)

$$f_1(t) := f_0(t) + \frac{1}{N} h \left[\Gamma_1(Nt) \mathbf{n}_1(t) + \Gamma_2(Nt) \mathbf{n}_2(t) \right]$$

with $\Gamma_1(Nt) = \cos(Nt)$, $\Gamma_2(Nt) = \sin(Nt)$, h a parameter depending on the problem, \mathbf{n}_1 , \mathbf{n}_2 two unit normal vectors and $N \in \mathbb{N}$.

Kuiper's formula (codim 1, isometric case)

with $\Gamma_1(Nt) = \frac{-a^2 \sin(2Nt)}{8}$, $\Gamma_2(Nt) = a \sin(Nt - \frac{a^2 \sin(2Nt)}{8})$, *h* and *a* parameters depending on the problem, **t** a unit tangent vector and **n** a unit normal vector.

Mélanie Theillière

Thurston's formula (Immersions Theory)

$$f_1(t) := f_0(t) + h[\Gamma_1(Nt) + i\Gamma_2(Nt)] + K = 0$$

with $h \in \mathbb{R}$, $\Gamma_1(Nt) = -\sin(4\pi Nt)$, $\Gamma_2(Nt) = 2\sin(2\pi Nt)$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$.

Thurston's formula (Immersions Theory)

$$f_1(t) := f_0(t) + h[\Gamma_1(Nt) + i\Gamma_2(Nt)] + N =$$

with $h \in \mathbb{R}$, $\Gamma_1(Nt) = -\sin(4\pi Nt)$, $\Gamma_2(Nt) = 2\sin(2\pi Nt)$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$.

Conti-De Lellis-Székelyhidi's formula (codim 1, isometric case)

$$f_1(t) := f_0(t) + \frac{1}{N} \left[\Gamma_1(t, Nt) \mathbf{t}(t) + \Gamma_2(t, Nt) \mathbf{n}(t) \right]$$

with $\Gamma_1(Nt) = \int_0^{Nt} h \cos(a \sin(2\pi s)) - 1 ds$, $\Gamma_2(Nt) = \int_0^{Nt} h \sin(a \sin(2\pi s)) ds$, h and a parameters depending on the problem, t a unit tangent vector and **n** a unit normal vector.

$$f_1(t):=f_0(0)+\int_{s=0}^t\gamma_s(Ns)ds$$

with a family of loops $(\gamma_t)_t$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$.

$$f_1(t) := f_0(0) + \int_{s=0}^t \gamma_s(Ns) ds$$

with a family of loops $(\gamma_t)_t$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$.

A variant of Gromov's formula (T. 2019)

$$f_1(p) := f_0(p) + rac{1}{N} \int_{s=0}^{Np_j} \left(\gamma_p(s) - \overline{\gamma}_p
ight) ds$$

$$f_1(t) := f_0(0) + \int_{s=0}^t \gamma_s(Ns) ds$$

with a family of loops $(\gamma_t)_t$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$.

A variant of Gromov's formula (T. 2019)

$$f_1(p) := f_0(p) + rac{1}{N} \int_{s=0}^{Np_j} \left(\gamma_p(s) - \overline{\gamma}_p
ight) ds$$

If for any $p \in \mathbb{R}^q$ we have $\overline{\gamma_p} = \partial_j f_0(p)$, these formulas satisfy:

•
$$\|f_1 - f_0\|_{C^0} = O(\frac{1}{N})$$
 and $\partial_j f_1(t) = \gamma_p(Np_j) + O(\frac{1}{N})$

$$f_1(t) := f_0(0) + \int_{s=0}^t \gamma_s(Ns) ds$$

with a family of loops $(\gamma_t)_t$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$.

A variant of Gromov's formula (T. 2019)

$$f_1(p) := f_0(p) + rac{1}{N} \int_{s=0}^{Np_j} \left(\gamma_p(s) - \overline{\gamma}_p
ight) ds$$

If for any $p \in \mathbb{R}^q$ we have $\overline{\gamma_p} = \partial_j f_0(p)$, these formulas satisfy:

•
$$\|f_1 - f_0\|_{C^0} = O(\frac{1}{N})$$
 and $\partial_j f_1(t) = \gamma_p(Np_j) + O(\frac{1}{N})$
• $\|\partial_i f_1 - \partial_i f_0\|_{C^0} = O(\frac{1}{N})$ where $i \neq j$.

Using these formulas, we build a map f_j from f_{j-1} , and the sections

are close if O(1/N) is small enough.
Using these formulas, we build a map f_j from f_{j-1} , and the sections

are close if O(1/N) is small enough. Now setting

$$\sigma_j: \boldsymbol{p} \mapsto (\boldsymbol{p}, f_j, \partial_1 f_j, \dots, \partial_{j-1} f_j, \partial_j f_j(\boldsymbol{p}) = \gamma_{\boldsymbol{p}}(\boldsymbol{N} \boldsymbol{p}_j), \boldsymbol{L}_{j+1}, \dots)$$

we have to find $(\gamma_t)_t$ such that $\sigma_j(p) \in \mathscr{R}$ for any p.

Definition

Let $\sigma = (p, f, L_1, \dots, L_q)$ whose image lies in \mathscr{R} and let $j \in \{1, \dots, q\}$. We define the slice of \mathscr{R} in the direction e_i over σ as

 $\mathscr{R}_{j,\sigma} := \{ w \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid (p, y, L_1, \dots, L_{j-1}, w, L_{j+1}, \dots, L_q) \in \mathscr{R} \}.$

Definition

Let $\sigma = (p, f, L_1, \dots, L_q)$ whose image lies in \mathscr{R} and let $j \in \{1, \dots, q\}$. We define the slice of \mathscr{R} in the direction e_i over σ as

$$\mathscr{R}_{j,\sigma} := \{ w \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid (p, y, L_1, \dots, L_{j-1}, w, L_{j+1}, \dots, L_q) \in \mathscr{R} \}.$$

For example, for the relation

 $\mathscr{R} = \{ (p, y, L_1, L_2) \mid (L_1)_{e'_1} \ge 0, \quad r - \epsilon \le \|L_1\| \le r + \epsilon \}$

the slice in the direction e_1 over σ is



Rephrasing of the definition

Let $\sigma = (p, f, L) : M \to \mathscr{R} \subset J^1(M, N)$ and $H \subset T_p M$ be a hyperplane. The slice of \mathscr{R} for H over σ is

$$\mathscr{R}_{H,\sigma} := \{\widetilde{L} \in \mathscr{L}(T_pM, T_yN) \mid \widetilde{L}|_H = L|_H \text{ and } (p, y, \widetilde{L}) \in \mathscr{R}\}$$

Rephrasing of the definition

Let $\sigma = (p, f, L) : M \to \mathscr{R} \subset J^1(M, N)$ and $H \subset T_p M$ be a hyperplane. The slice of \mathscr{R} for H over σ is

$$\mathscr{R}_{H,\sigma} := \{\widetilde{L} \in \mathscr{L}(\mathcal{T}_p M, \mathcal{T}_y N) \mid \widetilde{L}|_H = L|_H ext{ and } (p, y, \widetilde{L}) \in \mathscr{R} \}$$

Remark. For $M = \mathbb{R}^q$, $N = \mathbb{R}^n$ and $H = Span(e_1, \dots, \check{e_j}, \dots, e_q)$, we have the previous definition. Indeed the condition $\widetilde{L}|_H = L|_H$ implies

$$\widetilde{L}(e_i) = L(e_i) = L_i \quad \forall e_i \neq e_j.$$

Rephrasing of the definition

Let $\sigma = (p, f, L) : M \to \mathscr{R} \subset J^1(M, N)$ and $H \subset T_p M$ be a hyperplane. The slice of \mathscr{R} for H over σ is

$$\mathscr{R}_{H,\sigma} := \{\widetilde{L} \in \mathscr{L}(\mathcal{T}_p M, \mathcal{T}_y N) \mid \widetilde{L}|_H = L|_H ext{ and } (p, y, \widetilde{L}) \in \mathscr{R} \}$$

Remark. For $M = \mathbb{R}^q$, $N = \mathbb{R}^n$ and $H = Span(e_1, \ldots, \check{e_j}, \ldots, e_q)$, we have the previous definition. Indeed the condition $\widetilde{L}|_H = L|_H$ implies

$$\widetilde{L}(e_i) = L(e_i) = L_i \quad \forall e_i \neq e_j.$$

So

$$\mathscr{R}_{H,\sigma} \simeq \{\widetilde{L}_j \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid (p, y, L_1, \dots, L_{j-1}, \widetilde{L}_j, L_{j+1}, \dots, L_q) \in \mathscr{R}\}$$

For a slice, if there exists a loop $t\mapsto \gamma(t)$ such that

• the loop lies in the slice



• the average of the loop is the partial derivative to be modified

$$\partial_j f_{j-1}(x)$$

then Convex Integration builds a map f_j from f_{j-1} .

For a slice, if there exists a loop $t\mapsto \gamma(t)$ such that

• the loop lies in the slice



• the average of the loop is the partial derivative to be modified



then Convex Integration builds a map f_j from f_{j-1} .

Definition

A relation ${\mathscr R}$ is ample if each slice satisfies one of these conditions:

- the slice is empty;
- the convex hull of each path-component of the slice is the entire fiber.

In the last case, the loop γ always exists!

The slice of the relation of immersions for surfaces. Let σ be a section whose image $\sigma(p) = (p, f_0(p), L_1, L_2)$ lies in \mathcal{F} .

The slice of the relation of immersions for surfaces. Let σ be a section whose image $\sigma(p) = (p, f_0(p), L_1, L_2)$ lies in \mathcal{F} .

 $\mathscr{I}_{1,\sigma} := \{ w \in \mathbb{R}^n \, | \, (p, f_0(p), w, L_2) \in \mathscr{I} \} = \{ w \in \mathbb{R}^n \, | \, w \notin \mathbb{R}L_2 \}$

The slice of the relation of immersions for surfaces. Let σ be a section whose image $\sigma(p) = (p, f_0(p), L_1, L_2)$ lies in \mathcal{F} .

 $\mathscr{I}_{1,\sigma} := \{ w \in \mathbb{R}^n \, | \, (p, f_0(p), w, L_2) \in \mathscr{I} \} = \{ w \in \mathbb{R}^n \, | \, w \notin \mathbb{R}L_2 \}$



codimension 0

The slice of the relation of immersions for surfaces. Let σ be a section whose image $\sigma(p) = (p, f_0(p), L_1, L_2)$ lies in \mathcal{F} .

 $\mathscr{I}_{1,\sigma} := \{ w \in \mathbb{R}^n \, | \, (p, f_0(p), w, L_2) \in \mathscr{I} \} = \{ w \in \mathbb{R}^n \, | \, w \notin \mathbb{R}L_2 \}$



A famous result of Gromov's Convex Integration Theory is:

Theorem (Gromov)

Let \mathscr{R} be an open ample relation. For any section $\sigma = (p, f_0, L)$ whose image belongs to \mathscr{R} , there exists a holonomic solution $j^1 f_q$ of \mathscr{R} .

A famous result of Gromov's Convex Integration Theory is:

Theorem (Gromov)

Let \mathscr{R} be an open ample relation. For any section $\sigma = (p, f_0, L)$ whose image belongs to \mathscr{R} , there exists a holonomic solution $j^1 f_q$ of \mathscr{R} .

For example, the relation of immersions in codimension ≥ 1 is ample.

A famous result of Gromov's Convex Integration Theory is:

Theorem (Gromov)

Let \mathscr{R} be an open ample relation. For any section $\sigma = (p, f_0, L)$ whose image belongs to \mathscr{R} , there exists a holonomic solution $j^1 f_q$ of \mathscr{R} .

For example, the relation of immersions in codimension ≥ 1 is ample.

Note that the relation of ϵ -isometric maps, for $\epsilon > 0$, is not ample! The previous construction is possible if the map $f_0 : (M, g) \to (N, h)$ is *short*, is satisfies

$$h-f_0^*g>0$$

which is the key assumption of the Nash-Kuiper C^1 -isometric Theorem.

Instead of looking for a holonomic section satisfying a differential constraint, the Holonomic Approximation aims to find a holonomic section $j^1 F$ which is close to a given section σ :

 $\|j^1 F - \sigma\| < \epsilon$

Instead of looking for a holonomic section satisfying a differential constraint, the Holonomic Approximation aims to find a holonomic section $j^1 F$ which is close to a given section σ :

 $\|j^1 F - \sigma\| < \epsilon$

This approach completely ignores the differential constraint!

Instead of looking for a holonomic section satisfying a differential constraint, the Holonomic Approximation aims to find a holonomic section $j^1 F$ which is close to a given section σ :

 $\|j^1 F - \sigma\| < \epsilon$

This approach completely ignores the differential constraint!

Note that, over a point, a section can be approximated by the 1-jet of a Taylor polynomial map, while, over a submanifold, the problem is usually unsolvable.

Holonomic Approximation

Let $A = [0,1]^m \times \{0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}$ and $p = (x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}$.



Holonomic Approximation

Let $A = [0, 1]^m \times \{0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}$ and $p = (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}$.



Holonomic Approximation Theorem for 1-jets and $A = [0, 1]^m \times \{0\}$ Let $\sigma = ((x, t), f_0, L) : Op(A) \rightarrow J^1(\mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ be a section. For every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists

• <u>a function</u> $\delta : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\|\delta\| < \epsilon$, and we set

$$A_{\delta} := \{(x,\delta(x)) \, | \, x \in [0,1]^m\} =$$

• a map f_1 defined near A_{δ} such that $\|j^1 f_1 - \sigma\|_{C^0} < \epsilon$ on a sufficiently small open neighborhood of A_{δ} .

Holonomic Approximation

For any subset A, we denote by Op(A) an open neighborhood of A.

For any subset A, we denote by Op(A) an open neighborhood of A. Holonomic Approximation Theorem (Eliashberg - Mishachev) Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^q$ be a polyhedron of positive codimension k > 0 and $\sigma : Op(A) \to J^r(\mathbb{R}^q, \mathbb{R}^n)$

be a section. For every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists

• <u>a function $\delta : \mathbb{R}^{q-k} \to \mathbb{R}^k$ </u> such that $\|\delta\| < \epsilon$, and we set

$$A_\delta := \{(x,\delta(x)) \mid (x,0_k) \in A\}$$

• <u>a holonomic section</u> $j^r f_1 : Op(A_{\delta}) \to J^r(\mathbb{R}^q, \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\|j^r f_1 - \sigma|_{Op(A_{\delta})}\|_{C^0} < \epsilon.$$



For m=1 and $A=[0,1] imes \{0\},$ let $\sigma: \mathit{Op}(A) o J^1(\mathit{Op}(A),\mathbb{R})$

be the section given by

 $f_0:(x,t)\mapsto x,\quad L_{(x,t)}=0$

for every $(x, t) \in Op(A)$.

Example of the Mountain Path



Holonomic Approximation: there exists a perturbation A_{δ}



of A and there exists a holonomic solution $j^1 f_1$ such that

$$\|j^1 f_1 - \sigma|_{Op(A_{\delta})}\| < \epsilon.$$

The h-principle and beyond

Let

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \sigma: & \mathbb{R}^2 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \\ & (x,t) & \longmapsto & ((x,t), f_0(x,t) = x, L_{(x,t)} = 0) \end{array}$$

Let

$$\sigma: \quad \mathbb{R}^2 \quad \longrightarrow \quad \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \\ (x,t) \quad \longmapsto \quad ((x,t), f_0(x,t) = x, L_{(x,t)} = 0)$$

the associated relation is

$$\mathscr{R} := \{((x,t),y,v_1,v_2) \mid \|y-x\| < \epsilon, \|v_i\| < \epsilon\}$$

Let

$$\sigma: \quad \mathbb{R}^2 \quad \longrightarrow \quad \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \\ (x,t) \quad \longmapsto \quad ((x,t), f_0(x,t) = x, L_{(x,t)} = 0)$$

the associated relation is

$$\mathscr{R} := \{((x,t),y,v_1,v_2) \mid \|y-x\| < \epsilon, \|v_i\| < \epsilon\}$$

and the slice in the direction 1 is

$$\mathscr{R}_1 := \{ w \in \mathbb{R} \mid \|w\| < \epsilon \}$$

which is not ample !

Theorem (Massot-T. 2021)

Every problem solvable by Holonomic Approximation for 1-order jets can be solved using Convex Integration.

Theorem (Massot-T. 2021)

Every problem solvable by Holonomic Approximation for 1-order jets can be solved using Convex Integration.

The proof splits in two parts:

- a rewriting of the Holonomic Approximation as a relation \mathscr{R}_{ha} ;
- a proof that \mathcal{R}_{ha} is open and ample.

Our aim

From a section $\sigma = ((x, t), f_0, L) : Op(A) \to J^1(Op(A), \mathbb{R}^n)$, for any $\epsilon > 0$, we are looking for a function δ and a map f_1 such that

$$\|\delta\| < \epsilon, \quad \|j^1 f_1 - \sigma|_{Op(A_{\delta})}\| < \epsilon$$

where A_{δ} is a perturbation

Our aim

From a section $\sigma = ((x, t), f_0, L) : Op(A) \to J^1(Op(A), \mathbb{R}^n)$, for any $\epsilon > 0$, we are looking for a function δ and a map f_1 such that

$$\|\delta\| < \epsilon, \quad \|j^1 f_1 - \sigma|_{Op(A_{\delta})}\| < \epsilon$$

where A_{δ} is a perturbation

Writing $j^1 f_1$ over $A_{\delta} = \{(x, \delta(x))\}$ we have

 $j^{1}f_{1}(x,\delta(x)) = ((x,\delta(x)), f_{1}(x,\delta(x)), (df_{1})_{(x,\delta(x))})$

Our aim

From a section $\sigma = ((x, t), f_0, L) : Op(A) \to J^1(Op(A), \mathbb{R}^n)$, for any $\epsilon > 0$, we are looking for a function δ and a map f_1 such that

$$\|\delta\| < \epsilon, \quad \|j^1 f_1 - \sigma|_{Op(A_{\delta})}\| < \epsilon$$

where A_{δ} is a perturbation

Writing $j^1 f_1$ over $A_{\delta} = \{(x, \delta(x))\}$ we have

 $j^{1}f_{1}(x,\delta(x)) = ((x,\delta(x)), f_{1}(x,\delta(x)), (df_{1})_{(x,\delta(x))})$

and we would like to rewrite it under the form

$$j^{1}(\delta, w)(x) = (x, (\delta(x), w(x)), (d\delta_{x}, dw_{x}))$$

Note that if we find $x\mapsto (\delta(x),w(x))$ then we can find f_1 on \mathcal{A}_δ setting

$$(x,\delta(x))\longmapsto w(x)=f_1(x,\delta(x))$$

Note that if we find $x\mapsto (\delta(x),w(x))$ then we can find f_1 on \mathcal{A}_δ setting

$$(x,\delta(x))\longmapsto w(x)=f_1(x,\delta(x))$$

Let π_m be the projection on the *m*th coordinates, we can write

$$w \circ \pi_m|_{A_{\delta}} = f_1|_{A_{\delta}}$$

Note that if we find $x\mapsto (\delta(x),w(x))$ then we can find f_1 on A_δ setting

$$(x,\delta(x))\longmapsto w(x)=f_1(x,\delta(x))$$

Let π_m be the projection on the *m*th coordinates, we can write

$$w \circ \pi_m|_{A_{\delta}} = f_1|_{A_{\delta}}$$

Differentiating this relation gives

$$dw_x \circ \pi_m|_{TA_\delta} = (df_1)_{(x,\delta(x))}|_{TA_\delta}$$

Note that if we find $x\mapsto (\delta(x),w(x))$ then we can find f_1 on A_δ setting

$$(x,\delta(x))\longmapsto w(x)=f_1(x,\delta(x))$$

Let π_m be the projection on the *m*th coordinates, we can write

$$w \circ \pi_m|_{A_{\delta}} = f_1|_{A_{\delta}}$$

Differentiating this relation gives

$$dw_x \circ \pi_m|_{\mathcal{TA}_\delta} = (df_1)_{(x,\delta(x))}|_{\mathcal{TA}_\delta}$$

So from the previous condition

$$\|\delta\| < \epsilon, \quad \|j^1 f_1 - \sigma|_{\mathcal{A}_{\delta}}\| < \epsilon \iff \|f_1 - f\| < \epsilon, \ \|df_1 - L\| < \epsilon$$

now we have

$$\|\delta\| < \epsilon, \quad \|w - f(\cdot, \delta(\cdot))\| < \epsilon, \quad \|(dw \circ \pi_m - L)|_{TA_{\delta}}\| < \epsilon.$$
Lemma

Holonomic Approximation for 1-order jets can be rewritten as the differential relation

$$\mathscr{R}_{ha} := \left\{ (x, (y, w), (Y, W)) \middle| \begin{array}{l} \|y\| < \epsilon, & \|w - f(x, y)\| < \epsilon \\ \|(W \circ \pi_m - \mathcal{L}_{(x, y)})|_{\mathcal{T}A_y}\| < \epsilon \end{array} \right\}$$

Remark. Observe that $\mathscr{R}_{ha} \subset J^1(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ while $\sigma \in J^1(\mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^n)$.

Now we are going to show the realtion \mathcal{R}_{ha} is (open) ample, ie slices of

$$\mathscr{R}_{ha} := \left\{ (x, (y, w), (Y, W)) \left| \begin{array}{c} \|y\| < \epsilon, \quad \|w - f(x, y)\| < \epsilon \\ \|(W \circ \pi_m - \mathcal{L}_{(x, y)})|_{TA_y}\| < \epsilon \end{array} \right\}$$

are empty or its convex hull of each path-component is the entire fiber.

Now we are going to show the realtion \mathcal{R}_{ha} is (open) ample, ie slices of

$$\mathscr{R}_{ha} := \left\{ (x, (y, w), (Y, W)) \left| \begin{array}{c} \|y\| < \epsilon, \quad \|w - f(x, y)\| < \epsilon \\ \|(W \circ \pi_m - \mathcal{L}_{(x, y)})|_{TA_y}\| < \epsilon \end{array} \right\}$$

are empty or its convex hull of each path-component is the entire fiber.

The main lemma of this part is

Lemma

For n = 1, the slice $\mathscr{R}_{ha,(H,\mu)}$ is the interior of a hyperbola, so ample.

Now we are going to show the realtion \mathcal{R}_{ha} is (open) ample, ie slices of

$$\mathscr{R}_{ha} := \left\{ (x, (y, w), (Y, W)) \left| \begin{array}{c} \|y\| < \epsilon, \quad \|w - f(x, y)\| < \epsilon \\ \|(W \circ \pi_m - \mathcal{L}_{(x, y)})|_{TA_y}\| < \epsilon \end{array} \right\}$$

are empty or its convex hull of each path-component is the entire fiber.

The main lemma of this part is

Lemma

For n = 1, the slice $\mathscr{R}_{ha,(H,\mu)}$ is the interior of a hyperbola, so ample.

Then, it is enough to apply this lemma n times to show that \mathcal{R}_{ha} is ample.

Part II - Ampleness

Let $\mu = (x, (y_0, w_0), (Y_0, W_0))$ be a section of \mathscr{R}_{ha} and let H be a hyperplane of $T_x \mathbb{R}^m$.

By definition the slice is

$$\mathscr{R}_{ha,(H,\mu)} = \left\{ (Y,W) \in \mathscr{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n) \middle| \begin{array}{l} \|(W \circ \pi_m - L)|_{TA_y}\| < \epsilon \\ (Y,W)|_H = (Y_0, W_0)|_H \end{array} \right\}$$

Part II - Ampleness

Let $\mu = (x, (y_0, w_0), (Y_0, W_0))$ be a section of \mathscr{R}_{ha} and let H be a hyperplane of $T_x \mathbb{R}^m$.

By definition the slice is

ę

$$\mathscr{R}_{ha,(H,\mu)} = \left\{ (Y,W) \in \mathscr{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n) \middle| \begin{array}{l} \|(W \circ \pi_m - L)|_{TA_y}\| < \epsilon \\ (Y,W)|_H = (Y_0, W_0)|_H \end{array} \right\}$$

Let $(u, u') \in T_x \mathbb{R}^m$ with $u \in H$. From the second condition, we can set

$$Y(u, u') = Y_0 u + \alpha u', \quad W(u, u') = W_0 u + \beta u', \quad (\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Part II - Ampleness

Let $\mu = (x, (y_0, w_0), (Y_0, W_0))$ be a section of \mathscr{R}_{ha} and let H be a hyperplane of $T_x \mathbb{R}^m$.

By definition the slice is

$$\mathscr{R}_{ha,(H,\mu)} = \left\{ (Y,W) \in \mathscr{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n) \middle| \begin{array}{l} \|(W \circ \pi_m - L)|_{TA_y}\| < \epsilon \\ (Y,W)|_H = (Y_0, W_0)|_H \end{array} \right\}$$

Let $(u, u') \in T_x \mathbb{R}^m$ with $u \in H$. From the second condition, we can set

$$Y(u,u') = Y_0 u + \alpha u', \quad W(u,u') = W_0 u + \beta u', \quad (\alpha,\beta) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Then

ę

$$\mathcal{R}_{ha,(H,\mu)} = \left\{ (\alpha,\beta) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \left| \begin{array}{c} \| (W \circ \pi_m - L) |_{\mathcal{T}A_y} \| < \epsilon \\ Y = (Y_0,\alpha), \quad W = (W_0,\beta) \end{array} \right\} \right.$$

Developing and making suitable changes of variables $(\alpha, \beta) \leftrightarrow (a, b)$ and $(Y_0, W_0) \leftrightarrow (\widetilde{Y_0}, \widetilde{W_0})$ we obtain

$$\mathscr{R}_{ha,(H,\mu)} \simeq \left\{ \begin{aligned} (a,b) \\ \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \end{aligned} \middle| \begin{array}{l} \forall (u,u') \in (\mathbb{R}^{m-1} \times \mathbb{R}) \setminus \{0\}, \\ \|u'b + \widetilde{W_0}u\|^2 < \epsilon^2 \Big(u'^2 + \|u\|^2 + (au' + \widetilde{Y_0}u)^2 \Big) \end{aligned} \right\}$$

Developing and making suitable changes of variables $(\alpha, \beta) \leftrightarrow (a, b)$ and $(Y_0, W_0) \leftrightarrow (\widetilde{Y_0}, \widetilde{W_0})$ we obtain

$$\mathscr{R}_{ha,(H,\mu)} \simeq \left\{ \begin{aligned} (a,b) \\ \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \end{aligned} \middle| \begin{array}{l} \forall (u,u') \in (\mathbb{R}^{m-1} \times \mathbb{R}) \setminus \{0\}, \\ \|u'b + \widetilde{W_0}u\|^2 < \epsilon^2 \Big(u'^2 + \|u\|^2 + (au' + \widetilde{Y_0}u)^2 \Big) \end{array} \right\}$$

For m = 1, we have

$$\mathscr{R}_{ha,(H,\mu)} \simeq \left\{ egin{array}{c} (a,b) \ \in \mathbb{R} imes \mathbb{R}^n \end{array} \middle| egin{array}{c} orall u' \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}, \ \|u'b\|^2 < \epsilon^2 \Big(u'^2 + (au')^2 \Big) \end{array}
ight\}$$

Developing and making suitable changes of variables $(\alpha, \beta) \leftrightarrow (a, b)$ and $(Y_0, W_0) \leftrightarrow (\widetilde{Y_0}, \widetilde{W_0})$ we obtain

$$\mathscr{R}_{ha,(H,\mu)} \simeq \left\{ \begin{aligned} (a,b) \\ \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \end{aligned} \middle| \begin{array}{l} \forall (u,u') \in (\mathbb{R}^{m-1} \times \mathbb{R}) \setminus \{0\}, \\ \|u'b + \widetilde{W_0}u\|^2 < \epsilon^2 \Big(u'^2 + \|u\|^2 + (au' + \widetilde{Y_0}u)^2 \Big) \end{array} \right\}$$

For m = 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{R}_{ha,(H,\mu)} &\simeq \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (a,b) \\ \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{l} \forall u' \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}, \\ \|u'b\|^2 < \epsilon^2 \left(u'^2 + (au')^2\right) \end{array} \right\} \\ &\simeq \left\{ (a,b) \mid \|b\|^2 - \epsilon^2 a^2 < \epsilon^2 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

which is the interior of a hyperbola, so ample.

Lemma

For n=1, there exists $c_1,c_2,c_3\in\mathbb{R}$ such that the slice

$$\mathscr{R}_{h\mathsf{a},(H,\mu)}\simeqig\{(\mathsf{a},b)\in\mathbb{R} imes\mathbb{R}\;\left|\;(b-c_1\mathsf{a})^2-c_2^2\mathsf{a}^2< c_3^2\,ig\}
ight.$$

is the interior of a hyperbola.

But the proof is technically not straightforward.

Lemma

For n=1, there exists $c_1, c_2, c_3 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that the slice

$$\mathscr{R}_{h \mathsf{a},(H,\mu)} \simeq \left\{(\mathsf{a}, \mathsf{b}) \in \mathbb{R} imes \mathbb{R} \; \left| \; (\mathsf{b} - \mathsf{c}_1 \mathsf{a})^2 - \mathsf{c}_2^2 \mathsf{a}^2 < \mathsf{c}_3^2 \;
ight\}
ight.$$

is the interior of a hyperbola.

But the proof is technically not straightforward.

So the relation \mathscr{R}_{ha} is ample, in particular we can solve the problem of the Mountain Path using Convex Integration.

The Mountain Path via Convex Integration



Thank you for your attention !

